Electronic Supplementary Information

New carvacrol and thymol derivatives as potential insecticides: synthesis, biological activity, computational studies and nanoencapsulation

Carolina M. Natal^a, Maria José G. Fernandes^a, Nuno F. S. Pinto^a, Renato B. Pereira^b, Tatiana F. Vieira^c, Ana Rita O. Rodrigues^d, David M. Pereira^b, Sérgio F. Sousa^c, A. Gil Fortes^a, Elisabete M. S. Castanheira^d, M. Sameiro T. Gonçalves^{*a}

- *a. Centre of Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, University of Minho, Campus of Gualtar, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal. E-mail:msameiro@quimica.uminho.pt*
- *b. REQUIMTE/LAQV, Laboratory of Pharmacognosy, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Porto, R. Jorge Viterbo Ferreira, 228, 4050-313 Porto, Portugal*
- *c. Associate Laboratory i4HB Institute for Health and Bioeconomy, Faculty of Medicine, University of Porto, 4200-319 Porto, Portugal*
- *d. UCIBIO Applied Molecular Biosciences Unit, BioSIM Department of Biomedicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Porto, 4200-319 Porto, Portugal*
- *e. Centre of Physics of Minho and Porto Universities (CF-UM-UP), University of Minho, Campus of Gualtar, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal*

1.¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of compounds 3a-c, 4a-c, 5, 6 and 8

¹H NMR spectra of compounds **3a**-**c**, **4a**-**c**, **5**, **6** and **8** are shown. These spectra confirm the corresponding structure and purity of each compound. In addition, 13 C spectra are also shown. This information serves as the statement for confirming the purity (≥95%) of the compounds extracted**/**synthesized in the reported work.

 $CN₁$

2. RMSD Analysis

A graphical representation of the RMSD values is presented in Figure S1. Low RMSD values indicate that the protein-ligand systems are well equilibrated and that compounds **6** and **8** maintained the binding pose anticipated in the inverted virtual screening stage. Compounds **6** and **8**, when bound to OBP, show a low RMSD contrary to AChE. This target displays higher values, which may suggest that there is an induced-fit adjustment to the AChE-binding pocket during the MD simulation. When looking at the RMSD of the ligands, compound **6** is the molecule that possesses lower RMSD values. This is mainly due to its own chemical structure, which is less flexible.

Figure S1. Protein and ligand RMSD (Å) of the AChE and OBP – ligand complexes.

Figure S2. Variation of the percentage of potential ligand SASA buried by protein (%) when in complex with

AChE and OBP.

Hydrogen Bonds formed with OBP 2.00 Number of Hydrogwen bonds 1.50 1.00 0.50 0.00 $\boldsymbol{0}$ 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Time (ns) OBP Compound 6 -OBP Compound 8

Figure S3. Hydrogen bonds formed throughout the simulation between AChE-compound **6**; compound **8** and OBP-compound **6**; compound **8**.

3. Creation of a homology model for 1QON

The SWISS-MODEL homology model created for the AChE molecular structure is shown in Figure S4. There are two metrics used to evaluate the quality of the model: GMQE and QMEAN. GMQE - Global Model Quality Estimation, which is expressed between 0 and 1 with a higher number meaning higher reliability. QMEAN - provides an estimate of the "degree of nativeness" of the structural features observed in the model. A value of QMEAN around zero indicate a good agreement between the model and experimental

structure.

Figure S4. Homology model created for 1QON. Presented in green is the original structure. The only area that was built was the loop represented in red. An example of one of the ligands studied represented in yellow to illustrate that the area that was modelled is far from the active site.

Table S1. Average scores of compounds 6 and 8 against all the protein targets evaluated with the five different scoring functions. Overall ranking of the most likely protein targets for interaction.

Table S2. Docking scores for Human and Insect AChE when in complex with compounds **6** and **8**.

| | Compound | PLP | ASP | ChemScore | GoldScore | Vina |
|--------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------------|-----------|-------------|
| Human AChE | 8 | 69.42 | 41.22 | 35.15 | 63.06 | -7.9 |
| Insect AChE | 8 | 92.19 | 60.29 | 42.82 | 70.73 | -9.7 |
| Human AChE | 6 | 65.43 | 33.64 | 33.54 | 50.44 | -8.6 |
| Insect AChE | 6 | 71.4 | 42.82 | 34.95 | 53.35 | -9.8 |

Table S3. Targets selected for the inverted virtual screening assay

References

- 1. X. Hu, B. Yin, K. Cappelle, L. Swevers, G. Smagghe, X. Yang and L. Zhang, *J. Mol. Graph Model.*, 2018, **81**, 77-85.
- 2. T. Harada, Y. Nakagawa, T. Ogura, Y. Yamada, T. Ohe and H. Miyagawa, *J. Chem. Inf. Model.*, 2011, **51**(2), 296-305.
- 3. Y. Dong, X. Jiang, T. Liu, Y. Ling, Q. Yang, L. Zhang and X. He, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2018, **66**, 3351- 3357.
- 4. J. Liu, M. Liu, Y. Yao, J. Wang, Y. Li, G. Li and Y. Wang, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2012, **13**, 4545-4563.
- 5. L. Dong, S. Shen, Y. Xu, et al., *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.*, 2021, **39**, 1735-1743.
- 6. J. Min, D. Lin, Q. Zhang, J. Zhang and Z. Yu, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2012, **53**, 150-158.
- 7. R. Ramos, J. Costa, R. Silva, G. Costa, A. Rodrigues, E. Rebelo, R. Souto, C. Taft, C. Silva, J. Rosa, C. Santos and W. Macêdo, *Pharmaceuticals*, 2019, **12**, 20.
- 8. C. Riva, P. Suzanne, G. Charpentier, F. Dulin, M. Halm-Lemeille and J. Sopkova-de Oliveira Santos, *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.*, 2019, **160**, 11-19.
- 9. Y. Li, Y. Wang, H. Jiang and J. Deng, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 2009, **106**, 17002-17006.
- 10. Y. Fu, Y. Liu, T. Kang, Y. Sun, J. Li and F. Ye, *J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng.*, 2019, **103**, 33-43.
- 11. H. Shen, Z. Li, Y. Jiang, X. Pan, J. Wu, B. Cristofori-Armstrong, J. Smith, Y. Chin, J. Lei, Q. Zhou, G. King and N. Yan, *Science*, 2018, **362**(6412), 1-8.
- 12. L. Offermann, S. Chan, T. Osinski, Y. Tan, F. Chew, J. Sivaraman, Y. Mok, W. Minor and M. Chruszcz, *Mol. Immunol.*, 2014, **60**, 86-94.
- 13. J. Cai, X. Du, C. Wang, J. Lin and X. Du, *J. Econ. Entomol.*, 2017, **110**, 1779-1784.
- 14. T. Joshi, T. Joshi, P. Sharma, S. Chandra and V. Pande, *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn*., 2021, **39**, 823- 840.
- 15. G. Correy, D. Zaidman, A. Harmelin, S. Carvalho, P. Mabbitt, V. Calaora, P. James, A. Kotze, C. Jackson and N. London, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci*., 2019, **116**, 21012-21021.
- 16. J. Laughlin, T. Ha, D. Jones and D. Smith, *Cell*, 2008, **13**, 1255-1265.
- 17. P. Oliferenko, A. Oliferenko, G. Poda, D. Osolodkin, G. Pillai, U. Bernier, M. Tsikolia, N. Agramonte, G. Clark, K. Linthicum and A. Katritzky, *PLoS One,* 2013, **8**, e64547.