

## *F*-REGULAR SEMIGROUPS

E. Giraldes, UTAD, Dpto. de Matemática, 5000 Vila Real, Portugal, [egs@utad.pt](mailto:egs@utad.pt)

P. Marques-Smith, Universidade do Minho, Centro de Matemática, 4700 Braga, Portugal, [psmith@math.uminho.pt](mailto:psmith@math.uminho.pt)

H. Mitsch, Universität Wien, Inst. f. Mathematik, 1090 Wien, Austria, [Heinz.Mitsch@univie.ac.at](mailto:Heinz.Mitsch@univie.ac.at)

Research supported by the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology through the research program POCTI.

**Abstract.** A semigroup  $S$  is called  $F$ -regular if  $S$  is regular and if there exists a group congruence  $\rho$  on  $S$  such that every  $\rho$ -class contains a greatest element with respect to the natural partial order of  $S$  (see [16]). These semigroups were investigated in [5] where a description similar to the  $F$ -inverse case (see [13]) is given. Further characterizations of  $F$ -regular semigroups, including an axiomatic one, are provided. The main objective is to give a new representation of such semigroups by means of Szendrei triples (see [17]). The particular case of  $F$ -regular semigroups  $S$  satisfying the identity  $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$ , where  $x^* \in S$  denotes the greatest element of the  $\rho$ -class containing  $x \in S$ , is considered. Also the  $F$ -inversive semigroups, for which this identity holds, are characterized.

## 1. Introduction and summary

V. Wagner [18] introduced the class of  $F$ -inverse semigroups, which are defined as inverse semigroups  $S$  such that for the least group congruence  $\sigma$  of  $S$  every  $\sigma$ -class admits a greatest element with respect to the natural partial order  $\leq_S$  of  $S$ . McFadden and O'Carroll [13] showed that every such semigroup can be constructed by means of a group, a semilattice and certain endomorphisms of the latter. Another method of construction of  $F$ -inverse semigroups was given by McAlister [11], Theorem 2.8, by means of  $P$ -semigroups over semilattices (see also the textbooks [10] and [15]).

A first generalization to the regular case was provided by Edwards [5]. A regular semigroup  $S$  is called  $F$ -regular if for the least group congruence  $\rho$  on  $S$  every  $\rho$ -class  $a\rho \in G = S/\rho$  contains a greatest element with respect to the natural partial order  $\leq_S$  of  $S$ :

$$a \leq_S b \text{ if and only if } a = eb = bf \text{ for some } e, f \in E_S \text{ (see [16]).}$$

This partial order defined for regular semigroups is a particular case of the natural partial order  $\leq_S$  defined on any semigroup  $S$  by  $a \leq_S b$  if and only if  $a = xb = by$ ,  $xa = a(a = ay)$  for some  $x, y \in S^1$  (see [14]). Note that if  $a \in S$ ,  $e \in E_S$  and  $a \leq_S e$  then  $a \in E_S$ . In general, the relation  $\leq_S$  is not compatible with multiplication on either side, that is,  $(S, \cdot, \leq_S)$  is *not* a partially ordered semigroup.

The construction of all  $F$ -regular semigroups given in [5] is based on the ideas of McFadden and O'Carroll in the inverse case mentioned above. Here we will provide another method for the construction of all  $F$ -regular semigroups. It follows the development performed for generalized  $F$ -semigroups in [6] and for  $F$ -semigroups in [7]. A *generalized  $F$ -semigroup* was defined as a semigroup  $S$  on which there exists a group-congruence  $\rho$  such that the identity  $\rho$ -class  $1_G \in G = S/\rho$  contains a greatest element  $\xi$  with respect to the natural partial order  $\leq_S$ , the so-called *pivot* of  $S$ . If every  $\rho$ -class of  $S$  admits a maximum element then  $S$  is called an  *$F$ -semigroup*. Note that by [6], Theorem 3.3,  $\rho$  is the least group congruence of  $S$ . Furthermore, by [6], Corollary 3.14, and [7], Theorem 3.5, we have:

(1) A semigroup  $S$  is generalized  $F$ -regular (with pivot  $\xi$ ) if and only if  $S$  is an  $E$ -unitary regular monoid (with  $1_S = \xi$ ).

(2) A semigroup  $S$  is  $F$ -regular if and only if  $S$  is an  $E$ -unitary regular monoid whose identity is residuated, i.e., for any  $a \in S$ ,  $1_S : a = \max\{x \in S | ax \leq_S 1_S\} = \max\{x \in S | xa \leq_S 1_S\}$  exists in  $(S, \leq_S)$ .

Recently,  $F$ -semigroups were investigated in the class of *abundant* semigroups: a construction in the spirit of McFadden and O'Carroll was provided by Gou [9].

In Section 2 several examples are given which prove useful in the sequel. Furthermore, some properties of  $F$ -regular semigroups  $S$  are derived. In particular, it is shown that for every  $a \in S$  there exists a greatest  $a^* \in S$  such that  $a = aa^*a$ . Also for the set  $E_S$  of idempotents of  $S$ ,  $E_S = \{x \in S | x^2 \leq_S x\}$  which holds already for any  $E$ -unitary semigroup. Section 3 contains three further characterizations of  $F$ -regular semigroups. The first as regular monoids  $S$  such that for every  $a \in S$  there exists a greatest  $x \in S$  with  $ax \in E_S$ . The second as  $E$ -unitary regular monoids such that for every  $a \in S$ ,  $\max\{x \in S | axa \leq_S a\}$  exists. The last one is axiomatic following from a characterization of general  $F$ -semigroups due to M. Petrich (see[7]), and consists of three axioms for a unary operation  $*$ , which can be defined on  $S$ . In Section 4 the unary operation  $*$  and another one  $o$  are investigated, which for partially ordered semigroups were introduced in [1] and [4]. Several properties of  $*$  and  $o$  are deduced. In particular, it is shown that in general the identity  $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$  or  $(xy)^o = y^o x^o$  does not hold in  $S$  (the latter if and only if  $S$  is  $F$ -inverse). Section 5 offers a new construction of all  $F$ -regular semigroups based on the representation of  $E$ -unitary regular semigroups given by Szendrei [17]. Section 6 contains two constructions of  $F$ -regular semigroups satisfying the identity  $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$ . First it is shown that this class of semigroups coincides with that of all uniquely unit orthodox semigroups investigated in [3]. It follows that every such semigroup is a semidirect product of a band with identity and a group. The second representation is given in terms of Szendrei triples as a special case of the general result given in Section 5. Finally, the particular case of  $F$ -inverse semigroups satisfying the above  $*$ -identity is dealt with providing a representation as particular McAlister  $P$ -semigroups resp. by means of certain Szendrei triples. It follows that every such semigroup is a semidirect product of a semilattice with identity by a group.

## 2. Examples and basic properties

In this Section we first list some examples of  $F$ -regular semigroups, which will prove useful in the sequel.

### EXAMPLES.

(1) Every group is  $F$ -regular (see Example (1) in [7], Section 2).

(2) A band  $B$  is an  $F$ -regular semigroup if and only if  $B$  admits a greatest element (see Example (3) in [7], Section 2).

(3) The direct product  $S = B \times G$  of a band  $B$  with identity and a group  $G$  is a  $F$ -regular semigroup (see Example (6) in [7], Section 2).

(4) Every Clifford-semigroup  $S = \langle Y, G_\alpha; \varphi_{\alpha,\beta} \rangle$ , where  $(Y, \leq_Y)$  is a finite chain and each  $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}$  is injective, is an  $F$ -inverse semigroup. Indeed, let  $a, b \in S$  be maximal

in  $(S, \leq_S)$ ,  $a \in G_\alpha, b \in G_\beta, a \neq b$ . If  $\alpha = \beta$  then  $a\varphi_{\alpha,\gamma} \neq b\varphi_{\beta,\gamma}$  for any  $\gamma \leq_Y \alpha$  (since  $\varphi_{\alpha,\gamma}$  is injective). If  $\alpha \neq \beta, \alpha >_Y \beta$  say, then  $a\varphi_{\alpha,\beta} \neq b$  (otherwise  $b <_S a$  and  $b$  is not maximal); thus  $(a\varphi_{\alpha,\beta})\varphi_{\beta,\gamma} \neq b\varphi_{\beta,\gamma}$  for any  $\gamma \leq_Y \beta <_Y \alpha$  (since  $\varphi_{\beta,\gamma}$  is injective) so that  $a\varphi_{\alpha,\gamma} \neq b\varphi_{\beta,\gamma}$ . It follows by [7], Corollary 6.7, that  $S$  is an  $F$ -semigroup. More generally:

(5) Let  $S$  be a monoid, which is a strong semilattice of completely simple semigroups  $S_\alpha$ , where  $(Y, \leq_Y)$  is a chain satisfying the ascending chain condition (in particular, is finite) and every  $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}$  is injective. Then  $S = \langle Y, S_\alpha, \varphi_{\alpha,\beta} \rangle$  is an  $F$ -regular semigroup. Indeed, every  $S_\alpha (\alpha \in Y)$  is a trivially ordered, regular semigroup (thus also  $S$  is regular) and  $1_S$  is the greatest element of  $(Y, \leq_Y)$ . Furthermore, if  $a, b \in S$  are maximal in  $(S, \leq_S)$ ,  $a \in S_\alpha, b \in S_\beta$ , say, then as in the proof of (4),  $a\varphi_{\alpha,\gamma} \neq b\varphi_{\beta,\gamma}$  for any  $\gamma \leq_Y \alpha, \beta$  (taking into account that  $x \leq_S y, x \in S_\alpha, y \in S_\beta$ , if and only if  $\alpha \leq_Y \beta$  and  $x = y\varphi_{\beta,\alpha}$ , since  $\leq_\alpha$  is trivial on  $S_\alpha$ ). It follows by [7], Corollary 6.6, that  $S$  is an  $F$ -regular semigroup.

(6) Let  $(B, *)$  be a band with identity  $\varepsilon$ ,  $G$  be a group, and let  $\Theta : G \rightarrow (Aut B, \circ)$   $\Theta(g) = \varphi_g$  (composition from the right) be an homomorphism. We write  $\varphi_g(\alpha) = g \cdot \alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in B, g \in G$ . Then the semidirect product  $S = B \times_\Theta G$  - with multiplication  $(\alpha, g)(\beta, h) = (\alpha * g \cdot \beta, gh)$  - is an  $F$ -regular semigroup. Indeed,  $S$  is a regular monoid, in which for  $(\alpha, g) \in S$  the element  $(\varepsilon, g^{-1}) \in S$  is the greatest of all  $(x, h) \in S$  such that  $(\alpha, g)(x, h) \in E_S$ :

$$(\alpha, g)(\varepsilon, g^{-1}) = (\alpha * g \cdot \varepsilon, 1_G) \in E_S;$$

$$(\alpha, g)(x, h) \in E_S \Rightarrow (\alpha * g \cdot x, gh) \in E_S \Rightarrow gh = 1_G \Rightarrow h = g^{-1} \text{ and}$$

$$(x, h) = (x, g^{-1}) \leq_S (\varepsilon, g^{-1}),$$

because  $(x, g^{-1}) = (x, 1_G)(\varepsilon, g^{-1}) = (\varepsilon, g^{-1})(\delta, 1_G)$  with  $(x, 1_G), (\delta, 1_G) \in E_S$ ,

where  $\delta \in B$  is such that  $\varphi_{g^{-1}}(\delta) = x$  ( $\varphi_{g^{-1}} \in Aut B$ ).

It follows by [7], Theorem 4.5 and its proof, that  $S$  is  $F$ -regular and that the pivot of  $S$  is  $1_S$ . Note that by [7], Corollary 3.3,  $(\varepsilon, g^{-1})$  is the greatest element of the  $\rho$ -class  $[(\alpha, g)\rho]^{-1} \in S/\rho$ , since  $1_S : (\alpha, g) = (\varepsilon, g^{-1})$ .

Next we deduce some properties of  $F$ -regular semigroups (see also [5]).

Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -semigroup and  $a \in S$  be a regular element. Then  $a = axa$  for some  $x \in S$ , whence  $ax \in E_S$ . If  $\xi$  is the pivot of  $S$  (i. e., the maximum of the identity class  $1_G \in S/\rho$ ) then it follows by [6], Corollary 3.6, that  $ax \leq_S \xi$ . Since by [7], Theorem 3.5, the residual  $\xi : a = \max\{x \in S | ax \leq_S \xi\}$  exists in  $S$  we obtain that  $x \leq_S \xi : a$ . Therefore,  $\xi : a \in S$  is an upper bound of the set  $\{x \in S | axa = a\}$ . We will show that  $\xi : a$  belongs to this set, whence that  $a(\xi : a)a = a$ . In the theory of strong Dubreil-Jacotin regular semigroups  $(S, \cdot, \leq)$  such an element  $a \in S$  is called *perfect*, and it is shown in [2], Theorem 5, that every element of  $S$  is perfect if and only if  $\leq$  on  $S$  extends the natural order of idempotents of  $S$ . Note that with respect to  $\leq_S$ , every  $F$ -regular semigroup  $S$  is ordered in this sense, but that  $(S, \cdot, \leq_S)$  is not a partially ordered semigroup, in general.

In order to prove that nevertheless every element of an  $F$ -regular semigroup  $S$  is perfect, we first show the following

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -semigroup with pivot  $\xi$  and let  $a \in S$  be regular. Then (1)  $a\xi = \xi a = a$ ; (2)  $a(\xi : a), (\xi : a)a \in E_S$ .*

PROOF. (1)  $a = aa'a$  for some  $a' \in S$  implies  $aa', a'a \in E_S$  whence by ([6], Corollary 3.6),  $\xi \cdot aa' = aa', a'a \cdot \xi = a'a$  so that

$$a\xi = aa'a \cdot \xi = a \cdot a'a\xi = a \cdot a'a = a, \text{ and similiary } \xi a = a.$$

(2) By [7], Theorem 3.5,  $\xi : a$  exists in  $S$ . Thus by definition,  $a(\xi : a) \leq_S \xi$  so that  $a(\xi : a) = x\xi = \xi y$ ,  $x \cdot a(\xi : a) = a(\xi : a)$  for some  $x, y \in S^1$ . Therefore by (1),

$$a(\xi : a) \cdot a(\xi : a) = x\xi \cdot a(\xi : a) = x \cdot \xi a \cdot (\xi : a) = x \cdot a \cdot (\xi : a) = a(\xi : a).$$

Similarly,  $(\xi : a)a \leq_S \xi$  implies that  $(\xi : a)a \in E_S$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -semigroup with pivot  $\xi$ . Then every regular element  $a \in S$  is perfect, i. e.,  $a = a(\xi : a)a$ .*

PROOF. Let  $a \in S$  be regular, whence  $a = aa'a$  for some  $a' \in S$  and  $aa' \in E_S$ . Thus by [6], Corollary 3.6,  $aa' \leq_S \xi$ . By [7], Theorem 3.5,  $\xi : a$  exists. Hence it follows by definition that  $a' \leq_S \xi : a$  so that  $a' = x(\xi : a) = (\xi : a)y$  for some  $x, y \in S^1$ . Therefore by Lemma 2.1(2),

$$a = aa'a = a \cdot x(\xi : a) \cdot a = ax \cdot (\xi : a)a = ax \cdot (\xi : a)a \cdot (\xi : a)a = ax(\xi : a) \cdot a(\xi : a)a,$$

$$\text{where } ax(\xi : a) \cdot a(\xi : a)a = a \cdot a' \cdot ax(\xi : a) = a \cdot x(\xi : a) \in E_S;$$

$$a = aa'a = a \cdot (\xi : a)y \cdot a = a(\xi : a) \cdot a(\xi : a) \cdot ya = a(\xi : a)a \cdot (\xi : a)ya.$$

It follows that  $a \leq_S a(\xi : a)a$ . Conversely,  $a(\xi : a)a = a(\xi : a) \cdot a = a \cdot (\xi : a)a$ , where  $a(\xi : a) \in E_S$  by Lemma 2.1(2). Thus  $a(\xi : a)a \leq_S a$  and equality prevails.

Given a semigroup  $S$  and  $x \in S$ , an element  $x' \in S$  is called an *associate of  $x$*  if  $x = xx'x$ . We denote by  $A(x)$  the set of all associates of  $x \in S$ .

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -semigroup with pivot  $\xi$ . Then every regular element  $a \in S$  has a greatest associate, namely  $\xi : a$ .*

PROOF. This follows from Theorem 2.2 and its proof.

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -regular semigroup. Then  $S$  has an identity and for every  $a \in S$ ,  $a = a(1_S : a)a$ .*

PROOF. By Lemma 2.1(1), the pivot  $\xi$  of  $S$  is the identity  $1_S$  of  $S$  (note that  $S$  is regular). Hence the statement follows from Theorem 2.2.

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -regular semigroup. Then every  $a \in S$  has a greatest associate, namely  $1_S : a$ .*

PROOF. This holds by Corollaries 2.3 and 2.4.

REMARK. The necessary condition in Corollary 2.5 is *not* sufficient for a semigroup to be  $F$ -regular. For example, consider the Clifford-semigroup  $S = \langle Y, G_\alpha, G_\beta; \varphi_{\alpha, \beta} \rangle$

such that  $Y$  is the two element chain  $\alpha >_Y \beta$ ,  $G_\alpha$  and  $G_\beta$  are two-element groups and  $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta} : G_\alpha \rightarrow G_\beta$ ,  $x\varphi_{\alpha,\beta} = 1_\beta$  for every  $x \in G_\alpha$ . Then the greatest associate of  $1_\beta \in G_\beta$  is  $1_\alpha \in G_\alpha$  and of any other element in  $S$  it is given by its inverse in the group to which it belongs. In spite of  $(Y, \leq_Y)$  having a greatest element and of  $S$  being regular,  $S$  is not an  $F$ -semigroup since  $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}$  is not injective (see [6], Corollary 4.7).

For a property related to the above, see Section 3.

Next we shall describe the set of idempotents in an  $F$ -regular semigroup in a different way. To this end we show more generally:

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $S$  be an  $E$ -unitary semigroup. Then  $E_S = \{x \in S \mid x^2 \leq_S x\}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $T = \{a \in S \mid a^2 \leq_S a\}$  and let  $a \in T$ . Then  $a^2 \leq_S a$  and so  $a^2 = xa = ay$ ,  $xa^2 = a^2$  for some  $x, y \in S^1$ . Thus  $a^3 = xa^2 = a^2$  and  $a^4 = a^3 = a^2$ , whence  $a^2 \in E_S$ . Therefore,  $a^2 \cdot a = a^2 \in E_S$  implies that  $a \in E_S$  (since  $S$  is  $E$ -unitary). It follows that  $T \subseteq E_S$ . Since evidently  $E_S \subseteq T$  equality prevails.

Since by [6], Theorem 3.14, every  $F$ -regular semigroup is  $E$ -unitary, we obtain

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -regular semigroup. Then  $E_S = \{x \in S \mid x^2 \leq_S x\}$ .*

### 3. Characterizations

We start with a more general result on  $F$ -semigroups  $S$  with regular pivot (this does not imply that  $S$  is regular - see [7], Remark (3) following Corollary 6.2). Recall that  $\langle \xi \cdot a \rangle = \{x \in S \mid ax \leq_S \xi\}$  for any  $a \in S$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $S$  be a semigroup. Then  $S$  is an  $F$ -semigroup with regular pivot  $\xi$  if and only if  $S$  is  $E$ -unitary,  $\xi$  is the greatest idempotent of  $S$  and for every  $a \in S$  there exists a greatest  $x \in S$  such that  $ax \in E_S$ .*

PROOF. *Necessity.* By [6], Proposition 3.13,  $S$  is  $E$ -unitary and contains a greatest idempotent, namely  $\xi$ . Let  $a \in S$ ; then  $ax \in E_S \Leftrightarrow ax \leq_S \xi \Leftrightarrow x \in \langle \xi \cdot a \rangle$ . Since by [7], Theorem 3.5,  $\langle \xi \cdot a \rangle$  has a greatest element, the assertion follows.

*Sufficiency.* This holds by [7], Theorem 4.3.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $S$  be a regular semigroup. Then  $S$  is an  $F$ -semigroup if and only if  $S$  is a monoid and for every  $a \in S$  there exists a greatest  $x \in S$  such that  $ax \in E_S$ .*

PROOF. *Necessity.* By Lemma 2.1(1),  $S$  is a monoid. The second property of  $S$  holds by Proposition 3.1.

*Sufficiency.* Evidently, the identity  $1_S \in S$  is the greatest idempotent of  $S$ . Let  $e \in E_S$ ; then by hypothesis, there exists a greatest  $x \in S$  such that  $ex \in E_S$ , that is,  $ex \leq_S 1_S$ . It follows by [7], Lemma 4.4, that  $S$  is  $E$ -unitary. Therefore by Proposition 3.1,  $S$  is an  $F$ -semigroup.

REMARK. Note that the condition that for very  $a \in S$  there exists a greatest  $x \in S$  such that  $ax \in E_S$ , is a particular case of  $E$ -inversiveness (see [6]).

The next characterization will be given in terms of the sets

$$T(a) = \{x \in S \mid axa \leq_S a\}, a \in S.$$

These sets were used in [4] to define principally ordered semigroups: a partially ordered semigroup  $(S, \cdot, \leq)$  is called principally ordered if for any  $a \in S$ ,  $\max T(a)$  exists in  $S$  with respect to the given partial order  $\leq$  on  $S$ . We shall prove this property for  $F$ -regular semigroups with respect to their natural partial order. First, we show more generally

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $S$  be a generalized  $F$ -semigroup with regular pivot  $\xi$ . Then  $S$  is an  $F$ -semigroup if and only if for every  $a \in S$ , the maximum of  $T(a)$  exists.*

PROOF. First note that by [6], Proposition 3.13 and Corollary 3.6,  $\xi \in E_S$ ,  $\langle \xi \rangle = \{x \in S \mid x \leq_S \xi\} = E_S$  and  $S$  is  $E$ -unitary.

*Necessity.* Let  $a \in S$  and  $x \in T(a)$ . Then  $axa \leq_S a$ , i.e.,  $axa = ya = az$  and  $y \cdot axa = axa$  for some  $y, z \in S^1$ . Thus  $(ax)^2 = y \cdot ax = ax \cdot ax$  and  $y \cdot (ax)^2 = (ax)^2$ , that is,  $(ax)^2 \leq_S ax$ . It follows by Lemma 2.6, that  $ax \in E_S = \langle \xi \rangle$ , hence  $ax \leq_S \xi$ . Since by [7], Theorem 3.5,  $\max \langle \xi \cdot a \rangle = \xi \cdot a$  exists in  $S$ , it follows that  $x \leq_S \xi \cdot a$ .

We show that  $\xi \cdot a \in T(a)$ . By definition,  $a(\xi \cdot a) \leq_S \xi$ , thus  $a(\xi \cdot a) \in \langle \xi \rangle = E_S$ . Therefore,  $a(\xi \cdot a)a = a(\xi \cdot a) \cdot a = a \cdot (\xi \cdot a)a$  implies that  $a(\xi \cdot a)a \leq_S a$ , that is,  $\xi \cdot a \in T(a)$ . Hence  $\xi \cdot a$  is the greatest element of  $T(a)$ .

*Sufficiency.* Let  $a \in S$  and put  $a^* = \max T(a)$ . We show that  $a^* = \max \langle \xi \cdot a \rangle$ . As above, we have that  $(aa^*)^2 \leq_S aa^*$ , so that by Lemma 2.6,  $aa^* \in E_S = \langle \xi \rangle$ . Hence  $aa^* \leq_S \xi$  and  $a^* \in \langle \xi \cdot a \rangle$ .

Next let  $x \in \langle \xi \cdot a \rangle$ ; then  $ax \leq_S \xi$  and  $ax \in \langle \xi \rangle = E_S$ . Therefore,  $axa = ax \cdot a = a \cdot xa$  implies that  $axa \leq_S a$ , i.e.,  $x \in T(a)$ . It follows by definition of  $a^*$ , that  $x \leq_S a^*$ . Thus  $a^*$  is the greatest element of  $\langle \xi \cdot a \rangle$ , that is,  $\xi \cdot a$  exists in  $S$ . Hence by [7], Theorem 3.5,  $S$  is an  $F$ -semigroup.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $S$  be a regular semigroup. Then  $S$  is an  $F$ -semigroup if and only if  $S$  is an  $E$ -unitary monoid such that for every  $a \in S$ ,  $\max T(a)$  exists.*

PROOF. By [6], Theorem 3.14,  $S$  is a generalized  $F$ -semigroup if and only if  $S$  is an  $E$ -unitary monoid. Thus the result follows from Theorem 3.3.

REMARKS. (1) There are non-regular  $F$ -semigroups  $S$  in which for every  $a \in S$ ,  $\max T(a)$  exists. For example:

(i)  $S = S_0 \cup S_1$ , the inflation of the semilattice  $Y = \{0, 1\}$  such that  $S_0 = \{0\}$ ,  $S_1 = \{1, \alpha\}$ .  $S$  is not regular, since  $\alpha \in S$  is not so, and  $\max T(0) = \max T(1) = \max T(\alpha) = \alpha$ ;  $S$  is an  $F$ -semigroup by [7], Example (2).

(ii)  $S = \bigcup_{g \in G} S_g$ , the inflation of the group  $G$  with  $|S_g| = 2$  for every  $g \in G$ .  $S$  is a non-regular  $F$ -semigroup (by [7], Corollary 6.2) and for every  $a \in S$ ,  $\max T(a) = b$

if  $a \in S_g$  and  $S_{g^{-1}} = \{g^{-1}, b\}$ . In fact, let  $x \in S_h$ ; then since  $g \leq_S a$  (see [7], Section 6) we have

$$x \in T(a) \Leftrightarrow axa \leq_S a \Leftrightarrow ghg \leq_S a \Leftrightarrow ghg = g \Leftrightarrow h = g^{-1} \Leftrightarrow x \in S_{g^{-1}},$$

whence  $T(a) = S_{g^{-1}} = \{g^{-1}, b\}$ ; since  $g^{-1} <_S b$  we get  $\max T(a) = b$ .

Note that both are examples of  $F$ -semigroups whose pivot  $\xi$  is not regular: in (i)  $\xi = \alpha$ , in (ii)  $\xi = c$  if  $S_{1_G} = \{1_G, c\}$ .

(2) Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -regular semigroup and let  $a \in S$ . Then  $\xi = 1_S$ ,  $1_S : a$  exists and  $1_S : a = \max\{x \in S \mid ax \leq_S 1_S\} = \max\{x \in S \mid ax \in E_S\}$  (see Section 1). Furthermore, by the proof of Theorem 3.3,  $\max T(a) = \xi : a = 1_S : a$ . Finally by Corollary 2.5,  $1_S : a = \max A(a)$ . Therefore we have

$$1_S : a = \max\{x \in S \mid ax \in E_S\} = \max\{x \in S \mid axa \leq_S a\} = \max\{x \in S \mid axa = a\}.$$

Finally, we give an axiomatic description of  $F$ -regular semigroups, which follows from a characterization of general  $F$ -semigroup due to M. Petrich (see [7], Theorem 3.9). It consists of three axioms for a unary operation defined on an  $F$ -regular semigroup  $S$ , which reflect properties of the set of greatest elements in the different  $\rho$ -classes of  $S$  (see the next Section).

**Theorem 3.5.** (M. Petrich) *Let  $S$  be a regular semigroup. Then  $S$  is  $F$ -regular if and only if  $S$  has a unary operation  $a \rightarrow a^*$  satisfying*

- (1)  $(ab)^* = (a^*b)^* = (ab^*)^*$  for all  $a, b \in S$ ,
- (2)  $a \leq_S a^*$  for any  $a \in S$ ,
- (3)  $e^* = f^*$  for all  $e, f \in E_S$ .

**PROOF.** *Necessity.* Let  $\rho$  be the defining group congruence on  $S$  and for any  $a \in S$ , let  $a^*$  be the greatest element of  $a\rho \in S/\rho$ . Then for all  $a, b \in S$ ,  $apa^*$  and  $bpb^*$  imply that  $abpa^*bpb^*$ , whence (1) holds. (2) follows from the definition of  $a^*$ . If  $e, f \in E_S$  then  $e\rho f$ , thus (3) holds.

*Sufficiency.* Define a relation  $\rho$  on  $S$  by:  $a\rho b \Leftrightarrow a^* = b^*$ . Then because of condition (1),  $\rho$  is a congruence on  $S$ . Since  $S$  is regular, so is  $S/\rho$ . Let  $a\rho, b\rho \in E_{S/\rho}$ ; then by the Lemma of Lallement,  $a\rho = e\rho$  and  $b\rho = f\rho$  for some  $e, f \in E_S$ . It follows by condition (3), that  $a^* = e^* = f^* = b^*$ , whence  $a\rho b$  and  $a\rho = b\rho$ . Therefore,  $S/\rho$  is a group. It remains to prove that  $S$  is an  $F$ -semigroup.

Let  $a \in S$ ; we first show that  $a^* \in a\rho$ . Since by condition (2),  $a \leq_S a^*$  we have  $a = ea^* = ea$  for some  $e \in E_S$ . Hence in  $S/\rho$ ,  $a\rho = (e\rho)(a^*\rho) = (e\rho)(a\rho)$ , so that  $a^*\rho = a\rho$ . Now, if  $b \in a\rho$ , then  $b\rho a$  and  $b^* = a^*$ . Hence it follows by condition (2), that  $b \leq_S a^*$ . Therefore,  $a^*$  is the greatest element of the  $\rho$ -class  $a\rho \in S/\rho$ , and  $S$  is an  $F$ -semigroup.

#### 4. Two unary operations

Let  $S$  be a  $F$ -regular semigroup. Then by Remark (2) of Section 3, for each  $a \in S$ ,  $1_S : a \in S$  exists and  $a(1_S : a)a = a$ . Putting

$$a^* = 1_S : a$$



the assignment  $a \rightarrow a^*$  defines a unary operation on  $S$  (see Theorem 3.5). Note that  $aa^*a = a$  implies that  $aa^*, a^*a \in E_S$ . Also by [7], Corollary 3.3(1),  $a^* \in S$  is the greatest element of the  $\rho$ -class  $(a\rho)^{-1} \in S/\rho = G$ , where  $\rho$  is the least group congruence on  $S$ .

For partially ordered semigroups  $(S, \cdot, \leq)$  the  $*$ -operation was defined as  $a^* = \max\{x \in S \mid axa \leq a\}$  in [1] studying perfect strong Dubreil–Jacotin semigroups and was used in [4] to define principally ordered regular semigroups (compare with Remark (2) of Section 3).

We collect some basic properties of this operation writing  $a^{**} = (a^*)^*$ . Recall that  $V(a) = \{x \in S \mid a = axa, x = xax\}$  and  $A(a) = \{x \in S \mid a = axa\}$  for any  $a \in S$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -regular semigroup. Then for all  $a, b \in S, e \in E_S$  the following hold:*

- (i)  $a \leq_S a^{**}$ ; (ii)  $a \leq_S b \Rightarrow a^* = b^*, aa^* \leq_S bb^*, a^*a \leq_S b^*b$ ; (iii)  $a^{***} = a^*$ ;
- (iv)  $a^{**} = \max V(a^*)$ ; (v)  $a^* \rho a'$  for every  $a' \in A(a)$ ; (vi)  $e^* = 1_S$ ;
- (vii)  $(ea)^* = a^*e^* = a^* = e^*a^* = (ae)^*$ ; (viii)  $aa^* \leq_S a^{**}a^*, a^*a \leq_S a^*a^{**}$ .

PROOF. (i) By [7], Corollary 3.3 (2),  $(a^*)^* = 1_S : a^* = 1_S : (1_S : a)$  is the greatest element of the  $\rho$ -class  $a\rho \in S/\rho$ , hence  $a \leq_S a^{**}$ .

(ii) Since by [7], Lemma 2.1, every  $\rho$ -class of  $S$  is a principal order ideal of  $(S, \leq_S)$ ,  $a \leq_S b$  implies that  $a \in b\rho$ , that is,  $a \rho b$ . Therefore the greatest element of the  $\rho$ -class  $(a\rho)^{-1} = (b\rho)^{-1}$  is  $a^* = b^*$ . Furthermore,  $a = eb = bf$  for some  $e, f \in E_S$ ; hence

$$aa^* = eb \cdot a^* = e \cdot ba^* = e \cdot bb^*, aa^* = bf \cdot a^* = bb^*b \cdot fa^* = bb^* \cdot bfa^*;$$

since  $aa^*, bb^* \in E_S$  it follows that  $aa^* \leq_S bb^*$ , and similarly  $a^*a \leq_S b^*b$ .

(iii) By (i),  $a \leq_S a^{**}$ , whence by (ii),  $a^* = a^{***}$ .

(iv) By Corollary 2.4,  $a^*a^{**}a^* = a^*$ , and  $a^{**}a^{***}a^{**} = a^{**}$ ; hence by (iii),  $a^{**}a^*a^{**} = a^{**}$ . Thus  $a^{**} \in V(a^*)$ . Let  $a' \in V(a^*)$ ; then  $a^*a'a^* = a^*$  so that  $a' \leq_S \max\{x \in S \mid a^*xa^* \leq_S a^*\} = a^{**}$  by Remark (2) of Section 3.

(v) Let  $a' \in S$  be such that  $aa'a = a$ ; then by Remark (2) of Section 3,  $a' \leq_S a^*$ . Since by [7], Lemma 2.1, every  $\rho$ -class is a principal order ideal of  $(S, \leq_S)$ , it follows that  $a' \rho a^*$ .

(vi) The greatest element of the  $\rho$ -class  $(e\rho)^{-1} = 1_G^{-1} = 1_G \in G = S/\rho$  is by definition the pivot  $\xi = 1_S$  of  $S$ . Thus  $e^* = 1_S$ .

(vii) The greatest element of  $[(ea)\rho]^{-1} = [(e\rho)(a\rho)]^{-1} = (a\rho)^{-1} \in S/\rho$  is  $a^*$ . Thus by (vi),  $(ea)^* = a^* = a^*e^* = e^*a^*$ .

(viii) By (i) we have that  $a \leq_S a^{**}$ . Hence by (ii),  $aa^* \leq_S a^{**}a^{***}$ . Therefore  $aa^* \leq_S a^{**}a^*$  by (iii), and similarly  $a^*a \leq_S a^*a^{**}$ .

REMARK. The identity  $(ab)^* = b^*a^*$  (compare with (vii)) does not hold for  $F$ -regular semigroups, in general. For example: let  $G_\alpha = \{1_\alpha, a, b\}$  the three-element group,  $G_\beta = \{1_\beta\}$  the one-element group,  $Y = \{\alpha, \beta\}$  with  $\alpha <_Y \beta$  be the two-element chain, and let  $\varphi_{\beta, \alpha} : G_\beta \rightarrow G_\alpha, 1_\beta \varphi_{\beta, \alpha} = 1_\alpha$ . Then by Example (4) of

Section 2, the Clifford–semigroup  $S = \langle Y; G_\alpha, G_\beta; \varphi_{\beta, \alpha} \rangle$  is an  $F$ –regular semigroup. Since  $E_S = \{1_\alpha, 1_\beta\}$ , where  $1_\beta$  is the identity  $1_S \in S$  and  $1_\alpha <_S 1_\beta$ , we have for  $a, b \in G_\alpha$  (note that  $ax \in G_\alpha$  for every  $x \in S$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} a^* = 1_S : a &= \max\{x \in S \mid ax \leq_S 1_S\} = \max\{x \in S \mid ax \in E_S\} \\ &= \max\{x \in S \mid ax = 1_\alpha\} = \max\{a^{-1}\} = \max\{b\} = b, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly  $b^* = a$ . Hence  $b^*a^* = ab = 1_\alpha$ ; but  $(ab)^* = 1_\alpha^* = 1_S = 1_\beta$  (by Proposition 4.1 (vi)). Thus  $(ab)^* \neq b^*a^*$ .

Concerning  $F$ –regular semigroups satisfying the above identity see Section 6.

The second unary operation was introduced for partially ordered semigroups in [4]. We define for an  $F$ –regular semigroup  $S$  and any  $a \in S$ :

$$a^\circ = a^*aa^*, \text{ where } a^* = 1_S : a.$$

Again the assignment  $a \rightarrow a^\circ$  gives a unary operation on  $S$ . Note that  $aa^\circ, a^\circ a \in E_S$  for any  $a \in S$ , since  $aa^*, a^*a \in E_S$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $S$  be an  $F$ –regular semigroup. Then we have for all  $a, b \in S$ ,  $e \in E_S$ :*

- (i)  $a^\circ \in V(a) = \{x \in S \mid a = axa, x = xax\}$ ; (ii)  $aa^\circ = aa^*, a^\circ a = a^*a$ ;
- (iii)  $a^\circ$  is incomparable with every  $a' \in V(a)$ ,  $a' \neq a^\circ$ , with respect to  $\leq_S$ ;
- (iv)  $a^{*\circ} = a^{o*} = a^{**}$ ; (v)  $e^\circ = e$ ; (vi)  $aa^* = a^{**}a^\circ, a^*a = a^\circ a^{**}$ ;
- (vii)  $a^{oo} = a$ ; (viii)  $a^{**}a^*a = a = aa^*a^{**}$ ; (ix)  $a \leq_S b \Rightarrow aa^\circ \leq_S bb^\circ, a^\circ a \leq_S b^\circ b$ .

PROOF. (i) By Remark (2) of Section 3,  $aa^*a = a$ , thus

$$aa^\circ a = a \cdot a^*aa^* \cdot a = (aa^*)^2 a = aa^*a = a,$$

$$a^\circ aa^\circ = a^*aa^* \cdot a \cdot a^*aa^* = (a^*a)^3 a^* = a^*aa^* = a^\circ.$$

(ii)  $a^\circ = a^*aa^* \Rightarrow aa^\circ = aa^*aa^* = aa^*$  and similarly,  $a^\circ a = a^*a$ .

(iii) Let  $a' \in V(a)$  be such that  $a' \leq_S a^\circ$  or  $a^\circ \leq_S a'$ . In the first case,  $a' = ea^\circ = a^\circ f$  for some  $e, f \in E_S$ . Hence by (i),  $aa' = a \cdot ea^\circ = ae \cdot a^\circ aa^\circ = aea^\circ \cdot aa^\circ = aa' \cdot a \cdot a^\circ = aa^\circ$ , and similarly  $a'a = a^\circ a$ . It follows that  $a' = a' \cdot aa' = a' \cdot aa^\circ = a'a \cdot a^\circ = a^\circ a \cdot a^\circ = a^\circ$  by (i). The second case is dealt with interchanging  $a'$  and  $a^\circ$ .

(iv)

$$a^{*\circ} = (a^*)^\circ = a^{**}a^*a^{**} = a^{**}a^{***}a^{**} = a^{**} \text{ by Proposition 4.1(iii),}$$

$$a^{o*} = (a^\circ)^* = (a^*a \cdot a^*)^* = a^{**} \text{ by Proposition 4.1(vii).}$$

(v) By Proposition 4.1(vi),  $e^* = 1_S$  whence  $e^\circ = e^*ee^* = e$ .

(vi) By Proposition 4.1(viii),  $aa^* \leq_S a^{**}a^*$ . Since both of these elements are idempotent it follows that  $aa^* = a^{**}a^* \cdot aa^* = a^{**} \cdot a^*aa^* = a^{**}a^\circ$ . Similarly,  $a^*a = a^\circ a^{**}$ .

(vii) By (iv) and (vi) we have

$$a^{oo} = (a^\circ)^\circ = a^{o*} \cdot a^\circ \cdot a^{o*} = a^{**} \cdot a^\circ \cdot a^{**} = a^{**} \cdot a^\circ a^{**} = a^{**} \cdot a^*a,$$

and similarly,  $a^{oo} = aa^*a^{**}$ . Thus  $a^{oo} = a^{**}a^* \cdot a = a \cdot a^*a^*$  with  $a^{**}a^*, a^*a^{**} \in E_S$ , whence  $a^{oo} \leq_S a$ . For the opposite we have by Proposition 4.1(viii), that  $aa^* \leq_S a^{**}a^*$  and  $a^*a \leq_S a^*a^{**}$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} a &= aa^*a = a \cdot a^*a^{**}a^* \cdot a = aa^* \cdot a^{**}a^*a = aa^* \cdot a^{oo} \\ a &= aa^*a = a \cdot a^*a^{**}a^* \cdot a = aa^*a^{**} \cdot a^*a = a^{oo} \cdot a^*a. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $aa^*, a^*a \in E_S$  it follows that  $a \leq_S a^{oo}$  and equality prevails.

(viii) By the proof of (vii),  $a^{**}a^*a = a^{oo} = aa^*a^{**}$ . Since by (vii),  $a^{oo} = a$  the statement follows.

(ix) Let  $a \leq_S b$ ; then by Proposition 4.1(ii),  $aa^* \leq_S bb^*$ . Since by (ii),  $aa^* = aa^o$  and  $bb^* = bb^o$  it follows that  $aa^o \leq_S bb^o$ , and similarly,  $a^o a \leq_S b^o b$ .

REMARK. For  $F$ -regular semigroups, the identity  $(ab)^o = b^o a^o$  is not satisfied, in general. For example, consider a two - element leftzero semigroup with an identity adjoined:  $S = \{e, f, 1\}$ . Then by Example (2) in Section 2,  $S$  is an  $F$ -regular semigroup. By Proposition 4.2(v),  $e^o = e$  and  $f^o = f$ , whence  $(ef)^o = e^o = e \neq f = fe = f^o e^o$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -regular semigroup. Then  $(ab)^o = b^o a^o$  holds for all  $a, b \in S$  if and only if  $S$  is  $F$ -inverse.*

PROOF. *Necessity.* Let  $e, f \in E_S$ ; then by [6], Proposition 3.7,  $ef \in E_S$ . It follows by Proposition 4.2(v) and the hypothesis, that  $ef = (ef)^o = f^o e^o = fe$ . Hence  $S$  is an inverse semigroup.

*Sufficiency.* Let  $a \in S$ ; we show that  $a^o = a^{-1}$ . By Proposition 4.2(i),  $a^o \in V(a)$ . Since  $S$  is inverse,  $V(a) = \{a^{-1}\}$  whence  $a^o = a^{-1}$ . Now the statement follows from the identity  $(ab)^{-1} = b^{-1}a^{-1}$ , which holds in every inverse semigroup.

## 5. A representation

Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -regular semigroup. Then by Theorem 3.2,  $S$  can be characterized as a regular monoid such that for every  $a \in S$  there exists a greatest  $x \in S$  with  $ax \in E_S$ . Furthermore by Proposition 3.1,  $S$  is necessarily  $E$ -unitary. Hence we are dealing with particular  $E$ -unitary regular semigroups. A construction of all  $E$ -unitary regular semigroups was given in [17] in the following way (see also [8], Theorem IX.5.6):

Let  $G$  be a group, let  $(X, *)$  be a strictly combinatorial semigroup, and let  $Y \subseteq X$  be such that

T1)  $G$  acts on  $X$  on the left by automorphisms and  $G \cdot Y = X$ ;

T2)  $Y$  is a right ideal of  $X$  and the nonzero idempotents of  $Y$  form a subsemigroup of  $X$ ;

T3) for every  $g \in G$  there exists  $a \in Y$  such that  $g \cdot a \in Z$ , where

$$Z = \{x \in X \mid x \neq 0, x \text{ has an inverse in } Y\}.$$

On  $P = PO(G, X, Y) = \{(a, g) \in Y \times G \mid g^{-1} \cdot a \in Z\}$  define a multiplication by

$$(a, g)(b, h) = (a * g \cdot b, gh).$$

Then  $(P, \cdot)$  is an  $E$ -unitary regular semigroup with  $E_P = \{(e, 1_G) \in P \mid e \in E_Y \setminus \{0\}\}$ ; conversely every such semigroup can be constructed in this way. Specializing this construction we obtain the desired representation by Szendrei triples  $(G, X, Y)$ . To this end we need some preliminary results.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $P = PO(G, X, Y)$ . Then  $P$  has an identity if and only if  $Y$  contains a greatest idempotent.*

PROOF. *Necessity.* If  $(\omega, h) \in P$  is the identity of  $P$ , then  $(\omega, h) \in E_P$  so that  $\omega \in E_Y \setminus \{0\}$  and  $h = 1_G$ . Let  $e \in E_Y, e \neq 0$ ; then  $(e, 1_G) \in P$  since  $1_G^{-1} \cdot e = 1_G \cdot e = e \neq 0$  and  $e \in E_Y$  has trivially an inverse in  $Y$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega, 1_G)(e, 1_G) &= (e, 1_G) \Rightarrow (\omega * 1_G \cdot e, 1_G) = (e, 1_G) \Rightarrow \omega * e = e; \\ (e, 1_G)(\omega, 1_G) &= (e, 1_G) \Rightarrow (e * 1_G \cdot \omega, 1_G) = (e, 1_G) \Rightarrow e * \omega = e. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $e \leq_Y \omega$  and  $\omega \in E_Y$  is the greatest idempotent of  $Y$ .

*Sufficiency.* If  $\omega \in E_Y$  denotes the greatest idempotent of  $Y$  then first  $(\omega, 1_G) \in P$  since  $1_G^{-1} \cdot \omega = 1_G \cdot \omega = \omega \in E_Y$  has an inverse in  $Y$ . Note that  $\omega \neq 0$ , since the non zero idempotents of  $Y$  form a subsemigroup by condition T2) on  $Y$ , hence there exists a nonzero idempotent in  $Y$ .

Let  $(a, g) \in P$ ; then  $g^{-1} \cdot a \in Z$ . Let  $c \in Y$  be an inverse of  $g^{-1} \cdot a \in X$  in  $Y$ , that is,  $c * g^{-1} \cdot a * c = c$ . Hence  $c * g^{-1} \cdot a \in E_Y$  since  $Y$  is a right ideal of  $X$ , by condition T2). Furthermore, by [8], p. 367, we have that

$$(a, g)(c, g^{-1})(a, g) = (a, g), \quad a * g \cdot c * a = a, \quad a * g \cdot c \in E_Y.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (a, g)(\omega, 1_G) &= (a, g)(c, g^{-1})(a, g) \cdot (\omega, 1_G) = (a, g) \cdot (c * g^{-1} \cdot a, 1_G) \cdot (\omega, 1_G) \\ &= (a, g) \cdot (c * g^{-1} \cdot a * 1_G \cdot \omega, 1_G) = (a, g)(c * g^{-1} \cdot a, 1_G) = (a * g \cdot (c * g^{-1} \cdot a), g) \\ &= (a * g \cdot c * g \cdot (g^{-1} \cdot a), g) = (a * g \cdot c * a, g) = (a, g); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega, 1_G)(a, g) &= (\omega, 1_G) \cdot (a, g)(c, g^{-1})(a, g) = (\omega, 1_G)(a * g \cdot c, 1_G) \cdot (a, g) \\ &= (\omega * a * g \cdot c, 1_G)(a, g) = (a * g \cdot c, 1_G)(a, g) = (a * g \cdot c * a, g) = (a, g). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(\omega, 1_G)$  is the identity of  $P$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *Every  $E_S \setminus \{0\}$ -unitary semigroup  $S$  is  $E_S \setminus \{0\}$ -reflexive (i.e., for  $a, b \in S$ ,  $ab \in E_S \setminus \{0\}$  implies that  $ba \in E_S \setminus \{0\}$ ).*

PROOF. Let  $a, b \in S$  be such that  $ab \in E_S \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $c = ba \in S$  satisfies  $c^3 = bababa = b(ab)^2a = baba = c^2$ , whence  $c^4 = c^3 = c^2 \in E_S$ . Now  $c^2 \neq 0$ , otherwise  $ab = (ab)^3 = ababab = ac^2b = 0$ . Since  $S$  is  $E_S \setminus \{0\}$ -unitary,  $c^2 \cdot c = c^3 = c^2 \in E_S \setminus \{0\}$  implies that  $c = ba \in E_S \setminus \{0\}$ .

Let  $P = PO(G, X, Y)$  and  $(a, g) \in P$ ; we put

$$Y_{(a, g)} = \{y \in Y \setminus \{0\} \mid (y, g^{-1}) \in P, a * g \cdot y \in E_Y \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $P = PO(G, X, Y)$  be such that  $E_Y \setminus 0$  is a unitary subset of the semigroup  $(E_X, *)$  (i.e.,  $ef \in E_Y \setminus 0$  or  $fe \in E_Y \setminus 0$ ,  $e \in E_Y \setminus 0$ ,  $f \in E_X \setminus 0$  implies  $f \in E_Y \setminus 0$ ). Then for any  $(a, g) \in P$  and  $y, z \in Y_{(a, g)}$ :*

$$y \leq_X z \text{ if and only if } y = e * z = z * g^{-1} \cdot f \text{ for some } e, f \in E_Y \setminus 0.$$

PROOF. *Sufficiency* holds since  $(X, *)$  is regular and  $e, g^{-1} \cdot f \in E_Y \setminus 0$ .

*Necessity.* Let  $y, z \in Y_{(a, g)}$  be such that  $y \leq_X z$ . Then  $a * g \cdot y \in E_Y \setminus 0$ ,  $a * g \cdot z \in E_Y \setminus 0$  and  $y = e * z = z * f'$  for some  $e, f' \in E_X \setminus 0$  ( $y \neq 0$ ). Thus

$$a * g \cdot y = a * g \cdot (z * f') = (a * g \cdot z) * g \cdot f' \in E_Y \setminus 0.$$

Since  $g \cdot f' \in E_X \setminus 0$  it follows by hypothesis, that  $g \cdot f' \in E_Y \setminus 0$ . Hence  $g \cdot f' = f$  for some  $f \in E_Y \setminus 0$ , so that  $g^{-1} \cdot (g \cdot f') = g^{-1} \cdot f$ , i.e.,  $f' = g^{-1} \cdot f$  with  $f \in E_Y \setminus 0$ .

Furthermore,  $y * g^{-1} \cdot a \in Y$  since  $Y$  is a right ideal of  $X$ . Also,  $a * g \cdot y \in E_Y \setminus 0$  implies that  $g^{-1} \cdot (a * g \cdot y) = g^{-1} \cdot a * y$  is a nonzero idempotent of  $(X, *)$ . It follows by Lemma 5.2 (for  $S = (X, *)$ ), that  $y * g^{-1} \cdot a \in E_Y \setminus 0$ . Similarly,  $z * g^{-1} \cdot a \in E_Y \setminus 0$ . Therefore we have that

$$e * (z * g^{-1} \cdot a) = (e * z) * g^{-1} \cdot a = y * g^{-1} \cdot a \in E_Y \setminus 0.$$

Hence it follows by hypothesis, that  $e \in E_Y \setminus 0$ . Thus  $y = e * z = z * g^{-1} \cdot f$  for some  $e, f \in E_Y \setminus 0$ .

The announced representation theorem for F-regular semigroups follows.

**Theorem 5.4.** *A semigroup  $S$  is F-regular if and only if  $S$  is isomorphic with some  $P = PO(G, X, Y)$  such that*

- (1)  $Y$  contains a greatest idempotent,
- (2)  $E_Y \setminus 0$  is a unitary subset of  $(E_X, *)$ ,
- (3) for every  $(a, g) \in P$ ,  $m = \max Y_{(a, g)}$  exists with respect to  $\leq_X$ .

PROOF. *Sufficiency.* First by [17] (see also [8]),  $P$  is a regular ( $E$ -unitary) semigroup. By condition (1),  $P$  has an identity (see Lemma 5.1). Let  $(a, g) \in P$ ; then by condition (3),  $(m, g^{-1}) \in P$  and  $a * g \cdot m \in E_Y \setminus 0$ . Therefore

$$(a, g)(m, g^{-1}) = (a * g \cdot m, 1_G) \in E_P.$$

Let  $(y, h) \in P$  be such that  $(a, g)(y, h) \in E_P$ . Then  $a * g \cdot y \in E_Y \setminus 0$  and  $gh = 1_G$ , i.e.,  $h = g^{-1}$  and  $(y, g^{-1}) \in P$ . Hence  $y \in Y_{(a, g)}$ , and by definition of  $m \in Y \setminus 0$  in condition (3),  $y \leq_X m$ . Thus by Lemma 5.3 (using condition (2)),  $y = e * m = m * g^{-1} \cdot f$  for some  $e, f \in E_Y \setminus 0$ , so that

$$(y, g^{-1}) = (e, 1_G)(m, g^{-1}) = (m, g^{-1})(f, 1_G) \text{ where } (e, 1_G), (f, 1_G) \in E_P.$$

Therefore  $(y, g^{-1}) \leq_P (m, g^{-1})$ , which shows that  $(m, g^{-1}) \in P$  is the greatest of all elements  $(y, h) \in P$  such that  $(a, g)(y, h) \in E_P$ . It follows by Theorem 3.2, that  $P$  is  $F$ -regular. Therefore, so is  $S$ .

*Necessity.* By Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.2,  $S$  is a regular  $E$ -unitary monoid such that for every  $a \in S$  there exists a greatest  $x \in S$  with  $ax \in E_S$ . In particular, it follows by [17] ([8], Theorem IX.5.6) that  $S$  is isomorphic with the semigroup  $P = PO(G, X, Y)$  where  $G$  is the greatest group which is an homomorphic image of  $S$ ,  $X = (G \times S) \cup \{0\}$  with operation  $(g, s)(h, t) = (g, st)$  if  $g\gamma(s) = h$ , and  $= 0$  otherwise, and  $Y = (\{1_G\} \times S) \cup \{0\}$ .

We will show that  $P$  satisfies the conditions (1), (2), and (3).

ad(1): Since  $S$  has an identity, also  $P$  has one. Thus by Lemma 5.1,  $Y$  has a greatest idempotent.

ad(2): Let  $e \in E_Y \setminus 0$ ,  $f \in E_X \setminus 0$  be such that  $ef \in E_Y \setminus 0$ . Then  $e = (1_G, e')$  for some  $e' \in E_S$ ,  $f = (g, f')$  for some  $g \in G, f' \in E_S$ . Since  $ef \in E_Y \setminus 0$  we have  $(g, e'f') = (1_G, t)$  for some  $t \in E_S$ . Thus  $g = 1_G$  and so  $f = (1_G, f') \in E_Y \setminus 0$ . Similarly for  $fe \in E_Y \setminus 0$ .

ad(3): Let  $(a, g) \in P$ ; then by hypothesis, there exists a greatest  $(m, h) \in P$  such that  $(a, g)(m, h) \in E_P$ . Hence  $a * g \cdot m \in E_Y \setminus 0$  and  $gh = 1_G$ , i.e.,  $h = g^{-1}$  and  $(m, g^{-1}) \in E_P$ . Thus  $m \in Y_{(a, g)}$ . Let  $y \in Y_{(a, g)}$ ; then  $(y, g^{-1}) \in P$  and  $a * g \cdot y \in E_Y \setminus 0$ . Therefore

$$(a, g)(y, g^{-1}) = (a * g \cdot y, 1_G) \in E_P.$$

It follows that  $(y, g^{-1}) \leq_P (m, g^{-1})$ . Hence  $y = e * m = m * g^{-1} \cdot f$  for some  $e, f \in E_Y \setminus 0$  (note that  $P$  is regular and  $E_P = \{(e, 1_G) \in P \mid e \in E_Y \setminus 0\}$ ). Thus it follows by Lemma 5.3, that  $y \leq_X m$ . Therefore  $m = \max Y_{(a, g)}$ .

**Corollary 5.5.** *A semigroup  $S$  is generalized  $F$ -regular if and only if  $S$  is isomorphic with a semigroup  $P = PO(G, X, Y)$  such that  $Y$  contains a greatest idempotent.*

PROOF. By [6], Corollary 3.14, a regular semigroup  $S$  is a generalized  $F$ -regular semigroup if and only if  $S$  is an  $E$ -unitary monoid, that is, if and only if  $S$  is isomorphic with some  $P = PO(G, X, Y)$  where  $Y$  contains a greatest idempotent (see Lemma 5.1).

REMARK. The particular case, where  $S$  is generalized  $F$ -inverse, was dealt with in [6], Section 4, using McAlister's  $P$ -semigroups  $P(G, X, Y)$ . In particular, if  $S$  is an  $F$ -inverse semigroup then by [11], Theorem 2.8,  $S$  is isomorphic with  $P(G, X, Y)$  where  $X$  is a semilattice and  $Y$  is principal ideal of  $X$ .

## 6. A particular case

In this Section, a representation theorem for a particular class of  $F$ -regular semigroups is proved, which simplifies the general representation given in Section 5 considerably.

Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -regular semigroup satisfying  $(ab)^* = b^*a^*$  for all  $a, b \in S$ . Recall that for  $a \in S$ ,  $a^* = 1_S : a$  (see Section 4). We will call such an  $S$  an  $F$ -regular  $*$ -semigroup. Putting  $S^* = \{a^* \mid a \in S\}$  we first have

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -regular semigroup. Then  $S^* = \{x \in S \mid x \text{ is the greatest element of a } \rho\text{-class}\} = \{m \in S \mid m \text{ is maximal in } (S, \leq_S)\}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $a^* \in S^*$ ; then by [7], Corollary 3.3,  $a^*$  is the greatest element of the  $\rho$ -class  $(a\rho)^{-1} \in S/\rho$ . Hence by [7], Lemma 5.1,  $a^*$  is a maximal element in  $(S, \leq_S)$ . Conversely, let  $m$  be a maximal element of  $(S, \leq_S)$ . Then by [7], Lemma 5.1,  $m$  is the greatest element of its  $\rho$ -class. By [7], Corollary 3.3 (2), the greatest element of the  $\rho$ -class  $m\rho \in S/\rho$  is given by  $1_S : (1_S : m) = 1_S : m^* = (m^*)^*$ , whence  $m = (m^*)^* \in S^*$ .

**Proposition 6.2.** *Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -regular semigroup. Then  $(ab)^* = b^*a^*$  for all  $a, b \in S$  if and only if  $S^*$  is a subsemigroup of  $S$ .*

PROOF. *Sufficiency.* Let  $a, b \in S$ ; then  $b^*a^* \in (b\rho)^{-1}(a\rho)^{-1} = [(a\rho)(b\rho)]^{-1} = [(ab)\rho]^{-1}$ , whose greatest element is  $(ab)^*$  (see Section 4). Hence  $b^*a^* \leq_S (ab)^*$ . Now by hypothesis,  $b^*a^* \in S^*$ ; hence  $b^*a^*$  is a maximal element in  $(S, \leq_S)$ , by Lemma 6.1. It follows that  $b^*a^* = (ab)^*$ .

*Necessity.* Let  $a^*, b^* \in S^*$ ; then by hypothesis,  $a^*b^* = (ba)^* \in S^*$  so that  $S^*$  forms a subsemigroup of  $S$ .

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -regular  $*$ -semigroup. Then  $S^* = H_1$ , the group of units of  $S$ . In particular,  $(a^*)^{-1} = a^{**}$  for every  $a^* \in S^*$ .*

PROOF. Consider  $\varphi : S^* \rightarrow S/\rho, a^*\varphi = a\rho$ ; then it is easy to see that  $\varphi$  is an antiisomorphism (see Section 4). Therefore also  $(S^*, \cdot)$  is a group. Next we show that  $S^* = H_1$ . Note first that  $S$  is a monoid by [6], Corollary 3.14, and that  $1_S \in S^*$  since  $1_S^* = 1_S : 1_S = 1_S$ . Evidently,  $S^* \subseteq H_1$  since  $S^*$  is a group with identity  $1_S$ . Conversely, let  $x \in H_1$ ; then by Corollary 2.4,  $(x^{-1})^*x^{-1} = 1_S \cdot (x^{-1})^*x^{-1} = xx^{-1} \cdot (x^{-1})^*x^{-1} = x \cdot x^{-1}(x^{-1})^*x^{-1} = x \cdot x^{-1} = 1_S$ . Therefore  $x = (x^{-1})^* \in S^*$  so that  $H_1 \subseteq S^*$  and equality prevails. Finally let  $a^* \in S^*$ ; then  $a^*a^{**}a^* = a^*$  (see Section 4). It follows by cancellation in the group  $S^*$  that  $a^*a^{**} = 1_S$ , thus  $(a^*)^{-1} = a^{**}$ .

**Examples** of  $F$ -regular  $*$ -semigroups (see Proposition 6.2):

(1) Every group  $G$ , since for the identity relation  $\rho = \varepsilon$  every  $\rho$ -class is a singleton, whence  $G^* = G$  (which is a subsemigroup of  $G$ ).

(2) Every band  $B$  with identity, since for the universal relation  $\rho = \omega$  the unique  $\rho$ -class is  $B$  which has  $1_B$  as greatest element, whence  $B^* = \{1_B\}$  (which is a subsemigroup of  $B$ ).

(3) The direct product  $S = B \times G$  of a band with identity and a group  $G$ . More generally:

(4) The semidirect product  $S = B \times_{\Theta} G$  of a band  $B$  with identity  $\varepsilon$  and a group  $G$  with respect to an homomorphism  $\Theta : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}B$ , is an  $F$ -regular semigroup. The greatest element of the  $\rho$ -class  $[(\alpha, g)\rho]^{-1} \in S/\rho$  is given by  $(\varepsilon, g^{-1}) \in S$  (see Example (6) of Section 2). Thus by Lemma 6.1,  $S^* = \{(\varepsilon, g) \mid g \in G\}$ . Hence if  $(\varepsilon, g), (\varepsilon, h) \in S^*$ , then  $(\varepsilon, g)(\varepsilon, h) = (\varepsilon * g \cdot \varepsilon, gh) = (\varepsilon, gh) \in S^*$  since every automorphism of  $B$  maps the identity  $\varepsilon \in B$  onto itself. Thus  $S^*$  forms a subsemigroup of  $S$ .

Concerning an example of an  $F$ -regular semigroup  $S$ , for which  $S$  is *not* a subsemigroup, see the Remark following Proposition 4.1.

We proceed to give two representations for  $F$ -regular  $*$ -semigroups. The first will follow from [3] where a construction of all *uniquely unit orthodox semigroups* is provided. These are defined as regular monoids  $S$  such that  $E_S$  forms a subsemigroup and  $|A(x) \cap H_1| = 1$  for every  $x \in S$  (where  $A(x) = \{x' \in S | x = xx'x\}$  is the set of associates of  $x \in S$ , and  $H_1$  is the group of units of  $S$ ). In fact, we will show that this class of semigroups coincides with the class of semigroups under consideration here. The second representation follows as a particular case from Theorem 5.4 in terms of Szendrei triples.

**Theorem 6.4.** *Let  $S$  be a semigroup. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $S$  is an  $F$ -regular  $*$ -semigroup.
- (ii)  $S$  is uniquely unit orthodox.
- (iii)  $S$  is isomorphic to a semidirect product of a band with identity by a group.
- (iv)  $S$  is isomorphic to some  $PO(G, X, X)$ , where  $X$  is a band with identity and a zero adjoined.

PROOF. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): First by [6], Corollary 3.6 and Proposition 3.7,  $S$  is a regular monoid such that  $E_S$  forms a subsemigroup. Furthermore by Lemma 6.3,  $S^* = H_1$ . Let  $x \in S$  and  $a^* \in A(x) \cap H_1 = A(x) \cap S^*$ . Since by Corollary 2.5,  $x^* = 1_S : x = \max(A(x) \cap S^*)$  we have that  $a^* \leq_S x^*$ . Now by Lemma 6.1,  $a^* \in S^*$  is a maximal element of  $(S, \leq_S)$ . Thus it follows that  $a^* = x^*$ , that is,  $|(A(x) \cap H_1)| = 1$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): This holds by [3], Theorem 5.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): This is Example (4) above, in this Section.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv): Let  $G = S^*$  and  $X = E_S^o$ . Then  $G$  is a group (by Lemma 6.3) and  $X$  is a band with identity  $1_S$  (by [6], Proposition 3.7 and Corollary 3.6) and a zero adjoined. Define a left action of  $G$  on  $X$  by:  $g \cdot e = geg^{-1}$  for every  $g \in G$ ,  $e \in X$ . We show that  $(G, X, X)$  is a Szendrei triple.

T1) Let  $g \in G$ ,  $e \in X$ ; then  $\varphi_g : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $\varphi_g(e) = g \cdot e$ , is an automorphism of  $X$ . Indeed,  $g \cdot e \in X$  since  $geg^{-1}geg^{-1} = geg$ ;  $\varphi_g$  is injective, since  $geg^{-1} = gfg^{-1}$  ( $e, f \in X$ ) implies  $e = f$ ;  $\varphi_g$  is surjective, since for  $e \in X$ ,  $\varphi_g(g^{-1}eg) = e$  where  $g^{-1}eg \in X$ ;  $\varphi_g$  is an homomorphism, since for all  $e, f \in X$

$$\varphi_g(e f) = g e f g^{-1} = g e g^{-1} \cdot g f g^{-1} = \varphi_g(e) \varphi_g(f).$$

Also  $G \cdot X = X$ , since  $1_S \in G$  implies that  $1_S \cdot X = X$  (note that  $\varphi_{1_S}$  is the identity function on  $X$ ).

T2) Evidently,  $X$  is a right ideal of  $X$  and  $E_X \setminus \{0\} = E_S$  forms a subsemigroup of  $X$  (by [6], Proposition 3.7).

T3) Let  $g \in G$ ; then for  $e \in X$ ,  $e \neq 0$ , we have that  $g \cdot e \neq 0$  ( $\varphi_g$  is an automorphism) and  $g \cdot e \in E_S$ ; hence  $g \cdot e \in X$  has an inverse in  $X$ .

Thus we can form

$$PO(G, X, X) = \{(e, g) \in X \times G | g^{-1} \cdot e \neq 0\} = \{(e, g) \in X \times G | e \in X \setminus \{0\}, g \in G\} = E_S \times G$$



with the operation

$$(e, g)(f, h) = (e(g \cdot f), gh).$$

We show that  $S$  is isomorphic with  $P = PO(G, X, X)$ . Consider

$$\varphi : S \rightarrow P, \varphi(a) = (aa^*, a^{**}).$$

We have  $aa^* \in E_S$ , since  $aa^*a = a$  (see Remark (2) in Section 3), and  $a^{**} = (a^*)^* \in S^* = G$ , so that indeed  $\varphi(a) \in P$ .

$\varphi$  is injective:

$$\varphi(a) = \varphi(b) \Rightarrow aa^* = bb^*, a^{**} = b^{**} \Rightarrow aa^* \cdot a^{**} = bb^* \cdot b^{**} \Rightarrow a = b \text{ (by Lemma 6.3).}$$

$\varphi$  is surjective:

let  $(e, g) \in P$ ; then  $(e, g) = (e, s^*)$  for some  $s \in S$ ; for  $a = es^* \in S$  we obtain that  $a^* = (es^*)^* = s^{**}e^* = s^{**}$  (by Proposition 4.1 (vi)),  $aa^* = es^*s^{**} = e$  (by Lemma 6.3) and  $a^{**} = s^{***} = s^* = g$  (by Proposition 4.1(iii)); therefore  $\varphi(a) = (e, g)$ .

$\varphi$  is an homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(ab) &= ((ab)(ab)^*, (ab)^{**}) = (abb^*a^*, a^{**}b^{**}) \\ \varphi(a)\varphi(b) &= (aa^*, a^{**})(bb^*, b^{**}) = ((aa^*)(a^{**} \cdot bb^*), a^{**}b^{**}) = (abb^*a^*, a^{**}b^{**}) \end{aligned}$$

since by Lemma 6.3,

$$a^{**} \cdot bb^* = a^{**}bb^*(a^{**})^{-1} = (a^*)^{-1}bb^*a^*,$$

thus

$$(aa^*)(a^{**} \cdot bb^*) = aa^*(a^*)^{-1}bb^*a^* = abb^*a^*.$$

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Let  $P = PO(G, X, X)$  be such that  $(X, *)$  is a band  $B$  with identity and a zero adjoined:  $X = B^0$ . Hence  $P = \{(e, g) \in X \times G \mid g^{-1} \cdot e \neq 0\} = \{(e, g) \in X \times G \mid e \neq 0\} = (X \setminus 0) \times G = B \times G$ . The multiplication on  $P$  is defined by

$$(e, g)(f, e) = (e * (g \cdot f), gh)$$

where  $g \cdot f$  is given by the left action of  $G$  on  $X$ . By condition T1) above, for any  $g \in G$ ,  $\varphi_g : X \setminus 0 \rightarrow X \setminus 0$  defined by  $\varphi_g(e) = g \cdot e$ , is an automorphism of  $B = X \setminus 0$ . Furthermore, by definition of left action,  $\varphi_{gh} = \varphi_g \circ \varphi_h$  for all  $g, h \in G$  (with composition from the right). Thus  $\Theta : G \rightarrow \text{Aut } B$ ,  $\Theta(g) = \varphi_g$ , is an homomorphism of  $G$  into  $(\text{Aut } B, \circ)$ . Hence  $P$  is the semidirect product of the band  $B = X \setminus 0$  with identity by the group  $G$  with respect to the homomorphism  $\Theta$ .

In the particular case of an  $F$ -inverse semigroup satisfying the identity  $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$  the above representations take the same form with "band" replaced by "semilattice". For the proof we will use the representation of an arbitrary  $E$ -unitary inverse semigroup in terms of  $P$ -semigroups  $P = P(G, Y, X)$  given in [11] (but see Theorem 6.5 below): let  $(X, \leq)$  be a down-directed partially ordered set,  $Y$  be an order-ideal and subsemilattice of  $(X, \leq)$ ,  $G$  be a group acting on  $(X, \leq)$  on the left by order

automorphisms such that  $G \cdot Y = X$ ; then  $P = \{(\alpha, g) \in Y \times G \mid g^{-1} \cdot \alpha \in Y\}$  together with the operation

$$(\alpha, g)(\beta, h) = (\alpha \wedge g \cdot \beta, gh)$$

(where  $\wedge$  denotes the meet in  $Y$ ) forms an  $E$ -unitary inverse semigroup; conversely, every such semigroup can be constructed in this way. Note in particular, that for  $\alpha, \beta \in Y$ ,  $\alpha \wedge \beta$  exists in  $Y$  and  $g \cdot (\alpha \wedge \beta) = g \cdot \alpha \wedge g \cdot \beta$  for every  $g \in G$  (see [15], Lemma VII.1.2).

**Theorem 6.5.** *Let  $S$  be a semigroup. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $S$  is an  $F$ -inverse  $*$ -semigroup;
- (ii)  $S$  is isomorphic to some  $P(G, X, X)$ , where  $X$  is a semilattice with identity;
- (iii)  $S$  is isomorphic to some  $PO(G, X^0, X^0)$ , where  $X$  is a semilattice with identity;
- (iv)  $S$  is a semidirect product of a semilattice with identity by a group.

PROOF. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Since  $S$  is  $F$ -inverse,  $S$  is isomorphic to some semigroup  $P = P(G, Y, X)$ , where  $X$  is a semilattice and  $Y$  is a principal order ideal of  $(X, \leq_X)$ , i. e.,  $Y = (\mu]$  for some  $\mu \in X$  (by [11], Theorem 2.8). Furthermore by the proof of Theorem 2.3 in [12], the greatest element of the  $\sigma$ -class  $g \in P/\sigma = G$  ( $\sigma$  the least group-congruence on  $P$ ) is given by:  $(\mu \wedge g \cdot \mu, g) \in P$ . Hence  $P^* = \{(\alpha, g) \in P \mid \alpha = \mu \wedge g \cdot \mu, g \in G\}$ . Since by hypothesis,  $P^*$  forms a subsemigroup of  $P$  we have for every  $g \in G$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu \wedge g \cdot \mu, g)(\mu \wedge g^{-1} \cdot \mu, g^{-1}) \in P^* &\Rightarrow (\mu \wedge g \cdot \mu \wedge g \cdot (\mu \wedge g^{-1} \cdot \mu), 1_G) \in P^* \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow (\mu \wedge g \cdot \mu \wedge 1_G \cdot \mu, 1_G) &= (\mu \wedge 1_G \cdot \mu, 1_G) \Rightarrow (\mu \wedge g \cdot \mu, 1_G) = (\mu, 1_G) \Rightarrow \mu \wedge g \cdot \mu = \mu; \\ \text{thus } g^{-1} \cdot (\mu \wedge g \cdot \mu) &= g^{-1} \cdot \mu \Rightarrow g^{-1} \cdot \mu \wedge \mu = g^{-1} \cdot \mu \Rightarrow g \cdot \mu \wedge \mu = g \cdot \mu; \end{aligned}$$

hence  $g \cdot \mu = \mu$ . It follows that  $Y = X$ ; indeed, we have for every  $g \in G$ :

$$\alpha \in Y \Rightarrow \alpha \in (\mu] \Rightarrow \alpha \leq_X \mu \Rightarrow g \cdot \alpha \leq_X g \cdot \mu = \mu \Rightarrow g \cdot \alpha \in (\mu] = Y;$$

hence  $G \cdot Y \subseteq Y$ , so  $X \subseteq Y$  and  $Y = X$ . Therefore  $P = P(G, X, X)$ , where  $X$  has an identity (since  $X = Y$  has as greatest element  $\mu$ ).

(ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii): If  $(X, \wedge)$  is a semilattice (with identity) then it easy to see that adjoining a zero,  $(X^0, \wedge)$  is a strictly combinatorial semigroup (note that  $S/\mathcal{P}$  consists of  $\{0\}$  and one further class only: see [8], Proposition IX.5.2). For  $Y = X^0$  we have  $Z = X$  and  $(G, X^0, X^0)$  is a Szendrei triple. Furthermore,

$$PO(G, X^0, X^0) = \{(a, g) \in X^0 \times G \mid g^{-1} \cdot a \in X\} = X \times G = P(G, X, X)$$

(note that  $g \cdot 0 = 0$  for any  $g \in G$ ) and the operations on  $P(G, X, X)$  and  $PO(G, X^0, X^0)$  coincide. Therefore (iii) holds. The converse implication is shown similarly.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv): Since  $P = P(G, X, X) = \{(\alpha, g) \in X \times G \mid g^{-1} \cdot \alpha \in X\} = X \times G$ , where multiplication is given by:  $(\alpha, g)(\beta, h) = (\alpha \wedge g \cdot \beta, gh)$ , we have that  $P$  is a semidirect product of the semilattice  $(X, \wedge)$  with identity by the group  $G$ .

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Let  $S$  be a semidirect product of the semilattice  $X$  with identity by the group  $G$ . Then by Example (4) in this Section,  $S$  is an  $F$ -regular  $*$ -semigroup. Since  $E_S = \{(\alpha, 1_G) | \alpha \in X\}$ , it follows that the idempotents of  $S$  commute. Thus  $S$  is an inverse semigroup.

REMARK. A representation of *general*  $F$ -inverse semigroups  $S$  similar to that given in [11], Theorem 2.8, is provided in [15], Theorem VII.5.16. The particular case that  $S$  is a  $*$ -semigroup, can also be deduced from this construction using Exercise VII.5.27(v) in [15].

## References

- [1] T. S. Blyth, Perfect Dubreil-Jacotin semigroups, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh **78** (1977) 101-104.
- [2] T. S. Blyth, E. Giraldes, Perfect elements in Dubreil-Jacotin regular semigroups, Semigroup Forum **45** (1992) 55-62.
- [3] T. S. Blyth, R. McFadden, Unit orthodox semigroups, Glasgow Math. J. **24** (1983) 39-42.
- [4] T. S. Blyth, G. A. Pinto, Principally ordered regular semigroups, Glasgow Math. J. **32** (1990) 349-364.
- [5] C. C. Edwards,  $F$ -regular and  $F$ -orthodox semigroups, Semigroup Forum **19** (1980) 331-345.
- [6] E. Giraldes, P. Marques-Smith, H. Mitsch, Generalized  $F$ -semigroups, submitted.
- [7] E. Giraldes, P. Marques-Smith, H. Mitsch,  $F$ -semigroups, submitted.
- [8] P. A. Grillet, Semigroups, M. Dekker, New York, 1995.
- [9] X. Guo,  $F$ -abundant semigroups, Glasgow Math. J. **43** (2001) 153-163.
- [10] M.V. Lawson, Inverse Semigroups, World Scientific, Singapore, 1998.
- [11] D. B. McAlister, Groups, semilattices and inverse semigroups II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **196** (1974) 351-369.
- [12] D. B. McAlister, E-unitary inverse semigroups over semilattices, Glasgow Math. J. **19** (1978) 1-12.
- [13] R. McFadden, L. O'Carroll,  $F$ -inverse semigroups, Proc. London Math. Soc. **22** (1971) 652-666.
- [14] H. Mitsch, A natural partial order for semigroups. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **97** (1986) 384-388.
- [15] M. Petrich, Inverse Semigroups, J. Wiley & Sons, New York, 1984.
- [16] K. S. Nambooripad, The natural partial order on a regular semigroup, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **23** (1980) 249-260.
- [17] M. Szendrei, A generalization of McAlister's P-Theorem for E-unitary regular semigroups, Acta. Sci. Math. **51** (1987) 229-249.
- [18] V. Wagner, Generalized groups and generalized groups with the transitive compatibility relation, Uchenye Zapiski, Mechano-Math. Series, Saratov State University **70** (1961) 25-39.