



Feasibility of remotely-supervised tDCS in a person with neuropathic pain due to spinal cord injury

Sandra Carvalho, Jorge Leite, Camila B. Pinto, Leslie R. Morse, Ross Zafonte & Felipe Fregni

To cite this article: Sandra Carvalho, Jorge Leite, Camila B. Pinto, Leslie R. Morse, Ross Zafonte & Felipe Fregni (2018): Feasibility of remotely-supervised tDCS in a person with neuropathic pain due to spinal cord injury, The Journal of Spinal Cord Medicine, DOI: [10.1080/10790268.2018.1451239](https://doi.org/10.1080/10790268.2018.1451239)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10790268.2018.1451239>



Published online: 28 Mar 2018.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 26



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

Letter to the Editor

Feasibility of remotely-supervised tDCS in a person with neuropathic pain due to spinal cord injury

Sandra Carvalho^{1,2}, Jorge Leite^{1,2,5}, Camila B. Pinto ¹, Leslie R. Morse ^{6,7}, Ross Zafonte^{3,4}, Felipe Fregni^{1,3}

¹Spaulding Neuromodulation Center, Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA, ²Neuropsychophysiology Laboratory, CIPsi, School of Psychology, University of Minho, Campus de Gualtar, Braga, Portugal, ³Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA, ⁴Spaulding-Harvard Spinal Cord Injury System, Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA, ⁵Portucalense Institute for Human Development, Universidade Portucalense, Porto, Portugal, ⁶Rocky Mountain Regional Spinal Injury System, Craig Rehabilitation Hospital, Englewood, Colorado, USA, ⁷Department of PMR, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, Colorado, USA

Dear Editor:

Nearly 40% of people with spinal cord injury (SCI) report neuropathic pain that is often refractory to medications.^{1,2} Substantial research has shown that anodal transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) over the motor cortex can induce clinically significant pain relief in chronic pain.³⁻⁶ However, these clinical trials often require multiple study visits per trial and are associated to poor adherence to the study protocol. For instance, in our recent tDCS study in SCI, only 7 participants from the initial 46 that were enrolled completed the study.⁷ In fact, despite all attempts to improve adherence, such as flexibility to schedule sessions, free parking and follow-ups by the phone, most participants ended up dropping out from the study.

Since many people with SCI have limited mobility, alternatives for home-based care are needed. Here we report the feasibility of supervised home-based tDCS application in a 57-year old woman with tetraplegia and sublesional neuropathic pain secondary to SCI since 2012. At time of enrollment, she self-reported pain as being 9 out of 10 in a visual analogue scale.

After enrollment, the participant and her caretaker were trained for the tDCS self-administration, using a remote controlled tDCS device (Neuroelectronics Starstim with NIC-home). Stimulation parameters

were the same previously used.^{6,8} The first session of tDCS took place on-site, and the principal investigator and research team ensured that the participant was comfortable with the sensation of stimulation. The remainder 4 sessions of stimulation occurred in the subject's home, under the remote supervision of research team personnel via an internet communication system (providing real time information about the tDCS administration).

Any unanticipated problems were discussed with the participants and adverse effects questionnaire was applied after every session. One follow-up visit (one week post stimulation) occurred on-site to assess the feasibility of home-based-tDCS.

All the 4-remote supervised sessions were successfully conducted. TDCS was generally well tolerated by the participant, consisting of only minor and transient adverse effects such as scalp burn sensation, tingling, and skin redness. Participant and her caretaker did not report any difficulties setting up the device and the stimulation, nor significant burden increase in patients daily life and acknowledged that the remote supervision grant them additional security.

There were no worsening of the clinical symptoms (BPI average pain severity decrease from 7 at baseline to 6.25 and from 9 to 8 in the VAS). According to the telemedicine usefulness and satisfaction questionnaire, the participant reported that the system was very useful and easy to

Correspondence to: Felipe Fregni, Spaulding Neuromodulation Center, Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital, Harvard Medical School, 79/96 13th Street, Charlestown, MA, USA. Email: fregni.felipe@mgh.harvard.edu

perform, and that she would use this system again in the future to help her managing her pain at home.

Currently, a small number of studies have tested remotely supervised tDCS^{9,10} and showed that this technique is rather safe and feasible. Our report reinforces the advantages of the remote supervision and that tDCS can be effectively applied at home providing an alternative pain treatment for SCI subjects, however to be truly portable and not depending of a caregiver new systems with better electrode positioning system needs to be developed.

Disclaimer statements

Contributors None.

Conflict of Interest The authors are responsible for the content and writing of this letter. The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Ethics approval None.

Acknowledgements None.

Funding This project was supported by the National Institute on Disability, Independent Living, and Rehabilitation Research (NIDILRR grant numbers 90DP0035 and H133N110010).

ORCID

Camila B. Pinto  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2820-8703>

Leslie R. Morse  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7426-6341>

References

- 1 Widerstrom-Noga EG, Turk DC. Types and effectiveness of treatments used by people with chronic pain associated with spinal cord injuries: Influence of pain and psychosocial characteristics. *Spinal Cord* 2003;41(11):600-9.
- 2 Siddall PJ, McClelland JM, Rutkowski SB, Cousins MJ. A longitudinal study of the prevalence and characteristics of pain in the first 5 years following spinal cord injury. *Pain* 2003;103(3):249-57.
- 3 Brietzke AP, Rozisky JR, Dussan-Sarria JA, Deitos A, Laste G, Hoppe PF, *et al.* Neuroplastic effects of transcranial direct current stimulation on painful symptoms reduction in chronic hepatitis c: A phase ii randomized, double blind, sham controlled trial. *Front Neurosci* 2015;9:498.
- 4 Villamar MF, Wivatvongvana P, Patumanond J, Bikson M, Truong DQ, Datta A, *et al.* Focal modulation of the primary motor cortex in fibromyalgia using 4 × 1-ring high-definition transcranial direct current stimulation (hd-tDCS): Immediate and delayed analgesic effects of cathodal and anodal stimulation. *J Pain* 2013;14(4):371-83.
- 5 Moreno-Duarte I, Morse LR, Alam M, Bikson M, Zafonte R, Fregni F. Targeted therapies using electrical and magnetic neural stimulation for the treatment of chronic pain in spinal cord injury. *Neuroimage* 2014;85 Pt 3:1003-13.
- 6 Soler MD, Kumru H, Pelayo R, Vidal J, Tormos JM, Fregni F, *et al.* Effectiveness of transcranial direct current stimulation and visual illusion on neuropathic pain in spinal cord injury. *Brain* 2010;133(9):2565-77.
- 7 Carvalho S, Leite J, Jones F, Morse LR, Zafonte R, Fregni F. Study adherence in a tDCS longitudinal clinical trial with people with spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord* 2017.
- 8 Fregni F, Boggio PS, Lima MC, Ferreira MJ, Wagner T, Rigonatti SP, *et al.* A sham-controlled, phase ii trial of transcranial direct current stimulation for the treatment of central pain in traumatic spinal cord injury. *Pain* 2006;122(1-2):197-209.
- 9 Charvet L, Shaw M, Dobbs B, Frontario A, Sherman K, Bikson M, *et al.* Remotely supervised transcranial direct current stimulation increases the benefit of at-home cognitive training in multiple sclerosis. *Neuromodulation* 2017.
- 10 Charvet LE, Dobbs B, Shaw MT, Bikson M, Datta A, Krupp LB. Remotely supervised transcranial direct current stimulation for the treatment of fatigue in multiple sclerosis: Results from a randomized, sham-controlled trial. *Mult Scler* 2017;1352458517732842.