

1 Running Header: BODY IMAGE ANOREXIA BULIMIA

2

3

4 Examining the Distinctiveness of Body Image Concerns in Patients with Anorexia Nervosa and Bulimia
5 Nervosa

6

7 Carlos M. Grilo, Ph.D.¹

8 Ross D. Crosby, Ph.D.^{2,3}

9 Paulo P.P. Machado, Ph.D.⁴

10 ¹ Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

11 ² Neuropsychiatric Research Institute, Fargo, ND, USA

12 ³ University of North Dakota School of Medicine and Health Sciences, Fargo, ND, USA

13 ⁴ CIPsi, School of Psychology, University of Minho, Braga, Portugal

14

15 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: Dr. Grilo was supported, in part, by National Institutes of Health grants R01
16 DK114075, R01 DK112771, and R01 DK49587. Dr. Machado was supported, in part, by the Portuguese
17 Foundation for Science and Technology and the Portuguese Ministry of Science, Technology and Higher
18 Education through national funds and co-financed by FEDER through COMPETE2020 under the PT2020,
19 and grant POCI-01-0145-FEDER-028145. Dr. Crosby is a paid statistical consultant for Health Outcomes
20 Solutions, Winter Park, Florida, USA. The other authors report no relevant conflicts of interest.

21 WORD COUNT: 244 (abstract); 3632 (manuscript)

22

23 DATA STATEMENT: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding
24 author upon reasonable request.

25

26 CORRESPONDENCE: Address to Carlos M. Grilo, Ph.D., Yale School of Medicine, 300 George Street (9th
27 floor, Psychiatry), New Haven, CT 06511. E-mail: carlos.grilo@yale.edu

28

29 This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Grilo, C. M., Crosby, R. D., & Machado, P. P. P.
30 (2019). Examining the distinctiveness of body image concerns in patients with anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa.
31 International Journal of Eating Disorders, 51, 1229-1236, which has been published in final form at [http://doi.org/](http://doi.org/10.1002/eat.23161)
32 10.1002/eat.23161. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley
33 Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

34

Abstract

35
36 **Objective:** This study examined the distinctiveness of specific constructs of body-image
37 disturbance in patients with anorexia nervosa (AN) and bulimia nervosa (BN). We compared
38 weight/shape dissatisfaction, weight/shape overvaluation, weight/shape preoccupation, and fear
39 of weight gain in patients with AN and BN and examined how each specific body-image
40 construct relates to clinical measures *within* and *between* AN and BN. **Method:** A clinical
41 sample of 490 treatment-seeking patients diagnosed with *DSM-5* AN (N=310) or BN (N=180) by
42 clinicians using structured interviews in Portugal completed the Eating Disorder Examination-
43 Questionnaire to assess body image and eating-disorder psychopathology. **Results:** Both within
44 and between AN and BN, the four body-image constructs varied in their strengths of association
45 amongst themselves, with eating-disorder psychopathology, and BMI. Analyses revealed
46 considerable variability in variance accounted for in clinical measures by body-image constructs.
47 Body-image constructs predicted significant, albeit small, variance in BMI within BN
48 (dissatisfaction, preoccupation significant) but not within AN. Body-image constructs predicted
49 significant, albeit small, variance in the frequencies of binge eating and purging in AN (with
50 preoccupation significant for both and fear for purging) but not within BN. Body-image
51 constructs predicted significant variance in eating-disorder psychopathology (large amounts of
52 variance for Eating Concern and Restraint) within both AN and BN (with overvaluation,
53 preoccupation, and fear significant). **Conclusion:** Clinical manifestations of body-image
54 disturbances are complex and show important differences across AN and BN. Understanding
55 distinctions and differential salience of different body-image constructs across different eating
56 disorders can inform refinement of specific case conceptualization.

57
58 **KEY WORDS:** anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa, body image, shape and weight concerns

59 Body image refers broadly to individuals' subjective experiences about their appearance
60 and includes various perceptual, cognitive-evaluative, and affective aspects, which – in turn –
61 influences behavioral and psychosocial functioning (Cash & Smolak, 2011). Disturbances in
62 body image are part of the diagnostic criteria (American Psychiatric Association, 2013) for
63 anorexia nervosa (AN) and bulimia nervosa (BN) and are conceptualized to play critical a role in
64 the maintenance of other eating-disorder (ED) psychopathology such as extreme dietary
65 restriction, binge eating, purging, low weight, and associated eating-related concerns (Fairburn,
66 2008). Understanding distinctions between different body-image constructs in EDs has long been
67 confused despite centrality to cognitive-behavioral models (Fairburn, 2008) and relatively under-
68 studied (Lydecker, White, & Grilo, 2017).

69 At the broadest level, body-image *dissatisfaction* (i.e., feeling badly about one's
70 weight/shape) is so widespread among women in western societies to have long been described
71 as a “normative discontent” (Rodin, Silberstein, & Striegel-Moore, 1984). Body dissatisfaction
72 occurs, to varying degrees, across sex, racial/ethnic, age, and weight groups (Slevec &
73 Tiggemann, 2011). Body-image dissatisfaction, while frequently present, should not to be
74 equated with body-image disturbances characteristic of eating disorders. Research has indicated
75 that disturbances in attitudinal aspects of body image more strongly distinguish eating disorders
76 from control groups than do perceptual disturbances (Molbert et al., 2018) and that patients with
77 BN tend to score higher on measures body-image disturbance than patients with AN (Blechert,
78 Ansorge, Beckmann, & Tuschen-Caffier, 2011; Hrabosky, Cash et al., 2009). Amongst eating
79 disorders, body-image disturbance is conceptualized as a core cognitive “transdiagnostic” feature
80 (Fairburn, 2008) and specific constructs are a required diagnostic criterion (APA, 2013) for both
81 AN (“Intense fear of gaining weight or of becoming fat” and “Disturbance in the way in which

82 one's body weight or shape is experienced [or] undue influence of body weight or shape on self-
83 evaluation") and BN ("Self-evaluation is unduly influenced by body shape and weight").

84 Recent research has attempted to understand the potential distinctiveness of different
85 aspects of body-image disturbance from the eating-disorder literature (e.g., Lydecker et al.,
86 2017). Most emerging research has relied on the Eating Disorder Examination (EDE; Fairburn
87 & Cooper, 1993), an established measure of specific ED psychopathology (Berg, Peterson,
88 Frazier, & Crow, 2012), which includes four related, yet conceptually distinct, constructs of
89 body-image disturbance: *dissatisfaction* with weight/shape (described above), *overvaluation* of
90 weight/shape, *preoccupation* with weight/shape, and *fear* of weight gain. Overvaluation of
91 weight/shape refers to when individuals' self-evaluation is unduly or excessively based on their
92 weight/shape, or their perceived ability to control weight/shape. Preoccupation with
93 weight/shape refers to spending excessive time thinking about weight/shape to the point that this
94 interferes with functioning. Fear of weight gain is an intense and definite fear associated with
95 gaining weight.

96 Research has consistently supported the distinction between dissatisfaction and
97 overvaluation (Grilo et al., 2009; Wade, Zhu, & Martin, 2011) and the prognostic importance of
98 overvaluation (Grilo, White, et al., 2013). More recently, studies have yielded empirical
99 evidence regarding potential variations in associations between the other EDE-based specific
100 body-image constructs and measures of eating-disorder psychopathology (Blechert et al., 2011;
101 Grilo, Ivezaj, Lydecker, & White, 2019; Linardon, Fuller-Tyszkiewicz, de la Piedad Garcia,
102 Messer, & Brennen, 2019; Linardon et al., 2018; Lydecker et al., 2017; Mitchison et al., 2017)
103 and outcomes (Calugi & Dalle Grave, 2019). A few recent studies have jointly considered
104 several body-image constructs. For example, Mitchison and colleagues (2017), compared three

105 body-image constructs in a study with adolescent high school students in Australia, and found
106 preoccupation had stronger associations with restraint and binge eating among girls, whereas
107 preoccupation, dissatisfaction, and overvaluation had similar associations with eating behaviors
108 and psychopathology among boys. Lydecker and colleagues (2017) compared four body-image
109 constructs in a clinical treatment-seeking sample of adults with binge-eating disorder (BED),
110 which unlike AN and BN, does not require a body-image criterion (Grilo, 2013). Lydecker et al
111 (2017) found that preoccupation was more strongly associated than the other body-image
112 constructs with Eating Concern while overvaluation was more strongly negatively associated
113 with self-esteem; interestingly, the four body-image constructs were not associated with either
114 BMI or binge-eating frequency.

115 Thus, recent emerging research (Blechert et al., 2011; Lydecker et al., 2017; Mitchison et
116 al., 2017) has highlighted the potential importance of finer grained understanding of the
117 complexity and different specific aspects of body-image disturbances. However, generalizability
118 of the emerging findings from these diverse samples, ranging from non-clinical community
119 (Grilo et al. 2019), college (Linardon et al., 2019), high-school (Mitchison et al., 2017) to
120 treatment-seeking adults with BED (Lydecker et al., 2017) and adolescents with AN (Calugi &
121 Dalle Grave, 2019) to adult patients with AN and BN is unknown and represents an important
122 gap in the literature. Blechert and colleagues (2011) found that overvaluation of shape/weight
123 was associated with non-appearance-related self-evaluation domains in patients with AN and BN
124 but that these associations were stronger in BN; this study, however, did not consider other body-
125 image constructs.

126 Thus, the present study compared weight/shape dissatisfaction, weight/shape
127 overvaluation, weight/shape preoccupation, and fear of weight gain in a clinical sample of

128 patients diagnosed with AN or BN and examined how each body-image construct relates to other
129 measures of ED psychopathology and BMI. An improved understanding of the distinctions
130 between different body-image constructs and their differential salience can inform refinement of
131 specific case conceptualizations for patients with AN and BN. Should findings reveal significant
132 distinctiveness of the body-image constructs with respect to either *between* diagnosis (i.e., AN
133 versus BN) or *within* diagnosis (i.e., associations with other symptom presentation) (Mountford,
134 Haase, & Waller, 2007), this would support the importance of “functional” analytic approaches
135 (McManus & Waller, 1995; Slade, 1982) to identify highly specific targets for interventions.

136

137 **Method**

138 **Participants**

139 Participants were a clinical sample of 490 treatment-seeking patients diagnosed with
140 *DSM-5*-defined AN (N=310) or BN (N=180) by clinicians using structured interviews in
141 Portugal. The current participant group of 490 patients included most of the N=457 participants
142 with AN and BN from a previous study of the factor structure of the EDE-Questionnaire
143 (Machado, Grilo, & Crosby, 2018). In addition to the *DSM-5* criteria requirements for inclusion
144 in this study, we required that AN have a BMI of less than 18.5 and that BN had a BMI of
145 greater than or equal to 18.5. Overall, 97.1% (N=476) were female. The AN group was
146 significantly younger than the BN group (mean=22.77 (SD=8.44) versus mean=26.69
147 (SD=7.89), respectively; $t = -5.17, p < .001$) and had a significantly lower BMI (mean=15.75
148 (SD=1.63) versus mean=22.30 (SD=3.42), respectively; $t = -24.17, p < .001$). Thus, age and BMI
149 were included as covariates in the ANCOVAs comparing the AN and BN groups.

150 **Procedures and Assessments**

151 Patients were diagnosed in person by trained and experienced clinicians (i.e., staff
152 psychiatrist or doctoral level clinical psychologist) at specialized eating-disorder treatment
153 facilities in Portugal. The clinical interviews comprised the diagnostic items for each of the
154 specific eating disorders taken from the EDE interview (Fairburn, 1993). In addition, participants
155 completed a battery of self-report measures during intake process. The study was IRB approved
156 and all participants provided informed consent.

157 *Eating Disorder Examination-Questionnaire* (EDE-Q) (Fairburn & Beglin, 1994)
158 *Portuguese-Language Version* (Machado et al., 2014); the Portuguese EDE-Q has demonstrated
159 good psychometric properties like the EDE-Q in clinical studies (Berg, Peterson, Frazier, &
160 Crow, 2012). The EDE-Q was administered during intakes to assess the body-image
161 disturbances constructs and ED psychopathology. The EDE-Q assesses the frequency of
162 objective binge-eating episodes (OBEs; defined as feeling a loss of control while eating
163 unusually large quantities of food) and extreme weight control and compensatory methods over
164 the past 28 days. The EDE-Q also assesses ED psychopathology in four domains scored as
165 subscales (Restraint, Eating Concern, Shape Concern, and Weight Concern). In the current study,
166 we examined the *specific* variables related to body dissatisfaction (weight dissatisfaction and
167 shape dissatisfaction items), overvaluation (overvaluation of weight and overvaluation of shape
168 items), preoccupation with weight or shape (single item), and fear of weight gain (single item).
169 **Table 1** footnote lists the specific items. Items are rated on a scale of 0 (none) to 6 (extreme).
170 This approach follows the exact strategy used in the emerging literature on testing the
171 distinctiveness of these specific body-image constructs (Grilo et al., 2019; Linardon et al., 2018;
172 Lydecker et al., 2017; Mitchison et al., 2017). This strategy, which separates out the body-image
173 variables instead of relying on the EDE-Q Weight Concern and Shape Concern scales is

174 supported by recent confirmatory factor analytic (CFA) studies (Grilo, Reas, Hopwood, &
175 Crosby, 2015; Machado et al., 2018).

176

177 **Statistical Analyses**

178 General linear model (GLM) analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the
179 AN and BN groups on demographic variables, the four body-image constructs (dissatisfaction,
180 overvaluation, preoccupation, and fear of weight gain), and the clinical measures (BMI, binge-
181 eating frequency, purging frequency, EDE-Q Eating Concern and EDE-Q Restraint). Note that
182 we did *not* analyze the body-image constructs with respect to the EDE-Q Shape Concern and
183 Weight Concern scales because the four body-image constructs were included in those scales.

184 Visual inspection of response distributions along with skew and kurtosis coefficients
185 were used to evaluate normality assumptions prior to analyses; analysis of frequencies of binge
186 eating and purging (see Table 1) were based upon a generalized linear model with a negative
187 binomial distribution appropriate for count data. Overall, missing data on outcome measures was
188 minimal, ranging from 0.3% (for dissatisfaction with weight and shape) to 2.0% (for purging
189 frequency); thus, analyses were based on available data and without imputation for missing data.

190 A parallel series of analyses of covariance (ANCOVAs) was performed adjusting for
191 significant demographic differences between the AN and BN groups on age and BMI.
192 Additionally, partial eta-squared (η^2), an effect-size measure, was calculated; these values reflect
193 the proportion of variance in the criterion measure accounted for by group membership in
194 ANOVA/ANCOVAs (conventions for this effect-size measure are as follows: small (.01),
195 medium (.06), and large (.14)). We used partial eta-squared, rather than Cohen's *d* because,
196 unlike Cohen's *d* which is based on raw means, it can be used when there are covariates in the

197 statistical model to reflect the unique portion of the variance accounted for after adjusting for the
198 covariates.

199 Pearson correlation coefficients were used to examine associations among the body-
200 image constructs and between each body-image construct and the clinical measures (BMI, binge-
201 eating frequency, purging frequency, EDE-Q Eating Concern, and EDE-Q Restraint). These
202 correlation coefficients were calculated *within* each of the two patient groups (AN and BN) and
203 then the correlations were compared *between* AN and BN using Fisher's *r*-to-*z* test (i.e., to test
204 whether the associations differed in magnitude across AN and BN). Multiple regression analyses
205 were performed separately for AN and BN using the four body-image constructs as independent
206 variables to predict variance in each of the clinical measures. Semi-partial correlations allowed
207 for comparison of each body-image construct *within* each clinical variable in the context of the
208 remaining body-image constructs. Conventions for interpreting effect sizes with multiple
209 regression are as follows: R-squares of .01, .13, and .26 reflect small, medium, and large effects.

210 Parallel set of (post hoc) analyses were repeated with the N=14 men excluded given
211 potential gender differences in body-image measures. Any differences in patterns are noted.

212

213

Results

214 **Table 1** summarizes descriptive statistics and findings from ANOVAs comparing the AN
215 and BN groups on the four body-image disturbance constructs and the clinical measures.

216 ANOVAs revealed that BN had significantly higher scores than AN for all four body-image
217 constructs; the differences reflected small effect-sizes and remained significant in ANCOVAs
218 adjusting for age and BMI. Similarly, for the clinical variables, the BN group had significantly
219 higher frequencies of binge eating and purging behaviors and significantly higher scores on

220 EDE-Q Eating Concern and Restraint scales; these differences reflected small effect-sizes and
221 remained significant in ANCOVAs adjusting for age and BMI. A parallel series of analyses
222 restricted to females only (N=476) revealed the same pattern and magnitude of findings.

223 **Table 2** summarizes the correlations among body-image constructs shown separately for
224 AN and BN. Correlations were all significant (at $p < .001$) *within* AN (r ranged .303 - .650) and
225 BN (r ranged .437 - .618). Fisher's r -to- z tests revealed that fear of weight gain was correlated
226 significantly lower with dissatisfaction and overvaluation in the AN than BN group. A parallel
227 series of analyses restricted to females-only revealed the same pattern and magnitude of findings.

228 **Table 3** summarizes correlations between the body-image constructs and the clinical
229 measures separately *within* AN and BN. *Within* the AN group, correlations were all significant (p
230 $< .01$), except for those with BMI. *Within* BN, correlations showed a variable pattern. All four
231 body-image constructs were significantly correlated ($p < .001$) with EDE-Q Eating Concern and
232 Restraint scales but showed divergent patterns of significance and much lower correlations with
233 the other clinical measures. Dissatisfaction was the only body-image construct correlated
234 significantly with BMI ($p < .05$) and Preoccupation was the only construct correlated
235 significantly with binge-eating frequency ($p < .01$). The four body-image constructs were
236 significantly correlated with purging frequency: dissatisfaction $r = .19$ ($p < .05$) and the other three
237 other constructs (at $p < .01$) correlations ranged .253 -.274. Fisher's r -to- z tests, used to examine
238 whether the correlations between the body-image constructs and the clinical measures differed
239 *between* AN and BN, revealed two statistically significant differences (see **Table 3**).
240 Dissatisfaction was less strongly associated with BMI in AN than in BN whereas Preoccupation
241 was more strongly associated with Restraint in AN than BN. A parallel series of analyses
242 restricted to females only revealed the same pattern and magnitude of findings.

243 **Table 4** summarizes the multivariable analyses, including semi-partial correlations and
244 the contributions of each of the four body-image constructs to the variance to each of the clinical
245 variables performed *separately* for AN and BN. The body-image constructs accounted for highly
246 variable amounts of the variance across the clinical variables. The body-image constructs did not
247 account for significant variation in BMI in AN or for binge-eating frequency in BN and (albeit
248 statistically significant) for only 1.6% of variation in purging frequency in BN. In BN, the body-
249 image constructs accounted for 6.5% of the variance in BMI, with dissatisfaction and
250 preoccupation making significant contributions. In AN, the body-image constructs accounted for
251 6.0% of the variance in binge-eating frequency (with preoccupation and fear making significant
252 contributions) and for 10.0% variance in purging frequency (with preoccupation and fear making
253 significant contributions). For both AN and BN, the body-image constructs accounted for
254 substantial amount of the variance in Eating Concern (68.6% and 62.5%, respectively); in AN,
255 all four body-image constructs contributed significantly (preoccupation had highest contribution
256 ($\beta=.569$, $p < .001$)) and in BN, three of the four constructs (except for dissatisfaction)
257 contributed significantly (preoccupation had highest contribution ($\beta=.498$, $p<.001$)). For both
258 AN and BN, body image constructs accounted for substantial amount of variance in Restraint
259 (53.3% and 45.3%); three of the four constructs (except dissatisfaction) contributed significantly
260 with preoccupation showing the highest contribution ($\beta=.424$, $p < .001$) in AN, with fear
261 showing the highest contribution ($\beta=.367$, $p<.001$) in BN. A parallel series of analyses
262 restricted to females only revealed the same pattern and magnitude of findings.

263

264

Discussion

265 This study provides new findings regarding the distinctiveness of four different aspects of
266 body-image disturbance—weight/shape dissatisfaction, weight/shape overvaluation,
267 weight/shape preoccupation, and fear of weight gain—in a clinical treatment seeking sample of
268 patients with AN or BN in Portugal. Overall, patients diagnosed with BN had statistically greater
269 body-image disturbances and ED pathology than patients with AN. These findings, which might
270 reflect partly self-report report to the ego-syntonic natures of AN (Gregertsen, Mandy, & Serpell,
271 2017), are generally consistent with the empirical literature (Blechert et al., 2011). These
272 findings regarding differences in body-image *between* the AN and BN diagnoses and the novel
273 findings regarding distinctiveness of the specific body-image constructs in their varying patterns
274 of associations with other clinical variables *within* the diagnoses suggest the importance of a
275 “functional” analytic approach (McManus & Waller, 1995; Slade, 1982) to EDs to target specific
276 aspects of body-image disturbance.

277 Both within and between AN and BN, the four body-image constructs varied in their
278 strengths of association amongst themselves, with other eating-disorder psychopathology, and
279 with BMI. Analyses revealed considerable variability in variance accounted for in the other
280 clinical measures by the four body-image constructs. Body-image constructs predicted
281 significant, albeit small, variance in BMI within BN (dissatisfaction, preoccupation significant)
282 but not within AN; the later finding might perhaps reflect the restricted range of BMI for AN.
283 Body-image constructs predicted significant, albeit small, variance in frequencies of binge eating
284 and purging behaviors in AN (with preoccupation and fear significant for both) but not within
285 BN for binge eating and only minimally for purging. Body-image constructs predicted
286 significant variance in other eating-disorder psychopathology (large amounts of variance for

287 Eating Concern and Restraint) in both AN and BN (with overvaluation, preoccupation, and fear
288 making significant contributions in the multivariate analyses).

289 The findings suggest that clinicians assess for specific body-image concerns when
290 conducting assessments and formulating treatments for patients with AN and BN. Our analyses
291 provide clear support for clinical views regarding the importance of fear of weight gain in both
292 AN and BN. Importantly, our findings highlight the importance of preoccupation with
293 weight/shape which seemed to be most strongly associated with other aspects of ED
294 psychopathology in both AN and BN. Thus, the findings indicate the potential importance of
295 specific body-image concerns beyond overvaluation of shape/weight (a core diagnostic
296 construct). Diagnostically, the findings might suggest that future revisions of the *DSM-5* might
297 consider expanding their coverage of body-image disturbance (see Grilo, 2013) to also include
298 fear and preoccupation as possible examples of body-image criteria. Clinically, the findings
299 also carry potential implications. In CBT (Fairburn, 2008), such conceptualizations are shared
300 with patients during the early stages of treatment and serve as a “road-map” for guiding changes.
301 The shared assessment and formulation serves to help patients understand better the factors that
302 may contribute to maintaining their eating-related psychopathology. The findings regarding the
303 distinctiveness of specific aspects of body-image disturbance points to the importance of a
304 “functional analysis” (McManus & Waller, 1995; Slade, 1982) of each of the constructs and their
305 potential associations with other symptomatic behaviors and psychopathology. This approach
306 facilitates the processes of creating specific hypotheses to test and guide behavioral “homework”
307 assignments intended to normalize eating patterns while reducing maladaptive behaviors and
308 cognitions. This approach might help patients to better or more quickly recognize when they are
309 about to engage in symptomatic behaviors and how this might either be triggered or follow

310 specific body-image cognitions, which in turn could help uncouple factors maintaining the EDs.

311 The findings regarding the distinctiveness of the specific

312 We note the study's strengths and weaknesses as context for the findings. One strength
313 includes the relatively large sample size of treatment-seeking patients with AN and BN which
314 allowed for group comparisons and fine-grained analyses. The AN and BN diagnoses were
315 determined by experienced and trained clinicians using structured diagnostic methods; however,
316 we did not perform inter-rater reliability analyses for the ED diagnoses and that represents a
317 potential limitation given the complexities of achieving reliable and valid diagnoses (Udo &
318 Grilo, 2019). Our study analyses utilized a separate widely-used self-report measure of body-
319 image disturbance and eating-disorder psychopathology. Although self-report measures may be
320 biased (Udo & Grilo, 2019), research has supported certain psychometric aspects of the self-
321 report EDE-Q including reliability and stability, as well as adequate convergence with the EDE
322 interview (Berg et al., 2011; Berg et al., 2012). Self-report assessment of body image and of
323 eating-disorder psychopathology may facilitate honest reporting of such sensitive or
324 embarrassing behaviors. Although the body-image constructs were assessed using just one or
325 two items each, research has shown the advantages of using single-item questions that are clear
326 and concrete over multiple measures for complex constructs (Bergkvist, 2015; Bergkvist &
327 Rossister, 2007; Fuchs & Diamantopoulos, 2009) and previous factor-analytic work has strongly
328 indicated the separation of the body-image items (Grilo et al., 2015; Machado et al., 2018).

329 We did not include measures of perceptual aspects (e.g., distortions) of body image
330 which have been reviewed elsewhere for their significance in AN and BN (Molbert et al., 2017);
331 we emphasize, however, that (1) the distinction between perceptual and attitudinal components
332 of body image is well established and that (2) attitudinal aspects more strongly distinguish eating

333 disorders from control groups and discriminate AN and BN than do perceptual disturbances. We
334 also did not include other potentially relevant clinical variables such as, for example, body
335 checking and avoidance behaviors which have been found to be salient across ED diagnoses
336 (Calugi, el Ghoch, & Dalle Grave, 2017; Lavender et al., 2013; Mountford, Hease, & Waller,
337 2007; Reas, Grilo, Masheb, & Wilson, 2005) and thought to play roles in the maintenance of
338 EDs (Fairburn, 2008). Body checking and avoidance behaviors have also shown significance
339 variations by diagnosis and with ED symptoms (Mountford et al., 2007); these behaviors,
340 however, were not found to contribute variance above and beyond that of body-image constructs
341 to variance in other eating-disorder psychopathology (Linardon et al., 2019).

342 Our findings pertain to treatment-seeking patients with AN and BN in Portugal and may
343 not generalize to other forms of eating disorders, to community samples, or to those who do not
344 seek treatment. Participants were primarily women and generalizability of our findings to men or
345 to groups with different demographic and cultural composition is uncertain. Our findings, which
346 pertain to treatment-seeking primarily female patients with AN and BN in Portugal complement
347 and extend those previously reported for treatment-seeking patients with BED in the US
348 (Lydecker et al., 2017) and for adolescent students in Australia (Mitchison et al., 2017). Our
349 findings are cross-sectional and therefore cannot speak to directionality or causality among the
350 variables. Future studies should use prospective (e.g., Tabri, Murray, Thomas, Franko, Herzog,
351 & Eddy, 2015) and experimental designs, including controlled treatment trials (e.g., Grilo, White
352 et al., 2013) to further understand the significance, distinctiveness, and directionality of these
353 body-image constructs and correlates across different eating and weight disorders.

354

References

- 355
356 American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental*
357 *Disorders* (5th ed.). Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing.
- 358 Berg, K. C., Peterson, C., Frazier, P., & Crow, S. (2012). Psychometric evaluation of the Eating
359 Disorder Examination and Eating Disorder Examination-Questionnaire: systematic
360 review of the literature. *International Journal of Eating Disorders, 45*, 428-438.
- 361 Bergkvist, L. (2015). Appropriate use of single-item measures is here to stay. *Marketing Letters,*
362 *26*, 245-255.
- 363 Bergkvist, L., & Rossister, J. R. (2007). The predictive validity of multiple-item versus single-
364 item measures of the same constructs. *Journal of Marketing Research, 44*, 175-184.
- 365 Blechart, J., Ansorge, U., Beckmann, S., & Tuschen-Caffier, B. (2011). The undue influence of
366 shape and weight on self-evaluation in anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa and restrained
367 eaters: a combined ERP and behavioral study. *Psychological Medicine, 41*, 185-194.
- 368 Calugi, S. & Dalle Grave, R. (2019). Body image concern and treatment outcomes in adolescents
369 with anorexia nervosa. *International Journal of Eating Disorders, 52*, 582-585.
- 370 Calugi, S., El Ghoch, M., & Dalle Grave, R. (2017). Body checking behaviors in anorexia
371 nervosa. *International Journal of Eating Disorders, 50*, 437-441.
- 372 Cash, T. F. & Smolak, L. (2011). *Body image: A handbook of science, practice, and prevention.*
373 (2nd edn). New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- 374 Fairburn, C. G. (2008). *Cognitive behavior therapy and eating disorders.* NY: Guilford Press.
- 375 Fairburn, C. G. & Beglin, S. J. (1994). Assessment of eating disorders: Interview or self-report
376 questionnaire? *International Journal of Eating Disorders, 16*, 363-370.

- 377 Fairburn, C. G., & Cooper, Z. (1993). The Eating Disorder Examination. In C. G. Fairburn & G.
378 T. Wilson (Eds.), *Binge Eating: Nature, Assessment, and Treatment*. NY: Guilford Press.
- 379 Fuchs, C., & Diamantopoulos, A. (2009). Using single-item measures for construct measurement
380 in management research: Conceptual issues and application guidelines. *Die*
381 *Betriebswirtschaft*, 69, 195.
- 382 Gregertsen, E. C., Mandy, W., & Serpell, L. (2017). The egosyntonic nature of anorexia: an
383 impediment to recovery in anorexia nervosa treatment. *Frontiers Psychology*, 8, 2273.
- 384 Grilo, C. M. (2013). Why no cognitive body image feature such as overvaluation of shape/weight
385 in the binge-eating disorder diagnosis? *International Journal of Eating disorders*, 46,
386 208-211.
- 387 Grilo, C. M., Crosby, R. D., Masheb, R. M., et al. (2009). Overvaluation of shape and weight in
388 binge eating disorder, bulimia nervosa, and sub-threshold bulimia nervosa. *Behaviour*
389 *Research and Therapy*, 47, 692-696.
- 390 Grilo, C. M., Ivezaj, V., Lydecker, J. A., & White, M. A. (2019). Toward an understanding of the
391 distinctiveness of body image constructs in persons categorized with overweight/obesity,
392 bulimia nervosa, and binge-eating disorder. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research* (in
393 press) doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2019.109757
- 394 Grilo, C. M., Reas, D. L., Hopwood, C. J., & Crosby, R. D. (2015). Factor structure and
395 construct validity of the Eating Disorder examination – Questionnaire in college students:
396 further support for a modified brief version. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*,
397 48, 284-289.
- 398 Grilo, C. M., White, M. A., Gueorguieva, R., Wilson, G. T., & Masheb, R. M. (2013). Predictive
399 significance of the overvaluation of shape/weight in obese patients with binge eating

- 400 disorder: Findings from a randomized controlled trial with 12-month follow-up.
401 *Psychological Medicine*, 43, 1335-1344.
- 402 Hrabosky, J.I., Cash, T.F., Veale, D., Neziroglu, F., Soll, E.A., Garner, D.M., Strachan-Kinser,
403 M., Bakke, B., Clauss, L.J., & Phillips, K.A. (2009). Multidimensional body image
404 comparisons among patients with eating disorders, body dysmorphic disorder, and
405 clinical controls: a multisite study. *Body Image*, 6, 155-163.
- 406 Lavender, J. M., Wonderlich, S. A., Crosby, R.D., Engel, S. G., Mitchell, J. E., Crow, S.,
407 Peterson, C. B., & le Grange, D. (2013). A naturalistic examination of body checking and
408 dietary restriction in women with anorexia nervosa. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*,
409 51, 507-511.
- 410 Linardon, J., Fuller-Tyszkiewicz, M., de la Piedad Garcia, X., Messer, M., Brennan, L. (2019).
411 Do body checking and avoidance behaviours explain variance in disordered eating beyond
412 attitudinal measures of body image? *Eating Behaviors*, 32, 7-11.
- 413 Linardon, J., Phillipou, A., Castle, D., Newton, R., Harrison, P., Cistullo, L. L., Griffiths, S.,
414 Hindle, A., & Brennan, L. (2018). The relative associations of shape and weight over-
415 valuation, preoccupation, dissatisfaction, and fear of weight gain with measures of
416 psychopathology: an extension study in individuals with anorexia nervosa. *Eating*
417 *Behaviors*, 29, 54-58.
- 418 Lydecker, J. A., White, M.A., & Grilo, C. M. (2017). Form and formulation: Examining the
419 distinctiveness of body image constructs in treatment-seeking patients with binge-eating
420 disorder. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 85, 1095-1103.
- 421 Machado, P. P., P., Grilo, C. M., & Crosby, R. D. (2018). Replication of a modified factor
422 structure for the Eating Disorder Examination – Questionnaire: extension to clinical

- 423 eating disorder and non-clinical samples in Portugal. *European Eating Disorders Review*,
424 *26*, 75-80.
- 425 Machado, P.P.P., Martins, C., Vaz, A.R., Conceição, E., Bastos, A.P., & Gonçalves, S. (2014).
426 Eating disorder examination questionnaire: psychometric properties and norms for the
427 Portuguese population. *European Eating Disorders Review*, *22*, 448-453.
- 428 McManus, F., & Waller, G. (1995). A functional analysis of binge-eating. *Clinical*
429 *Psychology Review*, *15*, 845-863.
- 430 Mitchison, D., Hay, P., Griffiths, S., et al. (2017). Disentangling body image: The relative
431 associations of overvaluation, dissatisfaction, and preoccupation with psychological
432 distress and eating disorder behaviors in male and female adolescents. *International*
433 *Journal of Eating Disorders*, *50*, 118-126.
- 434 Molbert, S. C., Klein, L., Thaler, A., et al. (2017). Depictive and metric body size estimation in
435 anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical*
436 *Psychology Review*, *57*, 21-31.
- 437 Mountford, V., Haase, A. M., & Waller, G. (2007). Is body checking in the eating disorders more
438 closely related to diagnosis or to symptom presentation? *Behavior Research and*
439 *Therapy*, *45*, 2704-2711.
- 440 Reas, D. L., Grilo, C. M., Masheb, G. T., & Wilson, G. T. (2005). Body checking and avoidance
441 in overweight patients with binge eating disorder. *International Journal of Eating*
442 *Disorders*, *37*, 342-346.
- 443 Rodin, J., Silberstein, L., & Striegel-Moore, R. (1984). Women and weight: A normative
444 discontent. *Nebraska Symposium on Motivation*, *32*, 267-307.

- 445 Slade, P. (1982). Towards a functional analysis of anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa. *British*
446 *Journal of Clinical Psychology, 21*, 167-179.
- 447 Slevec, J. H., & Tiggemann, M. (2011). Predictors of body dissatisfaction and disordered eating
448 in middle-aged women. *Clinical Psychology Review, 31*, 515-524. doi:
- 449 Tabri, N., Murray, H. B., Thomas, J. J., Franko, D. L., Herzog, D. B., & Eddy, K. T. (2015).
450 Overvaluation of body shape/weight and engagement in non-compensatory weight-
451 control behaviors in eating disorders: Is there a reciprocal relationship? *Psychological*
452 *Medicine, 45*, 2951-2958.
- 453 Udo, T., & Grilo, C. M. (2019). Reply to: Insight may limit identification of eating disorders.
454 *Biological Psychiatry, 85*, e57-e58.
- 455 Wade, T. D., Zhu, G., & Martin, N. G. (2011). Undue influence of weight and shape: Is it
456 distinct from body dissatisfaction and concern about weight and shape? *Psychological*
457 *Medicine, 41*, 819-828.
- 458
- 459

Table 1. Means and standard deviations of body-image constructs and clinical variables among patient groups diagnosed with anorexia nervosa (AN) or bulimia nervosa (BN)

	AN n=310		BN n=180		Sig.	ANOVA	ANCOVA	
	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>		Partial η^2	Sig	Partial η^2
Dissatisfaction with Weight and Shape	4.00	1.80	4.83	1.53	<.001	.053	.014	.012
Overvaluation of Weight and Shape	3.54	2.13	4.54	1.75	<.001	.055	.037	.009
EDE-Q Restraint	2.53	1.89	3.27	1.65	<.001	.038	.002	.019
Preoccupation with Weight and Shape	3.00	2.39	3.69	2.19	.002	.020	.006	.015
Fear of Weight Gain	3.56	4.36	5.17	1.67	<.001	.045	.027	.010
EDE-Q Eating Concern	2.47	1.76	3.48	1.55	<.001	.077	<.001	.030
Binge-eating Frequency*	3.50	6.77	10.05	9.30	<.001	.040	<.001	.006
Purging Frequency*	8.55	14.94	20.05	17.94	<.001	.023	<.001	.012

Note: Dissatisfaction variable assessed using EDE-Q items “How dissatisfied have you felt about your weight? ...about your shape?; Overvaluation variable assessed using items “How your weight (Has your shape...) influenced how you think about (judge) yourself as a person?”; Preoccupation variable assessed using item “Has thinking about shape or weight made it much more difficult to concentrate on things you are interested in?; Fear variable assessed using item “Have you had a definite fear that you might gain weight or become fat?; Purging frequency included sum of self-induced vomiting and laxative abuse.

ANCOVA controls for Age and BMI

*denotes analyses based upon negative binomial model with pseudo-R²

Table 2. Correlations among body-image constructs shown separately for anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa.

	<u>Dissatisfaction</u> <i>r</i>	<u>Overvaluation</u> <i>r</i>	<u>Preoccupation</u> <i>r</i>
Anorexia Nervosa			
(N = 310)			
Overvaluation	.650		
Preoccupation	.505	.542	
Fear of weight gain	.303 [‡]	.341 [‡]	.386
Bulimia Nervosa			
(N = 180)			
Overvaluation	.604		
Preoccupation	.437	.471	
Fear of weight gain	.479	.618	.507

Note. All correlations significant at $p < .001$

[‡] $r_{AN} < r_{BN}$ $p = .027$

[‡] $r_{AN} < r_{BN}$ $p < .001$

Table 3. Correlations among body-image constructs and clinical variables shown separately for anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa

	<u>Dissatisfaction</u>	<u>Overvaluation</u>	<u>Preoccupation</u>	<u>Fear</u>
	<i>r</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>r</i>
Anorexia Nervosa				
(N = 310)				
Body Mass Index	-.071	.043	.006	.036
Binge-eating Frequency	.153**	.177**	.266***	.173**
Purging Frequency	.242***	.256***	.353***	.243***
EDE-Q Eating Concern	.542***	.587***	.779***	.508***
EDE-Q Restraint	.449***	.543***	.645***	.517***
Bulimia Nervosa				
(N = 180)				
Body Mass Index	.162*	.110	-.089	.044
Binge-eating Frequency	.137	.110	.216**	.142
Purging Frequency	.190*	.253***	.274***	.262**
EDE-Q Eating Concern	.490***	.572***	.720***	.628***
EDE-Q Restraint	.426***	.536***	.510***	.623***

Note.

* $p < .05$; ** $p \leq .01$; *** $p \leq .001$.

Shaded cells indicate significant difference between r_{AN} and r_{BN} $p < .05$

Table 4. Multivariable linear regression analyses of the four body-image constructs to the clinical features for the anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa groups.

Clinical Variable	Body-Image Construct	Anorexia Nervosa			Bulimia Nervosa		
		R^2	Beta	Sig.	R^2	Beta	Sig.
Body Mass Index		.021		.166	.065		.019
	Dissatisfaction		-.176	.023		.217	.024
	Overvaluation		.155	.052		.102	.331
	Preoccupation		-.003	.962		-.213	.017
	Fear		.039	.534		-.037	.713
EDE-Q Eating Concern		.686		<.001	.625		<.001
	Dissatisfaction		.098	.026		.088	.146
	Overvaluation		.145	.001		.143	.032
	Preoccupation		.569	<.001		.498	<.001
	Fear		.209	<.001		.228	>.001
EDE-Q Restraint		.533		<.001	.453		<.001
	Dissatisfaction		.010	.848		.053	.466
	Overvaluation		.206	<.001		.174	.031
	Preoccupation		.424	<.001		.219	<.001
	Fear		.279	<.001		.367	<.001

Table 4 continued.

Clinical Variable	Body-Image Construct	Anorexia Nervosa			Bulimia Nervosa		
		<i>Pseudo R²</i>	B/SE	Sig.	<i>Pseudo R²</i>	B/SE	Sig.
Binge Eating Frequency		.060		<.001	.010		.124
	Dissatisfaction		-0.137	.890		0.718	.472
	Overvaluation		0.552	.587		-0.111	.911
	Preoccupation		4.455	<.001		2.004	.045
	Fear		2.474	.013		-0.096	.925
Purging Frequency		.100		<.001	.016		.003
	Dissatisfaction		0.118	.906		-0.586	.558
	Overvaluation		0.840	.401		1.024	.306
	Preoccupation		4.205	<.001		1.698	.089
	Fear		6.807	<.001		1.458	.145