



Workshop
**Ground Improvement and Soil
Stabilisation**

September 4th 2016 - School of Engineering - University of Minho

Workshop of
3rd International Conference on Transportation Geotechnics
September 2016 | Guimarães | Portugal

Workshop 4

Ground Improvement and Soil Stabilisation

September 4th 2016
School of Engineering, University of Minho
Guimarães

Sponsored by



Ground Improvement and Soil Stabilisation

Workshop of

3rd International Conference on Transportation Geotechnics

September 4th 2016 | Guimarães | Portugal

Venue:

University of Minho, School of Engineering, Guimarães, Portugal

Website:

<http://civil.uminho.pt/3rd-ICTG2016/WorkshopsThemes.php>

Editors:

Serge Varaksin | Apageo, TC211

António Alberto S. Correia | CIEPQPF - University of Coimbra, TC211

Miguel Azenha | ISISE - University of Minho

Organized by

University of Minho (UM)

Portuguese Geotechnical Society (SPG)

International Society for Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering (ISSMGE)

Ground Improvement and Soil Stabilisation

Workshop of

3rd International Conference on Transportation Geotechnics

September 4th 2016 | Guimarães | Portugal

PRESENTATIONS e-BOOK

Text © 2016 António Alberto

Design © António Alberto

ISBN: 978-989-20-7008-7

Universidade do Minho

Departamento de Engenharia Civil, Azurém, P-4800-058 Guimarães

Email: secretaria@civil.uminho.pt

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.154668](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.154668)

© 2016 by University of Minho

© The Authors. 2016

© The Editors. 2016

Credits for photo of the eBook cover: Serge Varaksin

All rights reserved. No Part of this e-Book shall be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without written permission from the publisher. No patent liability is assumed with respect to the use of the information contained herein. While every precaution has been taken in the preparation of this e-Book, the publisher assumes no responsibility for errors or omissions. Neither is any liability assumed for damages resulting from the use of these information contained herein.

Ground Improvement and Soil Stabilisation

Workshop of

3rd International Conference on Transportation Geotechnics

September 4th 2016 | Guimarães | Portugal

PREFACE

The Portuguese Geotechnical Society (SPG), the University of Minho and the International Society for Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering (ISSMGE) organized the international Workshop “Ground improvement and soil stabilization”, that took place in the School of Engineering of the University of Minho in the 4th September 2016, with support of the technical committee TC211 ‘Ground improvement’. This workshop is part of the 3rd International Conference on Transportation Geotechnics (3rd ICTG).

Soil improvement and transportation infrastructures are intimately connected, as the necessities of transportation infrastructures have motivated many advances and innovations in the scope of soil improvement, thus bringing economic feasibility to such projects. The main objective of this Workshop was to gather international experts connected to research and teaching or to the industry that are involved in the several types of improvement and soil stabilization. This brought about interesting opportunities for networking and discussion about ongoing works in the domain of transportation geotechnics. The Workshop was also an opportunity for presentation of the most recent research works, new technological developments and new applications in the scope of soil improvement and stabilization. The topics of analysis include dynamic compaction, vertical drains, chemical stabilization, alkaline activation, non-isothermal modelling, vacuum consolidation, reinforced embankments and load transfer platform.

The Editors: **Serge Varaksin** | **António Alberto S. Correia** | **Miguel Azenha**

Ground Improvement and Soil Stabilisation

Workshop of

3rd International Conference on Transportation Geotechnics

September 4th 2016 | Guimarães | Portugal

CONTENTS

1. Controlled Modulus Columns (CMC) Ground Improvement under the Future Embankment of the New Turcot Interchange 8
Jérôme Racinais, Adrien Viateau and Hubert Guimont | KEYNOTE LECTURE
2. Basal Reinforced Piled Embankments: how to design, how to decide? 69
Arjan A.M. Venmans and Suzanne J.M. van Eekelen
3. Behaviour of a compacted subgrade soil and the influence of planar reinforcement in track substructure 106
Ana Heitor, Buddhima Indraratna and Cholachat Rujikiatkamjorn
4. Dynamic Rollers in Earthworks: Compaction and Continuous Compaction Control 125
Johannes Pistor and Dietmar Adam
5. Performance of test embankment under vacuum consolidation 142
Marcio Almeida and Esther Marques | KEYNOTE LECTURE

Ground Improvement and Soil Stabilisation

Workshop of

3rd International Conference on Transportation Geotechnics

September 4th 2016 | Guimarães | Portugal

CONTENTS

- | | | |
|----|---|-----|
| 6. | Alkali Activated Binders in Soil Stabilisation Applications | 173 |
| | <i>Tiago Miranda, Nuno Cristelo and Sara Rios</i> | |
| 7. | Ground Improvement Solutions for Harbours | 207 |
| | <i>António Cristóvão, Alexandre Pinto and Rui Tomásio</i> | |
| 8. | The advantages of the use of advanced quality control methods during PVD installation and heavy rapid impact compaction in transportation Geotechnics | 269 |
| | <i>Jan-Willem Vink and Jeroen Dijkstra</i> | |
| 9. | Rigid Inclusions a Ground Reinforcement Solution rather than a Ground Improvement Solution | 319 |
| | <i>Baldomiro Xavier</i> | |



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Controlled Modulus Columns (CMC) Ground Improvement under the Future Embankment of the New Turcot Interchange

Jérôme Racinais¹, Adrien Viateau², Hubert Guimont²

1. *Menard, France*
2. *Geopac, Canada*





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Presentation of the project

- Location
- Project description
- Technical specifications

Soil conditions

CMC Ground Reinforcement solution

Design principles

- Analytical approach - Global Bearing Capacity and Settlement
- Finite Element Modelling to fine-tune the design
- Specific verification due to high lateral loads

Execution and controls

Conclusions




3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Visite guidée

Date des images satellite : 18/9/2013 45°29'23.51"N 73°34'15.90"O élév. 16 m altitude 25.31 km



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering




GEO-INSTITUTE



ORDRE
DES
INGENIEURS



ORDRE
DES
INGENIEURS
REGIS
MONT



Visite guidée

Date des images satellite : 18/9/2013 45°29'23.51"N 73°34'15.90"O élév. 16 m altitude 25.31 km



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal




University of Minho
School of Engineering



$$H_{fill/NGL} = 8,0 \text{ m}$$

Client requirements:

- Bearing capacity
- Residual settlement over service life (35 years) $\leq 35 \text{ mm}$
- Existing foundations to be demolished at later stage -> low headroom area







3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Presentation of the project

- Location
- Project description
- Technical specifications

Soil conditions

CMC Ground Reinforcement solution

Design principles

- Analytical approach - Global Bearing Capacity and Settlement
- Finite Element Modelling to fine-tune the design
- Specific verification due to high lateral loads

Execution and controls

Conclusions



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering




Boreholes, Standard Penetration Tests (SPT), Cone Penetration Tests (CPT) and Pressure Meter Tests (PMT) have highlighted **heterogeneous soil conditions** in terms of **thickness** and **compacity**.

Typical soil profile

Description	N _{SPT}	Thickness (m)
Existing fill	2-20	1,5 to 4,0
Sandy silt to silty sand	1-3	2,0 to 3,0
Peat / Marl / Clay	1-5	3,0 to 6,0
Med. dense to dense sand	15-30	3,0 to 6,0
Calcareous rock	>50	-

Water Level : approx. **6,0 m** below ground surface





Soil properties

	γ (kN/m ³)	E_v (MPa)	ν (-)	c' (kPa)	ϕ' (°)	k (m/s)
Existing Fill	20	10	0,3	0	30	10^{-4}
Sandy Silt	12	8,4	0,3	0	30	10^{-7}
Dense Sand	20	30	0,3	0	30	$4,8 \cdot 10^{-7}$
Calcareous Rock	20	500	0,3	5	38	$1,4 \cdot 10^{-4}$

Linear Elastic Perfectly Plastic Model (also known as Mohr-Coulomb)

	γ (kN/m ³)	C_c (-)	C_s (-)	e_0 (-)	$C_{\alpha e}$ (-)*	c' (kPa)	ϕ' (°)	k (m/s)
Peat	10	6,00	1,20	9,1	0,35	0	30	$6,3 \cdot 10^{-7}$
Marl	12	1,44	0,33	4,4	0,10	0	30	$1,5 \cdot 10^{-7}$
Clay	17	1,09	0,05	2,2	0,05	0	30	10^{-9}

Soft Soil Creep Model

$C_{\alpha e}$: creep index for secondary compression



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Presentation of the project

- Location
- Project description
- Technical specifications

Soil conditions

CMC Ground Reinforcement solution

Design principles

- Analytical approach - Global Bearing Capacity and Settlement
- Finite Element Modelling to fine-tune the design
- Specific verification due to high lateral loads

Execution and controls

Conclusions




3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



CMC Execution

- Soil-displacement column
- High static down thrust, large torque capacity
- No soil extraction, no vibration

Main CMC characteristics

- Diameter = 300 mm to 450 mm
- Unit cell area = 1 m² to 9 m²
- Coverage area ratio = 1% to 10%
- Mortar / Concrete: UCS = 6 MPa to 25 MPa
- SLS Bearing Capacity = 300 kN to 700 kN
- Depth: up to 45 m
- Production rate = 450 lm to 800 lm / shift / day



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering





3rd ICTG 2016


04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal




University of Minho
School of Engineering



Data Recording

	Contrat 12-185		TADEN	
	Colonne : E0001		(E500 n°7 53)	
Date	: 14/11/2012	Diamètre	: 320 mm	
Début forage	: 16:26:42	Longueur	: 10,80 m	
Début bétonnage	: 16:29:09	Volume profil	: 0,87 m ³	
Fin bétonnage	: 16:30:39	Surconsommation	: 4,88 %	
		Inclinaison X/Y	: -0,2 / 0,3 °	



ABAC sa 77710 VILLEBEON - France - (Impression des données de bétonnage)

Date d'édition : 10/01/2013






How to classify Rigid Inclusions ?


RIGID INCLUSIONS




Shallow Foundation



Piled raft / Mixed
foundation





Classical Rigid
Inclusions foundation



Deep Foundation



General behaviour



$$Q_p(0) + F_N = Q_{max} = F_p + Q_p(L)$$



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



ASIRI NATIONAL PROJECT

Recommendations for the design, construction and control of rigid inclusion ground improvements



Summary

Close



ASIRI Guideline: 383 pages written by 40 partners between 2005 and 2012. Edited in 2013
Reference Document regarding rigid inclusions design



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Presentation of the project

- Location
- Project description
- Technical specifications

Soil conditions

CMC Ground Reinforcement solution

Design principles

- Analytical approach - Global Bearing Capacity and Settlement
- Finite Element Modelling to fine-tune the design
- Specific verification due to high lateral loads

Execution and controls

Conclusions




3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



$$E_d \leq R_d$$

(Design Actions Effects) (Design Resistance)

SLS Numerical Application at the Unit Cell scale (more unfavourable than ULS)

LTP + Embankment + Live Load

$$Q_{SLS} = A \times \Delta\sigma$$

$$Q_{SLS} = A \times (\gamma_{emb} H_{emb} + q)$$

$$Q_{SLS} = 177,6 \times A \text{ (kN)}$$

Limit resistance of the Soil

$$Q_{soil} = q_{soil} \cdot A_{soil}$$

$$q_{soil} = k_p p_{le} + q'_0 = 250 \text{ kPa}$$

$$Q_{soil} = 250 \times (A - A_{CMC}) \text{ (kN)}$$

Limit resistance of the CMC

$$Q_{CMC} = Q_s + Q_b$$

$$Q_{CMC} = 2\pi R \sum_i h_i \cdot q_{s,i} + \pi R^2 \cdot q_b$$

$$Q_{CMC} = 806,5 \text{ kN}$$




3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



$$E_d \leq R_d$$

(Design Actions Effects) (Design Resistance)

SLS Numerical Application at the Unit Cell scale (more unfavourable than ULS)

$$Q_{SLS} = 177,6 \times A \text{ (kN)}$$

$$Q_{soil} = 250 \times (A - A_{CMC})$$

$$Q_{CMC} = 806,5 \text{ kN}$$

$$Q_{SLS} \leq \frac{Q_{SOIL}}{\gamma_{soil}} + \frac{Q_{CMC}}{\gamma_{CMC}}$$

γ_{soil} : SLS partial factor for soil resistance (=2,76 according to French Appendix NF P 94-261 to EC7)
 γ_{CMC} : SLS partial factor for CMC resistance (=1,96 according to French Appendix NF P 94-262 to EC7)




3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



$$E_d \leq R_d$$

(Design Actions Effects) (Design Resistance)

SLS Numerical Application at the Unit Cell scale (more unfavourable than ULS)

$$Q_{SLS} = 177,6 \times A \text{ (kN)}$$

$$Q_{soil} = 250 \times (A - A_{CMC})$$

$$Q_{CMC} = 806,5 \text{ kN}$$

$$Q_{SLS} \leq \frac{Q_{SOIL}}{2,76} + \frac{Q_{CMC}}{1,96}$$

γ_{soil} : SLS partial factor for soil resistance (=2,76 according to French Appendix NF P 94-261 to EC7)
 γ_{CMC} : SLS partial factor for CMC resistance (=1,96 according to French Appendix NF P 94-262 to EC7)

$$A \leq 4,5 \text{ m}^2 \text{ (Square Grid = } 2,1 \text{ m} \times 2,1 \text{ m)}$$




Settlement

- **Settlement Reduction Factor (SRF)** obtained with rigid inclusions ranges typically from **2 to 10** for coverage area ratio from **1% to 10%**. SRF depends also on the soil compressibility.
- A rough assessment of the settlement after ground reinforcement can be easily established as follows:
 - (i) Step 1: Calculation of the settlement without considering ground reinforcement
 - (ii) Step 2: Estimation of SRF value estimated from the coverage area ratio (1% -> SRF = 2 / 10% -> SRF = 10)
 - (iii) Step 3: Application of SRF value to assess the settlement after ground reinforcement
- This analysis should be systematic before running any Finite Element Model to get orders of magnitude and to avoid meaningless results.



Settlement



Primary compression

$$\Delta h_p = h \frac{C_c}{1 + e_0} \log \left(\frac{\sigma'_{v_0} + \Delta \sigma}{\sigma'_{v_0}} \right)$$

	h (m)	$\frac{C_c}{1 + e_0}$	σ'_{v_0} (kPa)	Δh_p (m)
Peat	0,60	0,59	100,6	0,16
Marl	2,15	0,27	108,8	0,24
Clay	0,90	0,34	114,1	0,12
				0,52

Secondary compression

$$\Delta h_s = h \frac{C_{\alpha e}}{1 + e_0} \log \left(\frac{t}{t_0} \right)$$

$t = 35$ ans
 $t_0 = 1$ day

	h (m)	$\frac{C_{\alpha e}}{1 + e_0}$	Δh_p (m)
Peat	0,60	0,035	0,08
Marl	2,15	0,018	0,16
Clay	0,90	0,016	0,06
			0,30




Settlement

Step 1: Total settlement without ground reinforcement = 0,82 m

Step 2: Coverage area ratio: $\frac{\pi R_{CMC}^2}{A} = \frac{0,138}{2,1^2} = 3,1\%$

Settlement Reduction Factor = 4

Step 3: Absolute settlement with CMC ground reinforcement = **0,20 m**



Finite Element Modelling becomes necessary at this stage because:

- The client technical specification is expressed in terms of post-construction (residual) settlement and not in terms of absolute settlement => curve settlement vs time is required;
- Peat, Marl and Clay on this site have high potential of creep. Advanced behaviour law is required.



Presentation of the project

- Location
- Project description
- Technical specifications

Soil conditions

CMC Ground Reinforcement solution

Design principles

- Analytical approach - Global Bearing Capacity and Settlement
- Finite Element Modelling to fine-tune the design
- Specific verification due to high lateral loads

Execution and controls

Conclusions



2D Axial-Symmetrical Model

- Analyse the behaviour of the Unit Cell Area (CMC + Surrounding Soil) at the centre of the treated area.
- Take into account **consolidation phenomenon** and **creep effect** in this “simple” model. Therefore, *Consolidation analysis* is activated in Plaxis and Soft Soil Creep Model is used for soft layers (Peat / Marl / Clay).
- Check **residual settlement requirement** and determine required concrete UCS from compressive stress.
- Deduce from *settlement vs time* curve the equivalent Young modulus $E_Y(t)$ at time t for the soft layers. Young Modulus will then be implemented in a simplified 2D Plane-Strain Model.

2D Plane-Strain Model

- Analyse the behaviour of the Ground Reinforcement on the boundary of the treated area, under the **MSE Wall**. Take into account lateral loads created by the **active earth pressure**.
- *Plastic analysis* is activated and Linear Elastic Perfectly Plastic Model (Mohr-Coulomb) is chosen for soft layers. **Consolidation and creep effects are “integrated” in the equivalent Young modulus $E_Y(t)$** deduced from the axial-symmetrical model.
- 2D plane-strain models are carried out for $t = \text{End of Works}$, $t = 1 \text{ year (after Road Opening)}$ and $t = 35 \text{ years}$



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



SIMSG ISSMGE




GEO-INSTITUTE



OICEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS



OICEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS
REGIÃO NORTE





Phase a – Duration = 5,5 months
 CMC + Embankment + Pavement
 Load = 8,0 m x 20 kN/m³ = 160 kPa



Phase b – Duration = 35 years
 Road in Service
 Live Load = 17,6 kPa

Road Opening


2D Axial-Symmetrical model



$$a \times b = \pi \times R_{model}^2$$




From ASIRI (2012)




Phase a – Duration = 5,5 months
CMC + Embankment + Pavement
Load = 8,0 m x 20 kN/m³ = 160 kPa

Phase b – Duration = 35 years
Road in Service
Live Load = 17,6 kPa

Road Opening




Residual settlement (from Road opening to 35 years)




Phase a – Duration = 5,5 months
 CMC + Embankment + **PRELOADING** + Pavement
 Load = 8,0 m x 20 kN/m³ = 160 kPa

Phase b – Duration = 35 years
 Road in Service
 Live Load = 17,6 kPa

Road Opening



Residual settlement (from Road opening to 35 years)



Phase a – Duration = 5,5 months
 CMC + Embankment + **PRELOADING** + Pavement
 Load = 8,0 m x 20 kN/m³ = 160 kPa


Phase b – Duration = 35 years
 Road in Service
 Live Load = 17,6 kPa

Road Opening


Compressive Stress

Equivalent stiffness of soft soils with time

$$\sigma'_{yy_{max}} = 4,4 \text{ MPa}$$



Concrete C20/25
 $f_{c_{28}} = \text{UCS (28 days)} = 20 \text{ MPa}$



Cartesian effective stress σ'_{yy}
 Maximum value = -2806 kN/m²
 Minimum value = -4444 kN/m²


Phase 1 - Road N construction
CMC + Fill + **PRELOADING** + Pavement



1 year
Road N in service

Phase 2 - Roads A, B, M construction
CMC + Fill + **PRELOADING** + Pavement



35 years
All Roads in Service

2D Plane-Strain model









Initial conditions





3m deep excavation (to increase headroom for the rig – 12 m instead of 9 m)





CMC + MSE Wall + Fill installation – Phase 1 (the two bridges are still in operation)





Preloading surcharge (2,0 m during 1 month) to guarantee residual settlement



Road N opening



MSE Wall + Fill + Preloading – Phase 2 (one year after road opening)



All roads in operation



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Presentation of the project

- Location
- Project description
- Technical specifications

Soil conditions

CMC Ground Reinforcement solution

Design principles

- Analytical approach - Global Bearing Capacity and Settlement
- Finite Element Modelling to fine-tune the design
- Specific verification due to high lateral loads

Execution and controls

Conclusions



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



SIMSG ISSMGE





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering




SIMSG ISSMGE



GEO-INSTITUTE



Stiffness = 160 000 kN/m



Longitudinal bars ($\varnothing = 14$ mm) are designed to withstand tension forces created by active earth pressure.

Transversal bars ($\varnothing = 10$ mm) are designed to mobilize tension forces by **friction**. Density is increased at the extremity to reduce overlapping length.



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



SIMSG ISSMGE



GEO-INSTITUTE




CIDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS



CIDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS
REGIÃO NORTE

Stiffness = 160 000 kN/m



ULS STR resistance of *one* steel meshes layer

$$R_{t,d} = \rho_{end} \rho_{deg} \frac{S_0 f_u}{\gamma_{M2}}$$

Reduction factor for damage during fill installation

According to NF P 94-270 Retaining Structures (French National Appendix to EC7)



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE



RUTGERS
Center for Advanced Infrastructure
and Transportation




ORDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS



ORDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS
REGIÃO NORTE

Stiffness = 160 000 kN/m



ULS STR resistance of one steel meshes layer

$$R_{t,d} = \rho_{end} \rho_{deg} \frac{S_0 f_u}{\gamma_{M2}}$$



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal




University of Minho
School of Engineering



Center for Advanced Infrastructure and Transportation

Stiffness = 160 000 kN/m



ULS STR resistance of *one* steel meshes layer

$$R_{t,d} = \rho_{end} \rho_{deg} \frac{S_0 f_u}{\gamma_{M2}}$$

Partial factor on material





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



ULS tensile force in the steel meshes layer

a) Active Earth Pressure Effect

$$H = 0,5K_a\gamma\gamma_{emb}H_{emb}^2 + K_a\gamma_qqH_{emb}$$

$$\gamma_\gamma = 1,35 \quad \gamma_q = 1,5$$


$$K_a = \tan^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\varphi_{emb}}{2}\right) = 0,234 \quad \varphi_{emb} = 38^\circ$$

$$H = 0,5 \times 0,234 \times 1,35 \times 20 \times 11^2 + 0,234 \times 1,5 \times 17,6 \times 11$$

$$H = 450 \text{ kN/ml}$$

$$T_{active\ force,d} = 225 \text{ kN/ml}$$

Stiffness = 160 000 kN/ml



ULS STR resistance of **one** steel meshes layer

$$R_{t,d} = \rho_{end}\rho_{deg} \frac{S_0f_u}{\gamma_{M2}}$$

$$S_0f_u = 5 \times \pi \frac{0,014^2}{4} \times 550 \text{ MPa} = 423 \text{ kN/ml}$$

$$R_{t,d} \approx 0,7S_0f_u = 300 \text{ kN/ml}$$





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



ULS tensile force in the steel meshes layer

a) Active Earth Pressure Effect

$$T_{active\ force,d} = 225\text{ kN/ml}$$


b) Membrane Effect (from axi-model)

$$T_{membrane,d} = 50\text{ kN/ml}$$

$$T_{max,d} = 275\text{ kN/ml}$$

$$T_{max,d} \leq R_{t,d}$$

Stiffness = 160 000 kN/ml



ULS STR resistance of **one** steel meshes layer


$$R_{t,d} = \rho_{end} \rho_{deg} \frac{S_0 f_u}{\gamma_{M2}}$$

$$S_0 f_u = 5 \times \pi \frac{0,014^2}{4} \times 550\text{ MPa} = 423\text{ kN/ml}$$




$$R_{t,d} \approx 0,7 S_0 f_u = 300\text{ kN/ml}$$

Total cumulated deformations

Final results for the proposed solution





For information – WITHOUT steel meshes





Axial forces and bending moments

Final results for the proposed solution




For information – WITHOUT steel meshes



Design resistance to bending and axial force

EC2 - EN 1992-1-1:2004 §12: *Plain and lightly reinforced concrete structures*



e : eccentricity of loading

$$A_{ref} = R^2 \cdot (2\theta - \sin 2\theta)$$

$$\theta = \arccos(e/R)$$

Design resistance to bending and axial force


$$N_{rd} = A_{ref} \cdot f_{cd} \quad f_{cd}: \text{ULS compressive strength}$$

To accept **unreinforced concrete**, we must check that :

$$N_{Ed} \leq N_{rd}$$

Design resistance to bending and axial force

$$N_{Ed} = \gamma_G N \leq N_{Rd} = f_{cd} A_{ref} = f_{cd} \cdot R^2 \left(2 \arccos \left(\frac{e}{R} \right) - \sin \left(2 \arccos \left(\frac{e}{R} \right) \right) \right)$$
$$\text{ie } N \leq \frac{f_{cd}}{\gamma_G} \cdot R^2 \left(2 \arccos \left(\frac{M/N}{R} \right) - \sin \left(2 \arccos \left(\frac{M/N}{R} \right) \right) \right)$$





Note: $f_{cd} = 10 \text{ MPa}$ for UCS (28 days) = 20 MPa

Design resistance to bending and axial force

Final results for the proposed solution

For information – WITHOUT steel meshes





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Presentation of the project

- Location
- Project description
- Technical specifications

Soil conditions

CMC Ground Reinforcement solution

Design principles

- Analytical approach - Global Bearing Capacity and Settlement
- Finite Element Modelling to fine-tune the design
- Specific verification due to high lateral loads

Execution and controls

Conclusions



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE



CIDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS



CIDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS
REGIÃO NORTE



Photographer position





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE




Center for Advanced Infrastructure and Transportation




CIEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS



CIEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS
REGIÃO NORTE



Photographer position




Photographer position



Static Isolated Load Tests



CMC #2039 – 24 May 2016





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE



RUTGERS
Center for Advanced Infrastructure and Transportation



OIEM DOS ENGENHEIROS







OIEM DOS ENGENHEIROS REGIÃO NORTE

Dynamic Isolated Load Tests

GEOPAC; Pile: 592; CHARGE 754.92 kN; Blow: 3 (Test: 26-Apr-2016 12:32:)
Groupe Qualitas INC

09-May-2016
CAPWAP(R) 2006-3



CAPWAP(R) 2006-3 Licensed to Groupe Qualitas INC



By way of anecdote

Archeological remains of several old tanneries found during Working Platform preparation !



Conclusions

- CMC Rigid inclusions was proved to be a **competitive alternative to the “dig-out and replace” base solution** on the Turcot project for this section.
- Generally speaking, CMC rigid inclusions solutions are based on an **advanced design** that takes into account the **soil capacity**. The **ASIRI** guideline (edited in 2012 & 2013) gives an excellent overview of the design philosophy. Local standards may be used in addition to set the required level of partial safety factors on actions, materials and resistance.
- CMC may be subjected in some situations to **high lateral loads** (toe of embankment, seismic conditions, wind areas). CMC integrity must be then checked carefully. **Steel reinforcement (cages, rebars) is sometimes required**.
- **Several tests are necessary to control the construction of CMC rigid inclusions:** UCS tests on concrete, reflection or impedance tests, static and dynamic isolated tests.



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



SIMSG ISSMGE



GEO-INSTITUTE



Center for Advanced Infrastructure and Transportation



Before (Aerial structure)



After (MSE Wall + bridge)



Thank you for your attention




3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



Basal Reinforced Piled Embankments: how to decide, how to design?



Arjan A.M. Venmans
Suzanne J.M. van Eekelen

Deltares, The Netherlands
arjan.venmans@deltares.nl
suzanne.vaneekelen@deltares.nl





Basal Reinforced Piled Embankments

- How to decide?
- How to design?

Arjan Venmans




Suzanne van Eekelen





What is a **(Basal Reinforced)** Piled Embankment?

GR = geosynthetic reinforcement





How to decide?

Piled embankment or not?

Often based on assumptions

Two cases, two rational criteria:

Case 1 + 2: Whole life costs

Case 2: Life cycle analysis



Case 1 Bridge approach zones (a) Whole life costs



<http://dtvirt57.deltares.nl/applications/intraweb/dminiroad/dminiroad.dll>

Home | Achtergrond | Contact

Deltares **C.R.O.W.** **Delft Cluster**

WAM overaanconstructies

invoer

Wegprofiel:

Grondprofiel:

Bouwtijd:

Stootplaten, lengte = 5m.
Duur onderhoudsperiode 12 jaar

Gekozen wegprofiel: Lagere-ordeweg mv + 0,4 m

resultaten

Top 5 bouwmethoden

Naam	LCC-verhoudings-factor*	Frequentie klein onderhoud	Aanleg-verhoudings-factor*
evenw.constr. EPS	3,6	0	3,6
paalmatras houten pln	6,6	0	6,6
paalmatras beton pln	8,1	0	8,1
Zand+kd+eoh+gbx	10,2	2	3,5
Bims+kd+eoh	10,7	2	3,9

* verhoudingsfactor uitgedrukt ten opzichte van aanlegkosten basisbouwmethode "Zand"

Gekozen grondprofiel: rivierklei 9 m rk1

Basisgrondprofielen


Legend for Basisgrondprofielen:

- Klei (humeus)
- Klei (sterk humeus)
- Klei (siltig, humeus)
- Klei (siltig)
- Klei (siltig, zandig)
- Veen
- Veen (kleilig)
- Leem (zandig)
- Zand (vast gepakt)
- Zand (matig vast gepakt)



Case 1 Bridge approach zones (a) Whole life costs

slope $\geq 1:100 \rightarrow$ maintenance






3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



-  Dense sand
-  Silty clay
-  Organic silty clay
-  Very organic clay
-  Clayey peat
-  Peat
-  Organic clay



Construction time 6 months

Low volume road, GL +0.4 m




3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



-  Dense sand
-  Silty clay
-  Organic silty clay
-  Very organic clay
-  Clayey peat
-  Peat
-  Organic clay

Construction time 6 months
 Highway, GL +7.0 m






3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal




Case 2 Kamerik local road (a) Whole life costs + (b) Life cycle analysis






Case 2 Kamerik local road (a) Whole life costs + (b) Life cycle analysis





Case 2 Kamerik local road (a) Whole life costs + (b) Life cycle analysis





Lessons learned


(a) whole life costs of piled embankments

Case 1: interesting for:

- Short construction time
- High embankment
- High soil compressibility

Case 2: not interesting for:

- Reconstruction of existing embankment



Lessons learned

(b) **Case 2: Life cycle analysis**

- Timber piles >> concrete piles
- Sand fill >> crushed concrete fill




3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



Suzanne van Eekelen

Suzanne J. M. van Eekelen



Basal Reinforced Piled Embankments

Experiments, field studies and the development and validation of a new analytical design model










3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering






3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering






3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering





3rd ICTG 2016


04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering




calculated GR strain 2010 method



2010 method (Zaeske 2001, adopted in the German EBGeo and the Dutch CUR226 (2010))



calculated GR strain 2010 method



Measurements in field tests and series of experiments taken from:

- Zaeske 2001, Germany*
- Van Duijnen et al 2010, Netherlands*
- Huang et al 2009, Finland*
- Oh and Shin 2007, Korea*
- Haring et al, 2008, N210, Netherlands*
- Weihrauch 2013, Hamburg, Germany*
- Vollmert et al 2007, Bremerhafen, Germany.*
- Almeida et al 2007, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*
- Briancon and Simon 2012, France*
- Van Eekelen et al 2012a, Netherlands*
- Van Eekelen et al 2012b, Woerden, Netherlands*




3rd ICTG 2016


04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal




University of Minho
School of Engineering



piles



"soft soil"






3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering





3rd ICTG 2016


04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Geosynthetic reinforcement






3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering

SIMSG | ISSMGE






3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal





University of Minho
School of Engineering





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal






3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal




University of Minho
School of Engineering






Observed load distribution (simplified for this presentation):





More precise (van Eekelen et al., 2015):







3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering






3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal




University of Minho
School of Engineering





Concentric Arches Model [van Eekelen 2015]



Excel sheet with equations: www.piledembankments.com



3rd ICTG 2016


04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal





2010 Method



strain calculated with 2010 method



New Concentric Arches model




strain calculated with the Concentric Arches model

Conclusions


2010 method (EBGEO/CUR 226): calculates 2.5 times the measured strain

Experiments: load distribution inversed triangular



Explanation: new Concentric Arches model


Result: 1.1 times the measured strain
“perfect” match



Therefore: adopted in the new Dutch
design guideline

Design Guideline
Basal Reinforced Piled Embankments

Editors: Suzanne J.M. van Eekelen & Martijn H.A. Brugman



OBRIGADO!

Design Guideline

CRCpress.com or amazon.com

Search for “eekelen”

Free excel with the equations:

www.piledembankments.com

International course:

15/16 November in Delft, Netherlands

<https://paotm.nl> search for “basal”



Most important publications about this research:

CUR 226 (2016). S.J.M van Eekelen and M.H.A. Brugman, Eds. Design Guideline Basal Reinforced Piled Embankments. SBRCURnet & CRC Press, ISBN 9789053676240, <https://www.crcpress.com/Design-Guideline-Basal-Reinforced-Piled-Embankments/Eekelen-Brugman/9789053676240>

Van Eekelen, S.J.M. (2015). Basal Reinforced Piled Embankments. *PhD thesis Technical University of Delft, Netherlands. ISBN 978-94-6203-825-7 (print), ISBN 978-94-6203-826-4 (electronic version). Downloadable at: www.piledembankments.com, incl. an excel calculation file.* This PhD thesis include:

- Van Eekelen, S.J.M., Bezuijen, A., Lodder, H.J., van Tol, A.F. (2012a). Model experiments on piled embankments Part I. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes 32: 69 - 81.*
- Van Eekelen, S.J.M., Bezuijen, A., Lodder, H.J., van Tol, A.F. (2012b). Model experiments on piled embankments. Part II. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes 32: 82 – 94.*
- Van Eekelen, S.J.M., Bezuijen, A., Van Tol, A.F. (2013). An analytical model for arching in piled embankments. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes 39: 78 – 102.*
- Van Eekelen, S.J.M., Bezuijen, A. van Tol, A.F. (2015). Validation of analytical models for the design of basal reinforced piled embankments. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes. 43:1, 56 - 81.*

Van Eekelen, S.J.M. (2016). The 2016-update of the Dutch Design Guideline for Basal Reinforced Piled Embankments. *In: Proc. of ICTG3, Portugal.*

Van Eekelen, S.J.M. and Venmans, A.A.M. (2016). Piled embankment or a traditional sand construction: how to decide? A case study. *In: Proc. of ICTG3, Portugal.*



Most important publications about this research:

DuboCalc (2015). <https://www.rijkswaterstaat.nl/zakelijk/zakendoen-met-rijkswaterstaat/inkoopbeleid/duurzaam-inkopen/duurzaamheid-bij-contracten-aanbestedingen/dubocalc/index.aspx>

Venmans, A.A.M (2013). Building with the subsurface for realizing cost-efficient infrastructure. Proceedings of 18th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering, Paris. Vol. 2, pp. 1781-1784.

Venmans, A.A.M., Förster, U., Hooimeijer, R.H. (2005). Integral design of motorways on soft soil on the basis of whole life costs. Proceedings 16th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering, Osaka. Millpress Science Publishers Rotterdam, vol. 4, pp 2867-2870.

Venmans, A.A.M., Kwast, E., (2011). Life cycle cost optimization of bridge approach constructions in local and national roads in the Netherlands. In: Proceedings EPS 2011, Oslo.

WAM-software decision support tool for ground improvement for bridge approach constructions
<http://dtvirt57.deltares.nl/applications/intraweb/dminiroad/dminiroad.dll>



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE

TRB

EUROPEAN SOCIETY OF CIVIL ENGINEERS

RUTGERS
Center for Advanced Infrastructure
and Transportation



IGS



CDEM



CDEM



CDEM



CDEM

Behaviour of a compacted subgrade soil and the influence of planar reinforcement in track substructure

Ana Heitor, Buddhima Indraratna and Cholachat Rujikiatkamjorn¹

1. *University Of Wollongong, Australia*

CENTRE FOR
GEOMECHANICS
& RAILWAY ENGINEERING


UNIVERSITY OF
WOLLONGONG





Part A – Small strain behaviour of compacted subgrade soil

- Track substructure:
- Ballast
- Subballast
- Subgrade






Strain limits

- Small strain shear modulus

$$G_0 = \frac{\gamma}{g} V_s^2$$




- Higher loads during compaction cause non-linear stress-strain behavior
- The stiffness values measured during compaction will therefore be lower than those obtained by non-destructive testing (i.e. MASW or BE)





• Compaction characteristics




- Silty sand (Penrith, NSW) : SP-SC 89% sand and 11% fines
- LL = 25.5%, PI = 10 and $G_s = 2.7$



Heitor et al. (2013) *CGJ* 50 (2): 179-188





Modulus across the compaction plane





G₀ and End-Product Specifications



$$\frac{G_0}{G_{ref}} = Af(e) \left[\frac{(p - u_a) + (u_a - u_w)S_r}{p_r} \right]$$



Heitor et al. (2013) *CGJ* 50 (2): 179-188



Suction history: wetting/drying cycles





The effect of suction history on G0 (Ng et al., 2012 and Heitor et al., 2014) :

- hydraulic cycles,
- recent suction history
- the current suction ratio (CSR) with

$$CSR = \frac{S_{max}}{S_{current}}$$




G₀ during a drying-wetting cycle



modified after Heitor et al.(2015) *Géotechnique*. Vol. 65 (9), pp. 717-727



Part B: Influence of planar reinforcement in the track substructure



Track substructure:

- Ballast
- Subballast
- Subgrade
- **Geogrid at interface ballast/ subballast**



The use of geosynthetics in rail tracks

- Geogrids / geocells reinforce and confine ballast, resulting in a reduced settlement and decreased lateral movement of ballast
- Lack of availability of a comprehensive computational model to study the interaction of ballast aggregates with geogrids (i.e. interlocking /confinement effects)






Figure 1




Figure 2

Tensar, 2012



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE



RUTGERS
Center for Advanced Infrastructure
and Transportation



IGS



CDEM




CDEM



CDEM



CDEM



$$VCI = \frac{(1+e_f)}{e_b} \times \frac{G_{s,b}}{G_{s,f}} \times \frac{M_f}{M_b} \times 100$$

- e_b = Void ratio of clean ballast
- e_f = Void ratio of fouling material
- $G_{s,b}$ = Specific gravity of ballast material
- $G_{s,f}$ = Specific gravity of fouling material
- M_b = Dry mass of clean ballast
- M_f = Dry mass of fouling material


Variations in the deformation of fresh and fouled ballast with and without geogrid with varying VCIs (Indraratna et al. 2013)




Optimum aperture size of geogrids

Geogrids Used for Testing

Geogrid type	Aperture shape	Aperture size (mm)	T_{ult} (kN/m)
G1	Square	38 × 38	30
G2	Triangle	36	19
G3	Square	65 × 65	30
G4	Rectangle	44 × 42	30
G5	Rectangle	36 × 24	30
G6	Square	33 × 33	40
G7	Rectangle	70 × 110	20



The Mechanism of interlock




The mechanism of particle interlock within the geogrid aperture (Wrigley 1989)

Indraratna, Hussaini and Vinod (2011)



Field Trial on Instrumented Tracks



Locations of instrumented track sections at Singleton (data sourced from RCA, 2008)






Figure 2. Reinforcement of track substructure with different geosynthetics at (a) sections A to 4, (b) section B, and (c) sections 5 and C



Magnitude of strains




Variation of (a) vertical strain (ϵ_1) and (b) lateral strain (ϵ_3) with number of load cycles (N) (data sourced from Indraratna & Nimbalkar 2013).



Ballast breakage with and without inclusion of geosynthetics

Test No.	Material type	Ballast breakage index (<i>BBI</i>)		
		Top zone	Central zone	Bottom zone
1	Fresh ballast	0.140	0.059	0.046
2	Ballast + geogrid	0.119	0.030	0.015
3	Ballast + geotextile	0.093	0.066	0.031
4	Ballast + geocomposite	0.086	0.034	0.005



Lackenby et al. 2007



Final Remarks

- Compacted Subgrade performance is strongly dependent of the compaction state
- There is a intimate relationship between G_0 , degree of saturation and soil macrostructure that governs the performance of compacted materials
- A series of filed trials and large-scale Track Process Simulation Apparatus (TPSA) were conducted with and without geogrid inclusion show the behaviour of the track substructure is enhanced with geogrids and its inclusion also controls the incidence of ballast breakage.
- Geogrid decreases deformation and breakage of ballast specimens associated with interlocking effects.



REFERENCES

Heitor, A., Indraratna, B. and Rujikiatkamjorn, C. (2013). Laboratory study of small strain behavior of a compacted silty sand. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 50(2), 179-188.

Heitor, A., Indraratna, B. and Rujikiatkamjorn, C. (2015) The role of compaction energy on the small strain properties of a compacted silty sand subjected to drying-wetting cycles *Géotechnique*. Vol. 65 (9), pp. 717-727 (DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1680/geot.14.P.053>)

Indraratna, B., Ngo, N. T. , and Rujikiatkamjorn, C. (2013). "Studying the deformation of coal fouled ballast stabilised with geogrid under cyclic load". *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering-ASCE*. 139(8): 1275–1289.

Indraratna, B., Karimullah Hussaini, S. K., and Vinod, J. S., "On The Shear Behavior of BallastGeosynthetic Interfaces," *Geotechnical Testing Journal*, Vol. 35, No. 2, 2012, pp. 18, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1520/GTJ103317>. ISSN 01496115

Indraratna, B., Nimbalkar, S. & Neville, T. (2014). Performance assessment of reinforced ballasted rail track. *Proceedings of the ICE: Ground Improvement*, 167 (1), 24-34.

Selig, E.T. and Waters, J.M. (1994). *Track geotechnology and substructure management*, Thomas Telford, London.




3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Thank You!





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Dynamic Rollers in Earthworks: Compaction and Continuous Compaction Control

Johannes Pistol¹, Dietmar Adam¹

1. Vienna University of Technology, Austria





Roller Compaction

1. Static rollers

2. Dynamic rollers


2.1 Vibratory rollers

2.2 Oscillatory rollers



2.3 Rollers with control of vertical centrifugal force

2.4 Feedback controlled rollers (VARIOCONTROL, VARIOMATIC, ACE)

2.1




2.2



drum

two counter-rotating eccentric masses



2.3 & 2.4



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal




University of Minho
School of Engineering



Oscillating rollers:

- two eccentric masses – eccentric but point symmetric to the drum axis
- same sense of rotation
⇒ sinusoidal torque around drum axis
- mainly dynamic shear forces
- low ambient vibrations



Modes of operation:
Continuous Contact,
Partial Uplift, Double
Jump, Rocking Motion,
Chaotic Motion

Vibrating rollers:

- eccentric mass in the drum axis
- circular translational vibration of the drum
- mainly vertical loading
- better compaction depth

drum motion	interaction drum-soil	mode of operation	soil contact force	application of CCC	soil stiffness	roller speed	drum amplitude
periodic	cont. contact	Continuous Contact		yes	low ↓ high	fast ↓ slow	small ↓ large
	periodic loss of contact	Partial Uplift		yes			
		Double Jump		yes			
		Rocking Motion		no			
chaotic	non-periodic loss of contact	Chaotic Motion		no			



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering






GEO-INSTITUTE



OICEM
DOE
ENGINNERIOS



OICEM
DOE
ENGINNERIOS
REGIÃO NORTE





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE




RUTGERS
Center for Advanced Infrastructure and Transportation



Compactometer

CMV


is based on the evaluation of the acceleration in the *frequency domain*



Terrameter

OMEGA

is based on the evaluation of the energy transmitted to the soil in the *time domain*




CCC Values

Terrameter

E_{VIB}


⇒ inclination of the soil contact force displacement relationship during loading; *time domain*



ACE

k_B


⇒ derived from the soil contact force displacement relationship at maximum drum deflection; *time domain*





Modelling of the dynamic soil roller interaction system


Semi-analytical modelling



Roller drum: rigid body with directed excitation unit
Ground: approximation of linear elastic halfspace
 → cone model (SDOF) (Wolf, 1994)

Contact problem: relevant non-linearity in the interaction system

Finite element modelling



→ linear elastic elements




3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering






3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Compaction device:
HAMM HD+ 90 VO tandem roller (ca. 9.8 t)

Vibration (ca. 1.9 t drum mass):
Small amplitude: 0.34 mm at 50 Hz
Large amplitude: 0.62 mm at 40 Hz

Oscillation (ca. 1.9 t drum mass):
Tangential amplitude: 1.44 mm at 39 Hz

- ◆ Tri-axial accelerometer 55 cm BGL
- ◆ Tri-axial accelerometer on the surface
- ◆ Deformation measurement device
- ◆ Dynamic soil pressure 55 cm BGL
- ◆ Reference point of geodetic levelling



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal




University of Minho
School of Engineering



Calculation of the CCC values based on acceleration measurements:

Lane 2, Vibration, $f = 50$ Hz, $v = 2-6$ km/h



Installation of the artificial weak spot



Dynamic load plate tests after each roller pass



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal







University of Minho
School of Engineering




Comparison of two test runs:

$f = 40$ Hz (thin line) and $f = 30$ Hz (bold line)

— TR 45 / Frequency: 30 Hz — TR 48 / Frequency: 40 Hz



geographical Length [°]



Main influence on CCC values:

- Modes of operation
- Roller speed
- Excitation frequency
- Excitation amplitude





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering




Oscillating rollers:

- Two eccentric masses – eccentric, but point symmetric to the drum axis
- Same sense of rotation
⇒ sinusoidal torque around drum axis
- Mainly dynamic shear forces
- Low ambient vibrations
- **Until recently:
no CCC system!**

Vibrating rollers:

- Eccentric mass in the drum axis
- Circular translational vibration of the drum
- Mainly vertical loading
- Better compaction depth



Modes of operation:

Continuous Contact, Partial Uplift, Double Jump, Rocking Motion, Chaos

Modes of operation:

Stick, One-sided Slip, Asymmetric Slip, Symmetric Slip

interaction drum-soil	mode of operation	horizontal acceleration in the bearing	app. of CCC	roller speed	soil stiffness	excitation amplitude	excitation frequency
continuous contact	Stick		yes	slow	low (Stick)	small (Stick)	low (Stick)
periodic loss of contact	One-sided Slip		yes	↓ fast	↓ high (Slip)	↓ large (Slip)	↓ high (Slip)
	Asymmetric Slip		yes				
	Symmetric Slip		yes				




3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Compaction device:
HAMM HD+ 90 VO tandem roller (ca. 9.8 t)

Vibration (ca. 1.9 t drum mass):
Small amplitude: 0.34 mm at 50 Hz
Large amplitude: 0.62 mm at 40 Hz

Oscillation (ca. 1.9 t drum mass):
Tangential amplitude: 1.44 mm at 39 Hz

- ◆ Tri-axial accelerometer 70 cm BGL
- ◆ Tri-axial accelerometer on the surface
- ◆ Deformation measurement device
- ◆ Dynamic earth pressure cell 70 cm BGL
- ◆ Reference point of geodetic levelling





3rd ICTG 2016



04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal





University of Minho
School of Engineering



Sensor SP2




Accelerations in the bearing of the drum (point M):






Motion behaviour of point M :

Rotary motion:



Mode of operation **Stick**

Translatory motion:



Mode of operation **Slip**


Mode independence!

Formulation of the equations of motion:

$$m\ddot{x}_A + \frac{mr(R-r)}{R}\ddot{\theta} - \frac{mr^2(R-r)}{R^2}\dot{\theta}^2 + k_H x_A + c_H \dot{x}_A = 0$$

$$(m + \Delta m)\ddot{z}_A - \frac{mr(R-r)}{R}\frac{r}{R}\ddot{\theta} - \frac{mr^2(R-r)}{R^2}\dot{\theta}^2 + k_V z_A - mg + c_V \dot{z}_A = F_z$$



$$\left[I + \frac{mr^2(R-r)^2}{R^2} \right] \ddot{\theta} + \frac{mr(R-r)}{R}\ddot{x}_A - \frac{mr^2(R-r)}{R^2}\ddot{z}_A + mg(R-r)\frac{r^2}{R^2}\theta = M_0 \sin(\xi t)$$




Mechanical model



- Results of the experimental measurements:



- Results of the model analysis:




- Expansion of the eight shape
 - with increasing number of passes
 - with increasing soil stiffness
- Distortion of the shape caused by travelling motion
- Characterisation of the shape = possible CCC
- Definition: area of the shape = CCC value for oscillating rollers**




Application of the CCC algorithm on acceleration measurements:

2nd layer, lane 2, Oscillation, $f = 39$ Hz, $v = 4$ km/h



Comparison to dynamic load plate tests:





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Dynamic Rollers in Earthworks: Compaction and Continuous Compaction Control

Johannes Pistol¹, Dietmar Adam¹

1. Vienna University of Technology, Austria





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



TRB

TRANSPO

RUTGERS

Workshop 4 Ground Improvement and Soil Stabilization Keynote Lecture

Performance of test embankment under vacuum consolidation: numerical analysis

Marcio Almeida¹, Esther Marques²,
Leonardo Deotti¹, Maria Cascão¹



COPPE
UFRJ

Instituto Alberto Luiz Coimbra de
Pós-Graduação e Pesquisa de Engenharia

1. *Federal University of Rio de Janeiro*
2. *Military Institute of Engineering*





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Introduction

Trial Embankment

Numerical modelling

Recent studies

Conclusions

Objectives:

- To present the numerical prediction of the behaviour of a test embankment on structured clay subjected to vacuum and embankment loading.
- To show some recent case studies performed in North and South America

Marques (2001), D.Sc. COPPE – Test embankment: site investigation, soil parameters, field monitoring

Deotti (2015), D.Sc. COPPE – Numerical modelling of test embankment under vacuum loading



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Introduction

Trial Embankment

Numerical modelling

Recent studies

Conclusions

Contents:


1. Introduction
2. Saint-Roch-de-l'Achigan trial embankment
3. Numerical modelling and results
4. Recent studies in South and North America
5. Conclusions



Vacuum consolidation on soft soils

- Originally proposed by Kjellman (1952);
- Successfully used in different parts of the world: Cognon, 1991; Cognon et al., 1994; Jacob et al., 1994; Chu et al., 2000; Marques, 2001; Indraratna et al 2005; Chai and Carter, 2011;
- Two vacuum application methods used in Geotechnics:


a) Air-tight Sheet Method





Vacuum consolidation on soft soils



b) Vacuum-Drain Method





Conventional pre-loading fill vs. Vacuum consolidation method

$$\sigma' = \sigma - u$$





Advantages



- It is the most suitable solution at sites where stability is a concern (failure does not occur under pure vacuum consolidation);
- Hydrostatic suction results in “negative” horizontal displacements in theory;
- Vacuum consolidation requires less volumes of fill material;

Disadvantages

- Special electrical system and periodic maintenance are required;
- It is not an economic technique in cases of small embankments.



Saint-Roch-de-l'Achigan trial embankment





Embankment A - vacuum
Embankment B - vacuum + heating



Cooperation Laval Univ. – Federal Univ. of Rio de Janeiro
Marques (2001) DSc






Saint-Roch-de-l'Achigan trial embankment





Saint-Roch-de-l'Achigan trial embankment



LEGEND

- UA1- PIEZOMETER (embankment A)
- RA1- TASSOMETER (embankment A)
- THA1 - THERMISTORS (embankment A)
- IA1 - INCLINOMETER (embankment A)
- ⊗ SETTLEMENT PLATES



Introduction

Trial Embankment

Numerical modelling

Recent studies

Conclusions

Geotechnical Characteristics


CPTu

Vane tests

Index tests

Oedometer tests

Triaxial tests






Non hydrostatic water level (piezometer data)

+

Low water table ≅ 1.5 m bellow GL





Construction stages

Stages	H _{embank.} (m)	T days after vacuum	Comments
A1	0.0	-	Before drains installation. Non-hydrostatic profile WL= -1.5 m
A2	0.3	-	20 cm excavation, 30cm embankment and drains installation
A3	0.6	-	30 cm embankment and placement of PVC membrane
A4	0.6	-	Excavation of trenches
A5	0.6	0	Vacuum application
A6	0.6	11	Problems on vacuum application system
A7	1.37	22	70 cm sand fill and 7cm gravel
A8	2.37	62	1m sand fill
A9	2.37	144	Problems on vacuum application system
A10	2.37	159	End of vacuum application.



Construction stages

Saint-Roch-de-l'Achigan - Vacuum consolidation												
SERVICES	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR		
STAGES	A ₁ ↓		A ₂ ↓	A ₃ ↓	A ₄ ↓	A ₅ ↓	A ₆ ↓	A ₇ ↓	A ₈ ↓	A ₉ ↓	A ₁₀ ↓	
1 Ground preparation h _{embankment} = 1.37 m	—											
2 Drains installation		—										
3 Instrumentation			—									
4 h _{embankment} = 0.60 m			—									
5 Excavation of trenches				—								
6 Inst. of PVC membrane				—								
7 Vacuum application plat. A					Beginning 8-oct-98 ————— End 16-mar-99							
8 h _{embankment} = 1.37 m					—							
9 Vacuum application plat. B						Beginning 5-nov-98 ————— End 16-mar-99						
10 h _{embankment} = 2.37 m							—					





Elastoplastic model (S-CLAY 1S)

Saint-Roch-de-l'Achigan Clay: structured behaviour


S-CLAY 1S model (Koskinen et al., 2002):

- Based on the Modified Cam Clay model
- Able to represent the anisotropy and structure in normally and slightly overconsolidated clays





Determination of the soil profile and geotechnical parameters



Sand, Gravel & "Till":
MC model

Crust:
MCC Model

Clay:
S-CLAY 1S model



Material parameters

Mod. Cam-Clay Model

Crust	
Depth (m)	0.0 - 2.5
σ'_p (kPa)	240.00
e_0	2.00
e_{v0}	1.95
k_{v0} (m/s)	2.00E-09
C_k	1.14
κ	0.02
λ	0.6
M	1.545
v'	0.2

Mohr Coulomb Model

	Till	Sand	Gravel
Depth (m)	10.0 – 15.0	-	-
γ_n (kN/m ³)	15.0	19	19
e_0	0.50	0.50	0.5
k_{v0} (m/s)	5.00E-06	1.00E-03	1.00E-03
φ'_{cv} (°)	40	30	35
v'	0.30	0.30	0.30
E (kPa)	1.00E-07	1.00E-05	1.00E-06
c' (kPa)	1	5	5


SCLAY1-S Model

	SL1	SL2	SL3	SL4	SL5	SL6	SL7
Depth (m)	2.5 - 3.5	3.5 - 4.5	4.5 - 5.5	5.5 - 6.5	6.5 - 7.5	7.5 - 8.5	8.5 - 10.0
σ'_p (kPa)	66.50	102.6	108.3	106.4	141.5	150.1	180.5
e_0	2.54	2.47	2.46	2.40	2.40	2.34	2.19
e_{v0}	2.47	2.37	2.36	2.30	2.32	2.16	2.08
k_{v0} (m/s)	2.0E-09	3.3E-09	3.2E-09	2.2E-09	1.6E-09	1.8E-09	2.3E-09
C_k	1.04	1.03	1.04	1.11	1.22	1.02	0.97
κ	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.04
λ	0.90	1.34	1.20	1.06	1.13	1.13	1.21
χ_0	25.71	9.66	9.66	9.66	9.66	9.66	9.66
λ_i	0.22	0.28	0.22	0.28	0.27	0.27	0.23
μ	6.67	11.20	12.55	14.20	13.27	13.27	12.7
a	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
b	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
η_{K_0}	1.040	1.040	1.040	1.040	1.040	1.040	1.040
M	1.545	1.545	1.54	1.545	1.545	1.545	1.545
α_{K_0}	0.605	0.605	0.605	0.605	0.605	0.605	0.605
β	1.013	1.013	1.013	1.013	1.013	1.013	1.013
v'	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2




Parameter Validation - Oedometer tests – (12)

OED1 (Crust)



◆ OED2 MCC

OED2 (Structurated Soft Clay)






◆ OED2 - - Sclay1s MCC



Parameter Validation - Triaxial tests (7)

CAU4






Conversion of the unit cell to the plane strain state

Hird et al. (1992)

$$\frac{k_{hp}}{k_h} = \frac{2B^2}{3R^2 \left[\ln\left(\frac{R}{r_s}\right) + \left(\frac{k_h}{k_s}\right) \ln\left(\frac{r_s}{r_w}\right) - \left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \right]}$$


Coefficient of permeability (m/s)

	Crust	SC 1	SC 2	SC 3	SC 4	SC 5
k_{h0}	2,00E-09	2,00E-09	3,30E-09	3,20E-09	2,20E-09	1,60E-09
$k_{h_{OS}}$ (Hird)	3,37E-10	3,37E-10	5,56E-10	3,38E-10	3,7E-10	2,7E-10





Finite element analysis





23 calculation steps were adopted to represent all (10) events involved

Original Plaxis is not able to perform Vacuum loading analysis => Plaxis-UFRJ collaboration set up for Plaxis alterations






In situ stress conditions






Stress Paths





Laboratory Tests in Brazil (Oedometer and Triaxial Tests)

- Oedometer Tests




Correa, M.R.B.C., Sandroni, S.S. 2014. Vacuum application in 1D and isotropic Trials (in Portuguese). In: *XVI Brazilian Conference on Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering, 9-13 September 2014, Goiania.*

- Isotropic Triaxial Tests




Vacuum load test in Brazil: test embankments



Sandroni, S.S., Andrade, G.G., Odebrecht, E. Vacuum consolidation pre-loading on soft soils: a first field experience (in Portuguese). In: *XVI Brazilian Conference on Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering*, 15-18 September 2012, Pernambuco.



Road Infrastructure – A Case Study in South America (Colombia)



Correa, M.R.B., Brandt, J.R., Andrade, G.G., Yanez, D.G. 2016. Soft soil improvement through use of vacuum consolidation method in a road infrastructure construction site in South America (in Portuguese). In: *XVIII Brazilian Conference on Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering, 19-22 October 2016, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais.*



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Introduction

Trial Embankment

Numerical modelling

Recent studies



Conclusions

Petrochemical Plant, México







Petrochemical Plant, México



Kochen, R., Neto, H.G.B., Bastos, I.G., Araujo, R. 2015. Soft soil improvement through use of vacuum consolidation method (in Portuguese). *Revista Engenharia*. 624/2015, pp.54-59.



Petrochemical Plant, México – Settlements data





Conclusions

- Good agreement between measured and predicted settlements, pore pressures and horizontal displacements, these being almost negligible.
- Compression curves: overall agreement between laboratory data, field data and numerical calculations.
- Vacuum loading results results in stress paths away from failure, therefore more suitable in cases stability is a major concern.
- Consistent overall numerical modelling of the structured clay subjected to vacuum loading.
- Increasing use of vacuum loading in South America



Acknowledgements

- Field studies in Canada: U. Laval, S. Leroueil; Menard, S. Varaksin
- Numerical studies: Plaxis, R. Brinkgreve; Chalmers Univ., M. Karstunen
- Brazilian studies: Tecnogeo, G. Andrade; Geocompany, R. Kochen; PUC-Rio, S. Sandroni.



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Activated Binders in Soil Stabilisation Applications

Tiago Miranda¹, Nuno Cristelo² and Sara Rios³

1. *University of Minho, Guimarães, Portugal*
2. *University of Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro, Vila Real, Portugal*
3. *CONSTRUCT-GEO, University of Porto, Portugal*





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Contents

- Introduction
 - Why alkaline activation
 - What is alkaline activation
 - What are the challenges of using alkali activated binders in soil stabilization
- Applications
 - Jet grouting
 - Compressed earth blocks
 - Soil-stabilised bases for platform infrastructures
- How to define the optimum compaction conditions?
 - The challenge
 - Some results
- Conclusions
- Future developments and references



Introduction

➤ *Why alkaline activation?*

Ground improvement methods

↳ Chemical stabilisation

↳ Traditional binders: Ordinary Portland Cement and Lime



Development of more sustainable binders: - reduce the CO₂ production
- use of waste materials






Introduction

➤ What is alkaline activation (AA)?


AA is the polymerization of aluminium and silicon ions, when aluminosilicate sources are dissolved in high pH solutions.



The final material is a 3D aluminosilicated polymer formed by several amorphous to semi-crystalline phases (SiO_4 and AlO_4 tetrahedrons sharing O)



2D C-S-H Gel
existing in high calcium binders



3D N-A-S-H Gel
formed by the AA of silicon and aluminium



Introduction

➤ *What is alkaline activation (AA)?*

ACTIVATOR

Activating
solution



PERCURSOR

Solid
aluminosilicate
source

Alkaline solution usually based on sodium (Na) or potassium (K).

- Simple (Na/K hydroxide/silicate), or
- Combined (Na/K hydroxide + Na/K silicate)

- Metakaolin – for very specific applications (e.g. monument restoration)
- Industrial by-products: fly ash, blast furnace slags, etc...

The precursor *dehydroxylation* is very important to transform its structure from crystalline to amorphous !




Introduction

➤ *What is alkaline activation (AA)?*

The reaction process:

1. The precursor covalent bonds Si-O and Al-O are broken due to the high pH of the solution (high OH⁻ concentration)
2. The metallic cations (Na or K) of the activator compensate the negative charges associated to the new change in the Al coordination with O
3. The resulting products accumulate and form a ions “soup” that originates the agglutination/nucleation process
4. An amorphous gel responsible for the material final properties is formed by the precipitation of Si and Al species



Duxson et al. (2007)




Introduction

➤ What is alkaline activation (AA)?

The eventual presence of Ca can have a strong influence on the formed gel (**C-S-H** ou **N-A-S-H**), depending on its proportion in relation to Si and Al ions.

The production conditions are easier when Ca is involved which has motivated the development of hybride precursors mixed by Portland cement and wastes.

Chemical composition of various SCMs



Inês García-Lodeiro (2016)



Introduction

➤ *What is alkaline activation (AA)?*

The final product is affected by the following factors:

- Precursor composition (ratio Si/Al)
- Precursor amorphous phase content

PERCURSOR

- Concentration of Ca ions on the solution

- Activator composition

ACTIVATOR


- Curing time, temperature and humidity

CURING CONDITIONS



Introduction

➤ *What are the challenges of using alkali activated binders in soil stabilization*





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE



RUTGERS
Center for Advanced Infrastructure
and Transportation



Applications

➤ *Jet Grouting*





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE




Center for Advanced Infrastructure and Transportation



Applications

➤ *Jet Grouting*





Applications

➤ *Jet Grouting*



OPC Column



AAFA Column



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Applications


➤ Jet Grouting

Material	Percentage of total slurry	
	30% fly ash	40% fly ash
Sodium hydroxide	6.7	5.9
Water	13.3	11.8
Sodium silicate	40.0	35.2
Fly ash [†]	40.0	47.1

* This percentage refers to the grout + soil mixture.

† This percentage refers to the grout without the soil.

90 days of curing



Cristelo et al. (2011)



Applications


➤ Jet Grouting

Material	Percentage of total slurry	
	30% fly ash	40% fly ash
Sodium hydroxide	6.7	5.9
Water	13.3	11.8
Sodium silicate	40.0	35.2
Fly ash [†]	40.0	47.1

* This percentage refers to the grout + soil mixture.

† This percentage refers to the grout without the soil.

Laboratory



Cristelo et al. (2011)



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Applications

➤ *Compressed earth blocks*





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal




University of Minho
School of Engineering



Applications

➤ *Compressed earth blocks*





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



SIMSG ISSMGE



GEO-INSTITUTE



Center for Advanced Infrastructure and Transportation



OICEM DOS ENGENHEIROS



OICEM DOS ENGENHEIROS REGIÃO NORTE

Applications

➤ *Compressed earth blocks*





Applications

➤ *Compressed earth blocks*



Under compression



Under three-point bending

Silva et al. (2015)



Applications

➤ *Compressed earth blocks*

Mixture	Soil (wt.%)	Fly ash (wt.%)	Activator/solids (wt.%)	Na ₂ O/fly ash (-)
SFA10	90.0	10.0	13.4	0.250
SFA15	85.0	15.0	13.7	0.170

Under compression

Mixture	γ_b (kg/m ³)	$f_{c,u}^d$ (N/mm ²)	$f_{c,u}^s$ (N/mm ²)	$f_{c,u}^s/f_{c,u}^d$
SFA10	1810 (1%)	8.8 (11%)	5.6 (10%)	0.64
SFA15	1854 (1%)	12.0 (8%)	8.0 (21%)	0.67

Under three-point bending

Unsoaked
in water

Soaked in
water

180 curing days

Mixture	γ_b (kg/m ³)	$f_{b,u}^d$ (N/mm ²)	$f_{b,u}^s$ (N/mm ²)	$f_{b,u}^s/f_{b,u}^d$
SFA10	1810 (1%)	1.8 (14%)	0.7 (36%)	0.40
SFA15	1854 (1%)	2.3 (11%)	1.1 (9%)	0.49

Silva et al. (2015)



Applications

➤ *Soil-stabilised bases for platform infrastructures*


ID	Ash / solids (wt.)	Na ₂ O / ash (wt.)	NaOH concent. (molal)	Water content (%)	Activ. content (%) ^a	Activ. / ash (wt.)	Dry unit weight (kN/m ³) ^b	SiO ₂ / Na ₂ O (wt.) ^c
M01	0.15	-	-	11.7	-	-	18.22	-
M02	0.20	-	-	15.6	-	-	17.08	-
M03	0.25	-	-	19.5	-	-	16.04	-
M1	0.15	0.125	7.5	8.8	11.7	0.781	18.22	0.552
M2	0.20	0.125	7.5	11.7	15.6	0.781	17.08	0.552
M3	0.25	0.125	7.5	14.7	19.5	0.781	16.04	0.552

^a For a SS/SH ratio of 0.5; ^b For a unit weight of 20 kN/m³; ^c Quantities from the activator

Unconfined compression



Elastic stiffness evaluation with ultrasonic transducers







Applications

➤ *Soil-stabilised bases for platform infrastructures*


Unconfined compression



Elastic stiffness evaluation with ultrasonic transducers



Rios et al. (2016 a e b)





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE



CEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS



CEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS
REGIÃO NORTE

Applications

➤ *Soil-stabilised bases for platform infrastructures*






Applications


Depending on the application, the mixtures properties can vary with influence on:

- mixture workability and way of compaction
- very different strength values
- very different pattern of behaviour in terms of balance between mechanical and chemical response

Consistency similar to soil-stabilised



Consistency similar to fresh concrete









How to define the optimum compaction conditions?

➤ The challenge


Soil stabilised with OPC



Rios (2011)



Geotechnical approach



Chemical approach


Enough water to hydrate the cement
GTS (2000): $w > 0,5 * C\%$



How to define the optimum compaction conditions?

➤ *The challenge*

Soil stabilised with AAC



Solids/Liquid ratio (S/L) → Mixture fluidity and workability

Water content → Viscosity of the liquid phase (mechanical) and chemical concentration of the activator

Type of alkali metal (content in Ca, Na, Si and Al) → Type of gel formed

Geotechnical approach



Chemical approach


The optimum liquid phase content, obtained by a Proctor test, will only match the ideal liquid phase, in terms of chemical reactions, by coincidence!



How to define the optimum compaction conditions?

➤ *The challenge*

Soil stabilised with AAC



Geotechnical approach

Proctor Test

ATTENTION: the water content cannot be measured by the oven method !


- The Proctor tests needs to be executed with soil, fly ash and activator otherwise it will not be representative (Higher dry unit weights are obtained when the activator is used instead of water);
- But, the Proctor curve needs to be defined in terms of water content (and not liquid content), otherwise it will not be possible to compare mixtures with different activator concentrations.



How to define the optimum compaction conditions?


➤ *Some results*

Soil stabilised with AAC




Several Proctor tests were performed:

- keeping the water content constant;
- keeping the S/L ratio constant;
- keeping the concentration of the activator constant.



A completely different pattern was found when the relation between sodium hydroxide and sodium silicate (SS/SH) changed.






How to define the optimum compaction conditions?


➤ *Some results*

Soil stabilised with AAC




- 5 molal
- 7.5 molal
- 12.5 molal
- 15 molal
- Soil
- Soil+10%FA

SS/SH = 0.5



SS/SH = 1,0






How to define the optimum compaction conditions?

➤ *Some results*

Soil stabilised with AAC




- In the first case ($SS/SH=0,5$), the increase of the SH concentration reduces the optimum water content. This is expected because the increase in the concentration reduces the amount of water in the mixture.



How to define the optimum compaction conditions?

➤ *Some results*

Soil stabilised with AAC



- In the first case ($SS/SH=0,5$), the increase of the SH concentration reduces the optimum water content. This is expected because the increase in the concentration reduces the amount of water in the mixture.
- In the second case ($SS/SH=1,0$), the increase in the SH concentration reduces the optimum dry unit weight. This is an indication that the viscosity difficults the mixture compaction.
- The two cases show completely different patterns, indicating that a higher amount of silicate has an important influence on the mixture viscosity and consequently on the mixture compaction.



Conclusions

- ❖ The use of alkali activated binders in soil stabilisation has proved to be a very interesting technique to replace Portland cement demonstrating high levels of strength and stiffness;
- ❖ Its applications can range from Jet grouting grouts, compressed earth blocks, or sub-base layers for soil improvement;
- ❖ The balance between the geotechnical and chemical approaches is not straightforward in these binders as a decrease in the activator concentration (which could improve the mixture workability and compaction) produces higher water content, and consequently, less effective chemical reactions between activator and fly ash.
- ❖ Further work is needed to obtain a rational methodology of mixture design as it exists for soil stabilised with Portland cement.



Further developments

- More data is necessary regarding the use of different soils, different compaction energies, and different mixture compositions
- Analysis of the influence of curing conditions (temperature and humidity variation with time)
- Effect of soil water content (the concentration activator needs to be adapted)
- Data that could relate compaction energy and mixtures ratios with strength that could lead to a rational methodology for design



References

- Cristelo, N., Glendinning, S., and Pinto, A. T. (2011). "Deep soft soil improvement by alkaline activation." Proc. ICE—Ground Improvement, 164 (2), 73–82.
- Duxson, P., A. Fernandes-Jiménez, J. L. Provis, G. C. Luckey, A. Palomo and J. S. J. van Deventer (2007). "Geopolymer technology: the current state of the art." Journal of Materials Science, 42(9), 2917-2933. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10853-006-0637-z>
- Rios, S. (2011). "A general framework for the geomechanical characterization of artificially cemented soil." Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Porto, Porto, Portugal.
- Rios, S., Cristelo, C., Viana da Fonseca, A., Ferreira, C. (2016a). "Structural Performance of Alkali Activated Soil-Ash versus Soil-Cement". Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, 28(2), [http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)MT.1943-5533.0001398](http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-5533.0001398)
- Rios, S., Cristelo, C., Viana da Fonseca, A., Ferreira, C. (2016b). "Small and large strain behavior of soil-geopolymer versus soil-cement". International Journal of Geomechanics, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)GM.1943-5622.0000783](http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)GM.1943-5622.0000783)
- Silva, R. A., E. Soares, D. V. Oliveira, T. Miranda, N. M. Cristelo and D. Leitão (2015). "Mechanical characterisation of dry-stack masonry made of CEBs stabilised with alkaline activation." Construction and Building Materials 75: 349-358. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.11.03>



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE



OIEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS



OIEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS
REGIÃO NORTE

Thank you for your attention!



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE

TRB

TRANSPORTATION

RUTGERS

Center for Advanced Infrastructure and Transportation



ORDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS



ORDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS
REGIÃO NORTE

Ground Improvement Solutions for Harbours

António Cristóvão¹, Alexandre Pinto², Rui Tomásio³

1. *JET_{SJ} Geotecnia, Lda, Portugal*
2. *JET_{SJ} Geotecnia, Lda, Portugal*
3. *JET_{SJ} Geotecnia, Lda, Portugal*





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



1. Introduction

2. Jet Grouting

2.1 Quay Wall Reinforcement

2.2 Temporary Earth Retaining Walls

2.3 Assessment of Jet Grouting Columns Diameter using Non-Destructive Methods

3. Vibro Techniques

3.1 Vibrocompaction

3.2 Stone columns combined with vibrocompaction

4. Main Conclusions



1. Introduction

- Over recent years the world witnessed the construction of new port infrastructures as they play a crucial role on the development of worldwide trading and regional economic growth.
- The availability of free land together with deep water coast lines, compatible with the use of large draft vessels, is becoming increasingly hard to find.
- The construction of deep quay walls and the creation of technically demanding artificial platforms are challenging the engineering capacities.
- Seeking for inexpensive and appropriate technical solutions, capable to overcome the challenges imposed by the construction of such infrastructures, use has been made of **ground improvement solutions**. Its wide range of techniques, easily adapted to different technical scenarios and geological conditions, are considered to be an added value in most projects.



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Lomé Container Terminal— Total area: 800.000 m²



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal




University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE



RUTGERS
Center for Advanced Infrastructure and Transportation





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE



Center for Advanced Infrastructure and Transportation



ORDEM DOS ENGENHEIROS



ORDEM DOS ENGENHEIROS



New Terminal Location

0m

500m

1000m



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE



Center for Advanced Infrastructure and Transportation



ORDEM DOS ENGENHEIROS



ORDEM DOS ENGENHEIROS



2. JET GROUTING



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



2.1 Quay Wall Reinforcement






Quay Wall Characteristics

Front Quay Wall:

- Quay wall length: 1.000 lm
- 29m deep reinforced concrete diaphragm wall, connected to a 0.8m thick anchored dead man wall through a 45 meter long steel tie rod system (tie-rods, spaced of 1.5m (in average), anchored at level +1.0m at the front wall and +0.0m at the anchor wall)
- Reinforced concrete wall thickness: 1.2m



On the sea side, the crane rail is located on the front wall axis, whilst on the land side, it rests on a 1.4m wide and 1.25m high reinforced concrete beam, held by a row of 1.2 m diameter bored piles, founded at level -22.0m and spaced at a distance of 3.0m between axes.




3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
Faculty of Engineering



- Single supported quay wall
- The front wall is a 1,2m RC diaphragm wall
- The anchor wall is a 80cm RC diaphragm wall

- The Tie-rods length is 45m
- The land-side crane rail is supported by Ø1200mm bored piles



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal




University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE



RUTGERS
Center for Advanced Infrastructure
and Transportation





3rd ICTG 2016


04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Particular Solution



- Main differences:
 - Softer and weaker silty layer at the passive zone;
 - Soft clay layer very close to the bottom of the quay wall;
- Main problems:
 - Increase of the bending moments;
 - Reduced safety against vertical punching failure
- Adopted Solutions:
 - skin friction increase by means of secant jet grouting columns
 - increase of passive stiffness and resistance by means of a ground improvement with jet grouting columns




3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal




University of Minho
School of Engineering



Jet Grouting Columns
 Φ 1.500mm – L=4,0m
 Passive zone

Secant Jet Grouting Columns
 Φ 1.500mm at a distance of 0.80m
 from the wall axis, spaced 3.0m



Jet Grouting Columns
 (Passive Zone)
 Triangular treatment grid spacing
 3.0m x 3.0m



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



2.2 Temporary Earth Retaining Walls





- | The versatility of jet grouting enables its use on temporary earth retaining structures, allowing overcoming earth stability problems during construction.
- | The present case reports to the construction of jet grouting walls, in the transition zone between a new quay wall and an existent breakwater.
- | Two different solutions were executed according to the excavation geometry and the main constraints observed at the site.



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE



Center for Advanced Infrastructure and Transportation





- **SOLUTION 1 – Jet grouting gravity wall:**
 - 1.5m diameter jet grouting columns, spaced at 1.2m, center to center;
 - Use was made of 14.0m to 15.0m long jet grout columns to support the container storage platform and the slope stability in the breakwater area.

- **SOLUTION 2 – Junction of the quay wall with the existing breakwater**
 - The jet grouting wall was formed by two rows of 1.5m diameter jet grouting columns.
 - The wall stability was partially ensured by buttresses composed by 1.5m diameter jet grouting columns, spaced of 2.4m. Each buttress was constituted by 9 perpendicular jet grouting columns.



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering




JET SJ



GEO-INSTITUTE



Center for Advanced Infrastructure and Transportation





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



2.3 Assessment of Jet grouting Columns Diameter using Non-Destructive Methods





What is the real jet grouting columns diameter over depth??
Do they meet the design requirements??






3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



JG TEST **column E**
(depth: 1.0m - 5.0m)
L=4.0m

GEOLOGICAL / GEOTECHNICAL
PROFILE

TEST COLUMNS

JG TEST **column D**
(depth. 20.1m - 28.1m)
L=8.0m



JET GROUTING – EXECUTION PARAMETERS

	Injector	Fluid Press.	Air Press.	Flow rate	Progress speed	Rotation	Dosage of grout	Gouted volume	Cement rate	
	n. DN mm	bar	bar	l/min	Sec/4 cm	rpm	A/C	l/ml	Kg/ml	
Drilling	2	4-4.5	240	10-12	355	2,4	35	-	-	-
Water Jet	2	4-4.5	350	14	425	12	4.05	-	-	-
Grouting	2	4-4.5	415	10	365	15	7	0,74	2281	2237



Quality Control / Quality Assurance

I Destructive Methods (tradicional):

- Extraction of soil-cement samples, collected by coring;

I Non-Destructive Methods


- Electric resistivity tests (“electric cilinder” - CYLJET®);
- Seismic cross hole tests.





I Destructive Methods (core drillings)

COLUMN D





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal




University of Minho
School of Engineering



SECTION A-A'

SECTION B-B'





I Destructive Methods (extraction of soil-cement samples, collected by coring):

■ Main inconvenients:

➤ Costs and schedules:

- i. Core drillings are costly and time consuming
- ii. The coring process and the quality of the samples requires that the composed soil-cement material is sufficiently hardened (specially in clays)

➤ Execution:

- i. The quality of the samples is often compromised (difficult interpretation of the results);
- ii. Drilling deviations (namely, in columns executed at considerable depths – TIGOR device is highly recommended).

➤ Main limitations :

- i. Results are limited to the singular point where the drilling was undertaken (distance to the column axis);
- ii. Reliable results require that a great number of cores are extracted.



Examples of soil-cement samples collected by coring
What are the conclusions regarding the column diameter?





I Non-Destructive Methods (seismic cross hole and electric resistivity tests):

■ Main Advantages:

➤ Costs and schedules:

- i. Tests are very economic (by comparison with core samples extration);
- ii. The tests can (must be) undertaken imediatly after the jet grouting column is executed;
- iii. The data is easily processed, leading to a quick obtention of the results.

➤ Execution:

- i. The installation of measuring devices is simple and easy to control;


➤ Results:

- i. Assesement of the complete jet grouting columns diameter over their entire lenght;
- ii. Accuracy of the results (+/- 10% on the calculated diameter using the resistivity method – electric cylinder)



Electric Resistivity Test – Electric Cylinder CYLJET®:

- Assessment of the *in situ* soil resistivity – calibration borehole;
- Once the jet grouting column is completed, a PVC slotted casing is driven down the column centreline, while the column is still in fresh. An electric cylinder cable is then inserted to measure the resistivity in the surrounding medium.
- The PVC casing must be fulfilled with water or other substance providing good electrical contact between the electrodes and the surrounding ground. In case of CYLJET® application, a cable with 32 electrodes, spaced of 0.30m is used





Electric Resistivity Test – Electric Cylinder CYLJET®:

- New assessment of the soil electric resistivity (after the jet grouting column is executed);
- Resistivities comparison (before and after the jet grouting column is executed):
 - Natural Soil – low conductivity (dezens of ohm-m);
 - Jet grouting (fresh) – high conductivity (a few ohm-m).

Remmark : The lower the resistivity, the more easily the material allows the passage of an electrical load.

- The electric cylindrical test (CYLJET®) provides a resistivity pseudo section in a **3 to 5 meters diameter cylinder of ground** (depending on ground resistivity).



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE




Center for Advanced Infrastructure and Transportation



ORDEM DOS ENGENHEIROS



ORDEM DOS ENGENHEIROS



PVC slotted case at the JG centerline - CYLJET

Core drilling





Summary of results – JET GROUTING TEST COLUMN D

Destructive Methods (soil-cement material collection):

- Core drillings at a maximum distance of 0.79m from the column axis – minimum JG column diameter 1.500mm was reached.

Non-Destructive Method - Electric Resistivity - CYLJET®:

- Minimum JG column diameter: 1.500mm.
- Maximum JG column diameter: 1.700mm


Non-Destructive Method Seismic Cross Hole

- Average JG column diameter: 1.750mm.

JG COLUMN D
(Depth. 20.1m to 28.1m)
L=8.0m





Seismic Cross Hole



JG COLUMN D
(Depth. 20.1m to 28.1m)
L=8.0m

Seismic Cross-hole Column D
Date: 7/07/2014
Shot spacing: 1 meters
Number of channels: 2
Number of measurements: 470
Type of wave: S
Hole deep: 27 meters
Max. definition: 2.5 cm.
Promedlum diameter: 1.75
Weight of inyection: 7.57

Electric Cylinder - CYLJET®





Confirmation Electric Resistivity Test Results – SURFICIAL JET GROUTING TEST COLUMN E



Exposed JG column (E)

PVC slotted case at the JG centerline - CYLJET

JG COLUMN E
(depth. 1.0m to 5.0m)
L=4.0m

Results obtained by direct measuring

- Reference JG column depth: 3.10m (level -0.60m)
- JG column perimeter: 7.30m
- JG column diameter: 2.32m



3rd ICTG 2016




04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Electric Cylinder - CYLJET®



JG COLUMN E
(depth. 1.0m to 5.0m)
L=4.0m

Results

- Real: 2.32m
- CYLJET: 2.27m
- Error: 2.2%



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE



RUTGERS
Center for Advanced Infrastructure
and Transportation



2. VIBRO TECHNIQUES



3.1 Vibrocompaction

Site characteristics

- Terminal area: 800.000m²
- Port platform: 3.50m high landfill (to form a base for the concrete pavement slab)
- Service load: 70 kPa

Objectives

- Achievement of a minimum relative density of **ID>70%** in all the upper frictional soil layers (“medium dense to loose” sands - found to be at a maximum depth of 12m to 15m);
- Reduce the magnitude of the horizontal earth pressure imposed on the 1000m long quay wall (by increasing the soil internal friction angle).



Main Challenges

Definition of the terminal areas where the ground improvement was necessary (and compatible with the vibrocompaction process):

- Determine the soils with a relative density $ID < 70\%$;
- Evaluate the soils characteristics and their compatibility with the use of vibrocompaction;
- Define the execution parameters (required treatment grid spacing of the compaction probe);
- Cost optimization.



3.1.1 Assessment of ground improvement areas

Preliminary stage (grain size distribution analysis) :

- Identification of soils with a fine content $FC < 10\%$ - *Degen (1997)*
- Qualitative soil classification based on an “acceptability parameter” (SN) - *Brown (1977)*

Execution stage:

- Soil Classification using CPT results: “Soil Behaviour type Index” (I_c) - *Robertson (2010)*
- Evaluation of “soil compactibility” - *Massarch (1994)*

(analysis undertaken based on 85 CPT results and 81 boreholes with SPT)



3rd ICTG 2016


04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal




University of Minho
School of Engineering



SOIL BEHAVIOUR TYPE INDEX" (Ic) - Robertson (2010)



SOIL COMPACTIBILITY - Massarch (1994)





3rd ICTG 2016


04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



TREATMENT AREA: 176.000 m²
(22% of the total terminal area)



VIBROCOMPACTION NOT REQUIRED

COMPACTABLE ZONE

MARGINALLY COMPACTABLE



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering





3.1.2 Relative Density Results

Preliminary Field Tests

- Define the required treatment grid spacing of the compaction probe to achieve a minimum relative density **ID<70%** in all the upper frictional soils.
- Soil relative density was determined according to the **Jamiolkowski et al. (2001)** formulation (relative density can be directly determined as a function of the cone penetration resistance (q_c) and soil vertical effective stress).
- Trials were performed in 9 field tests (FT1 to FT9) distributed at several locations of the terminal area.



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Field Tests FT1 to FT4:

- 6 triangular treatment pattern grids with spacings of 3.30m; 3.50m; 3.70m; 3.90m, 4.10m and 4.30m;
- 58 compaction probe points (at depths varying from 12m to 15m);

Field Tests FT5 to FT9:

- 3 triangular treatment pattern grids with spacings of 3.30m; 3.50m and 3.70m




3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



SIMSG ISSMGE



JET SJ





GEO-INSTITUTE

TRB

TRANSFERRATION


RUTGERS

Center for Advanced Infrastructure and Transportation





TIME EFFECT AFTER VIBROCOMPACTION



An increase of soil relative density over time has been observed

Massarsch (1991)

Schmertmann (1991)

- I_d (%) - INITIAL
- $I_c > 2,05$
- POST TEST: CPT- 2 days
- $I_d = 70\%$
- POST TEST: CPT 10 days



3.1.3 Limitations of Vibrocompaction Treatment

Particular case of Field Test FT3 :

- Characterized by the presence of dense sands, typically with cone resistance values $25 < q_c < 30$ MPa;
- Ground improvement efficiency was assessed based on field test results (triangular grid spacing of 3.30m and 4.30m);
- Evaluation of ground improvement behaviour over time (2 and 10 days after vibrocompaction has been completed).



3rd ICTG 2016


04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering




3.30m TRIANGULAR GRID SPACING



--- PRE TEST: CPT (initial) — Ic > 2,05
 — POST TEST: CPT (10 days) — POST TEST: CPT (2 days)

4.30m TRIANGULAR GRID SPACING



--- PRE TEST: CPT (initial) — Ic > 2,05
 — POST TEST: CPT (10 days) — POST TEST: CPT (2 days)



3.1.4 Assessment of Soil Contamination by Washed Clay

- One of the concerns raised during the work related to the maximum depth that should be reached by the vibroflot, as there was the possibility that the disaggregation of the clay, caused by the high impact energy of the vibroflot water jet, might reduce the effectiveness of the ground treatment;
- The base of the granular soils (sands) was found at a depth of approximately 14.50m. Underneath the sandy soil layer (>14,50m) a clay layer has been detected;
- In order to check if contamination of the upper sand layers by the washing in of clay had an impact on the vibrocompaction process, additional field tests were performed:
 - TEST 1: The vibroflot was taken down to a depth of **15.5m (penetrating 1.0m in the clay layer)**;
 - TEST 2: The vibroflot did not reach the clay layer, stopping at a depth of **14.50m**
- Note: No washing of the hole was undertaken.



3rd ICTG 2016


04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering




3.50m TRIANGULAR GRID SPACING



— $I_c > 2,05$ — CPT 14,50m (grid 3.50m)
 - - - CPT 15,50m (grid 3.50m)

3.70m TRIANGULAR GRID SPACING



— $I_c > 2,05$ — CPT 14,50m (grid 3.70m)
 - - - CPT 15,50m (grid 3.70m)



3.1.5 The use of DPSH on Relative Density Assessment

| A malfunction with the CPT equipment prevented its use for the required vibrocompaction quality control assessment;

| As there was an immediate need to proceed with the vibrocompaction works, an alternative method for relative density assessment was required.

The alternative relied on the **DPSH** (*deep probe super heavy*) equipment available at the site;

| The use of DPSH for relative density assessment required a validation procedure. For the purpose, correlations between the CPT cone resistance (q_c) and the DPSH blow count (NDPSH) were undertaken.

(in total, 24 CPT's and 6 DPSH's were used to determine a reliable correlation between test types)



Using the results obtained and taking into consideration the variation of soil conditions with depth, it was possible to determine the following correlations between the CPT equivalent cone resistance (q_{ceq}) and number of blows (N_{DPSH}) of the DPSH.

$$\text{Depth 0,0m to 2,0m: } q_{ceq} = 5,8 \times N_{DPSH} \times \sigma'_{v0}{}^{-0,5}$$


$$\text{Depth 2,0m to 12,0m: } q_{ceq} = 8,5 \times N_{DPSH} \times \sigma'_{v0}{}^{-0,5}$$

$$\text{Depth 12,0m to 14,0m: } q_{ceq} = 5,6 \times N_{DPSH} \times \sigma'_{v0}{}^{-0,5}$$

Knowing the equivalent cone resistance (q_{ceq}), derived from the correlations, the soil relative density after vibrocompaction was determined - *Jamiolkowski et al. (2001) formulation*.




CORRELATION q_c (CPT) vs q_{eq} (DPSH)



- - - qc (initial CPT) **qc (MPa)**
— qceq (correlation DPSH)

RELATIVE DENSITY - CORRELATION q_c (CPT) vs q_{eq} (DPSH)



- - - Id - initial CPT **Id (%)**
— Id - Correlation with DPSH



3.2 Stone Columns Combined with Vibrocompaction

- | The presence of a **6.0m thick clayey silty layer**, found at a depth of 12.0m on a singular area of the container storage platform, determined the application of vibroreplacement (**stone columns**) to reduce long term settlements;
- | Combined solution: stone columns were built from 19.0m to 11.0m depth and vibrocompaction treatment was following executed until ground surface.;
- | As stone columns and vibrocompaction can be executed using the same equipment (top-feed wet system), both types of treatment were performed on the same borehole.




3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Ground improvement treatment area :10.700m²

Stone columns:

- Average diameter: 0.90m
- Square regular grid pattern of 2.70m
- Area replacement ratio (ARR): 8.7 %



- | Estimated settlements of **0.15m** were assessed according to *Priebe (1995)*, leading to an improvement factor of **1.73**;
- | Due to its large diameter and high permeability, stone columns are compared to large diameter drains. Taking advantage of these characteristics it was possible to reduce and anticipate part of the immediate settlements by a **consolidation process**.
- | Consolidation - *Balaam and Booker (1981)*
 - A 3.50m high temporary embankment was placed over the entire treatment area;
 - Time for consolidation: **2 months** for a degree of consolidation **U = 90%** - *Balaam and Booker (1981)*
- | After the required period for consolidation, residual settlements of **5.5 cm** were observed at the site.



MAIN CONCLUSIONS

- | To be well succeeded, both jet grouting and vibro techniques require an **exhaustive geological-geotechnical** campaign, carried out before and during the works, as well as **appropriate field trials**.
- | Jet grout columns were found to be an added value to increase the **overall quay wall stability** as well as the **wall bearing capacity**.
- | Jet grouting proved to be an useful technique to build temporary earth retaining structures, especially at zones intersecting existing jetties (specially when at the presence of existing rockfills).
- | The **electric cylinder** method provides accurate results, making of it a **valid method** to estimate the jet grout columns diameter.



MAIN CONCLUSIONS

- Using **vibrocompaction** it was possible to increase the soil relative density, typically corresponding to behaviour soil type index behaviour (I_c) of between **1.31 and 2.05**. No evident improvement was observed in soils with a behaviour soil type index (I_c) higher than 2.05.
- It has been confirmed that the **soil relative density tends to increase along the time** when soils are submitted to vibrocompaction.
- Careful use of vibrocompaction shall be observed when at the presence of **dense sands**, typically with CPT cone resistance values varying from 25 to 30MPa, as a decrease of soil initial stiffness/density may be expected.
- During vibrocompaction works, **any contact with underlying clay layers may lead to soil contamination** due to washed in clay material, precluding the achievement of higher relative density results.



MAIN CONCLUSIONS

- | The use of DPSH testing was found to be a good instrument for vibrocompaction quality control assessment, however, calibration with CPT data performed at the site shall always be undertaken.
- | The use of stone columns in combination with vibrocompaction has proved to be an optimized and cost effective ground improvement solution, enabling improving simultaneously clay layers positioned underneath granular and compactable soils.



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



SIMSG ISSMGE



GEO-INSTITUTE



OCEM DOS ENGENHEIROS



OCEM DOS ENGENHEIROS REGIÃO NORTE



THE GROUND IS OUR CHALLENGE

www.jetsj.com

info@jetsj.com

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS



SOLDATA





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



The Advantages of advanced quality control methods during PVD installation and heavy Rapid Impact Compaction

Jan-Willem Vink¹, Jeroen Dijkstra²

1. *Cofra bv, The Netherlands*
2. *Cofra bv, The Netherlands*



Cofra



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Index

1. Introduction
2. Quality control for vertical drain projects
3. Quality control for heavy rapid impact projects
4. Conclusion





Quality Control

- Why? Registration of the basic parameters to
 - provide proof that the work has been performed as designed
 - Use the data for design and optimization purposes
 - Gain insight in the soil conditions
 - Gain insight in production and overall progress
- There is no standard quality control method for the industry.
 - Every contractor has their own system
 - Requirements differ per project





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering




Index

1. Introduction
2. Quality control for vertical drain projects
3. Quality control for heavy rapid impact projects
4. Conclusion





Work method Vertical Drain





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



SIMSG ISSMGE



G-I GEO-INSTITUTE

TRB

LABORIO GOMELLEIRA
RESEARCH CENTER

RUTGERS
Center for Advanced Infrastructure
and Transportation



Vertical Drain equipment






General Quality control for vertical drain projects

- During PVD installation several parameters are logged
 - ID
 - Date and time
 - Base unit
 - Installation depth
 - Push force at selected intervals



Advanced Quality control for vertical drain projects

- During PVD installation several parameters are logged
 - ID
 - Date and time
 - Base unit
 - Installation depth
 - Push force at selected intervals
- The latest development is the use of GPS based logging and positioning
- The registered data can be of advantage to both the contractor as well as the designer / site engineer





Advantages general

During Installation

- Autocad drawing
 - Location of underground infrastructure can be shown to the operator
 - Depth map / Installation on chart datum level / automatic stop
 - No positions required on the field
- Increase safety by being able to detect weak spots in platform during installation





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Accuracy





Advantages general

After installation

- Exact position of each drain
 - Location monitoring equipment
 - Predrilling locations when refusals are encountered
 - Total force graph at each drain location (x-ref)
- Leads to a high standard of Quality Assurance





Advantages for Engineers

What can we do with the data

- Depth map of the installation data
 - Where is the hard layer?
 - Is the design followed?






Advantages for Engineers

What can we do with the data

- Depth map of the installation data
 - Where is the hard layer?
 - Is the design followed?
 - Are there differences from the initial design and SI?
 - Do we need to place additional settlement markers?






Advantages for Engineers

What can we do with the data:

- Depth map of the installation data
 - Where is the hard layer?
 - Is the design followed?
 - Are there differences from the initial design and SI?
 - Do we need to place additional settlement markers?
- Push force at specific depths
 - Mapping sand lenses





Push Force

Push force is the total force on the mandrel measured by oil pressure

Influenced by several factors

- Tip resistance
- Friction of mandrel
- Friction inside mast
- Pretension
- Speed of installation

Should be used as an indication

- Delineation between sand and soft material is possible
- Delineation between peat and soft clay not possible





DGPS – push force at specific depth





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



DGPS – push force at specific depth





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



DGPS – push force at specific depth

Cofra

Project
West Hythe

Location
West Hythe UK


Reference
15-LTD-11

Execution date
01 June 2015 till
29 June 2015


Legend

- 0-15 kN
- 15-30 kN
- 30-45 kN
- 45-60 kN
- 60-75 kN
- 75-90 kN
- 90-105 kN
- 105-120 kN
- 120-135 kN
- 135-150 kN
- 150-165 kN
- 165-180 kN
- >180 kN

Date
16 July 2015



DEPTH 0.9M



- 0.9m —
- 1.8m —
- 2.7m —
- 3.6m —
- 4.5m —
- 5.4m —
- 6.3m —
- 7.2m —
- 8.1m —
- 9.0m —
- 9.9m —
- 10.8m —
- 11.7m —
- 12.6m —



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



DGPS – push force at specific depth

Cofra

Project
West Hythe

Location
West Hythe UK


Reference
15-LTD-11

Execution date
01 June 2015 till
29 June 2015


Legend

- 0-15 kN
- 15-30 kN
- 30-45 kN
- 45-60 kN
- 60-75 kN
- 75-90 kN
- 90-105 kN
- 105-120 kN
- 120-135 kN
- 135-150 kN
- 150-165 kN
- 165-180 kN
- >180 kN

Date
16 July 2015



DEPTH 1.8M



0.9m —
1.8m —
2.7m —
3.6m —
4.5m —
5.4m —
6.3m —
7.2m —
8.1m —
9.0m —
9.9m —
10.8m —
11.7m —
12.6m —



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



DGPS – push force at specific depth

Cofra

Project
West Hythe

Location
West Hythe UK

Reference
15-LTD-11


Execution date
01 June 2015 till
29 June 2015

- Legend**
- 0-15 kN
 - 15-30 kN
 - 30-45 kN
 - 45-60 kN
 - 60-75 kN
 - 75-90 kN
 - 90-105 kN
 - 105-120 kN
 - 120-135 kN
 - 135-150 kN
 - 150-165 kN
 - 165-180 kN
 - >180 kN

Date
16 July 2015



DEPTH 2.7M



- 0.9m
- 1.8m
- 2.7m
- 3.6m
- 4.5m
- 5.4m
- 6.3m
- 7.2m
- 8.1m
- 9.0m
- 9.9m
- 10.8m
- 11.7m
- 12.6m



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



DGPS – push force at specific depth

Cofra

Project
West Hythe

Location
West Hythe UK

Reference
15-LTD-11

Execution date
01 June 2015 till
29 June 2015


Legend

- 0-15 kN
- 15-30 kN
- 30-45 kN
- 45-60 kN
- 60-75 kN
- 75-90 kN
- 90-105 kN
- 105-120 kN
- 120-135 kN
- 135-150 kN
- 150-165 kN
- 165-180 kN
- > 180 kN

Date
16 July 2015



DEPTH 3.6M



0.9m —
1.8m —
2.7m —
3.6m —
4.5m —
5.4m —
6.3m —
7.2m —
8.1m —
9.0m —
9.9m —
10.8m —
11.7m —
12.6m —



DGPS – push force at specific depth

Cofra

Project
West Hythe

Location
West Hythe UK


Reference
15-LTD-11

Execution date
01 June 2015 till
29 June 2015


Legend

- 0-15 kN
- 15-30 kN
- 30-45 kN
- 45-60 kN
- 60-75 kN
- 75-90 kN
- 90-105 kN
- 105-120 kN
- 120-135 kN
- 135-150 kN
- 150-165 kN
- 165-180 kN
- >180 kN

Date
16 July 2015



DEPTH 4.5M





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



DGPS – push force at specific depth

Cofra

Project
West Hythe

Location
West Hythe UK

Reference
15-LTD-11

Execution date
01 June 2015 till
29 June 2015


Legend

- 0-15 kN
- 15-30 kN
- 30-45 kN
- 45-60 kN
- 60-75 kN
- 75-90 kN
- 90-105 kN
- 105-120 kN
- 120-135 kN
- 135-150 kN
- 150-165 kN
- 165-180 kN
- >180 kN

Date
16 July 2015



DEPTH 5.4M



- 0.9m
- 1.8m
- 2.7m
- 3.6m
- 4.5m
- 5.4m
- 6.3m
- 7.2m
- 8.1m
- 9.0m
- 9.9m
- 10.8m
- 11.7m
- 12.6m



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



DGPS – push force at specific depth

Cofra

Project
West Hythe

Location
West Hythe UK


Reference
15-LTD-11

Execution date
01 June 2015 till
29 June 2015



Legend

- 0-15 kN
- 15-30 kN
- 30-45 kN
- 45-60 kN
- 60-75 kN
- 75-90 kN
- 90-105 kN
- 105-120 kN
- 120-135 kN
- 135-150 kN
- 150-165 kN
- 165-180 kN
- > 180 kN

Date
16 July 2015



DEPTH 6.3M





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



DGPS – push force at specific depth

Cofra

Project
West Hythe

Location
West Hythe UK


Reference
15-LTD-11

Execution date
01 June 2015 till
29 June 2015


Legend

- 0-15 kN
- 15-30 kN
- 30-45 kN
- 45-60 kN
- 60-75 kN
- 75-90 kN
- 90-105 kN
- 105-120 kN
- 120-135 kN
- 135-150 kN
- 150-165 kN
- 165-180 kN
- >180 kN

Date
16 July 2015



DEPTH 7.2M



- 0.9m
- 1.8m
- 2.7m
- 3.6m
- 4.5m
- 5.4m
- 6.3m
- 7.2m
- 8.1m
- 9.0m
- 9.9m
- 10.8m
- 11.7m
- 12.6m



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



DGPS – push force at specific depth

Cofra

Project
West Hythe


Location
West Hythe UK

Reference
15-LTD-11


Execution date
01 June 2015 till
29 June 2015

- Legend**
- 0-15 kN
 - 15-30 kN
 - 30-45 kN
 - 45-60 kN
 - 60-75 kN
 - 75-90 kN
 - 90-105 kN
 - 105-120 kN
 - 120-135 kN
 - 135-150 kN
 - 150-165 kN
 - 165-180 kN
 - >180 kN

Date
16 July 2015



DEPTH 8.1M



- 0.9m
- 1.8m
- 2.7m
- 3.6m
- 4.5m
- 5.4m
- 6.3m
- 7.2m
- 8.1m
- 9.0m
- 9.9m
- 10.8m
- 11.7m
- 12.6m



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



DGPS – push force at specific depth

Cofra

Project
West Hythe

Location
West Hythe UK


Reference
15-LTD-11

Execution date
01 June 2015 till
29 June 2015


Legend

- 0-15 kN
- 15-30 kN
- 30-45 kN
- 45-60 kN
- 60-75 kN
- 75-90 kN
- 90-105 kN
- 105-120 kN
- 120-135 kN
- 135-150 kN
- 150-165 kN
- 165-180 kN
- > 180 kN

Date
16 July 2015



DEPTH 9.0M





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



DGPS – push force at specific depth

Cofra

Project
West Hythe


Location
West Hythe UK

Reference
15-LTD-11


Execution date
01 June 2015 till
29 June 2015

- Legend**
- 0-15 kN
 - 15-30 kN
 - 30-45 kN
 - 45-60 kN
 - 60-75 kN
 - 75-90 kN
 - 90-105 kN
 - 105-120 kN
 - 120-135 kN
 - 135-150 kN
 - 150-165 kN
 - 165-180 kN
 - >180 kN

Date
16 July 2015



DEPTH 9.9M



- 0.9m
- 1.8m
- 2.7m
- 3.6m
- 4.5m
- 5.4m
- 6.3m
- 7.2m
- 8.1m
- 9.0m
- 9.9m
- 10.8m
- 11.7m
- 12.6m



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



DGPS – push force at specific depth

Cofra

Project
West Hythe

Location
West Hythe UK

Reference
15-LTD-11

Execution date
01 June 2015 till
29 June 2015


Legend

- 0-15 kN
- 15-30 kN
- 30-45 kN
- 45-60 kN
- 60-75 kN
- 75-90 kN
- 90-105 kN
- 105-120 kN
- 120-135 kN
- 135-150 kN
- 150-165 kN
- 165-180 kN
- >180 kN

Date
16 July 2015



DEPTH 10.8M



- 0.9m
- 1.8m
- 2.7m
- 3.6m
- 4.5m
- 5.4m
- 6.3m
- 7.2m
- 8.1m
- 9.0m
- 9.9m
- 10.8m
- 11.7m
- 12.6m



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE



Center for Advanced Infrastructure and Transportation



DGPS – push force at specific depth

Cofra

Project
West Hythe

Location
West Hythe UK


Reference
15-LTD-11

Execution date
01 June 2015 till
29 June 2015


Legend

- 0-15 kN
- 15-30 kN
- 30-45 kN
- 45-60 kN
- 60-75 kN
- 75-90 kN
- 90-105 kN
- 105-120 kN
- 120-135 kN
- 135-150 kN
- 150-165 kN
- 165-180 kN
- >180 kN

Date
16 July 2015



DEPTH 11.7M



- 0.9m
- 1.8m
- 2.7m
- 3.6m
- 4.5m
- 5.4m
- 6.3m
- 7.2m
- 8.1m
- 9.0m
- 9.9m
- 10.8m
- 11.7m
- 12.6m



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



DGPS – push force at specific depth

Cofra

Project
West Hythe


Location
West Hythe UK

Reference
15-LTD-11


Execution date
01 June 2015 till
29 June 2015

- Legend**
- 0-15 kN
 - 15-30 kN
 - 30-45 kN
 - 45-60 kN
 - 60-75 kN
 - 75-90 kN
 - 90-105 kN
 - 105-120 kN
 - 120-135 kN
 - 135-150 kN
 - 150-165 kN
 - 165-180 kN
 - > 180 kN

Date
16 July 2015



DEPTH 12.6M




- 0.9m
- 1.8m
- 2.7m
- 3.6m
- 4.5m
- 5.4m
- 6.3m
- 7.2m
- 8.1m
- 9.0m
- 9.9m
- 10.8m
- 11.7m
- 12.6m



Advantages for Engineers

What can we do with the data:

- Depth map of the installation data
 - Where is the hard layer?
 - Is the design followed?
 - Are there differences from the initial design and SI?
 - Do we need to place additional settlement markers?
- Push force at specific depths
 - Mapping sand lenses
- Cross section of push force
 - Geological profiling





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



SIMSG ISSMGE



GEO-INSTITUTE



CIGEM 2005
SUCCESS STORY



CIGEM 2005
SUCCESS STORY
ROAD HEFT

Profiling



Legend

Max. installation depth

- 0-2m
- 2-4m
- 4-6m
- 6-8m
- 8-10m
- 10-12m
- 12-14m
- 14-16m
- 16-18m
- 16-18m
- 20-22m
- 22-24m
- >24m

Cross section

- 0-15 kN
- 15-30 kN
- 30-45 kN
- 45-60 kN
- 60-75 kN
- 75-90 kN
- 90-105 kN
- 105-120 kN
- 120-135 kN
- 135-150 kN
- 150-165 kN
- 165-180 kN
- >180 kN





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Profiling

Legend

Max. installation depth



- 0-2m
- 2-4m
- 4-6m
- 6-8m
- 8-10m
- 10-12m
- 12-14m
- 14-16m
- 16-18m
- 16-18m
- 20-22m
- 22-24m
- >24m
- ◇ CPT

Cross section

- 0-15 kN
- 15-30 kN
- 30-45 kN
- 45-60 kN
- 60-75 kN
- 75-90 kN
- 90-105 kN
- 105-120 kN
- 120-135 kN
- 135-150 kN
- 150-165 kN
- 165-180 kN
- >180 kN

Robertson 1990 interpretation

- Clay
- Sandy clay
- Sand
- Stiff clay



- Push force [kN]**
- 0-15 kN
 - 15-30 kN
 - 30-45 kN
 - 45-60 kN
 - 60-75 kN
 - 75-90 kN
 - 90-105 kN
 - 105-120 kN
 - 120-135 kN
 - 135-150 kN
 - 150-165 kN
 - 165-180 kN
 - >180 kN



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE




CIENCE
DOS
SUCCESSION



CIENCE
DOS
SUCESSORES

Optimization?





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Index

1. Introduction
2. Quality control for vertical drain projects
3. [Quality control for heavy rapid impact projects](#)
4. Conclusion






Rapid Impact Compaction (CDC)

- Surface compaction technique
- 7 to 16 ton hammers
- 40 blows per minute, 1.2m fall height – compaction of a location less than 2 minutes
- Different foot diameters available to accommodate for soil conditions
- Depth of influence depending on requirements
- Generally between 6 and 8 meters (10m also observed)
- 16 ton results come close to dynamic compaction results







Work Method





Work Method

Influence zones are dependant on the soil type. Drawing is intended to show the principle only



- CDC Compaction effect 2D-3D
1. Influenced by 1 impact point
 2. Influenced by 2 impact points
 3. Influenced by 3 impact points
 4. Influenced by 4 impact points



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Work Method





General Advantages

During Installation

- Each compaction location is shown to the operator
- No positions required on the field
- Single local spots can be compacted







General Advantages

During Installation

- Each compaction location is shown to the operator
- No positions required on the field
- Single local spots can be compacted
- Homogeneous subsoil after compaction





Advantages General

After installation




- Exact position of compaction location
- Settlement profile at each compaction location (x-ref)
- Better Quality Assurance
- Mapping of non compliant soil / clayey sections





Advantages for the Engineer

- Site specific correlation between induced settlement and site investigation results
- Stop criterion is determined during trial and used during compaction
- After compaction logger data is assessed for requirement of additional pass





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering





Compaction - Amsterdam





SAA-ONE – Total Settlement

- 0-100mm
- 100-200mm
- 200-300mm
- 300-400mm
- 400-500mm
- 500-600mm
- 600-700mm
- 700-800mm
- 800-900mm
- 900-1000mm
- 1000-1100mm
- 1100-1200mm
- >1200mm





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Index

1. Introduction
2. Quality control for vertical drain projects
3. Quality control for heavy rapid impact projects
4. Conclusion





Conclusion for PVD

- Major advantages over traditional logging
- Makes installation on chart datum levels possible
- Makes normally not used data accessible and easily traceable
- Gives the engineer far more information about the subsoil
- Data can be used to
 - Optimize monitoring
 - Optimize surcharge
 - Reduce geotechnical risks
 - Improve quality





Conclusion for CDC Compaction

- Highly controllable compaction
- Client is updated at regular intervals on progress in easy to understand images
- System can be used to homogenize terrains / map soft sections
- Reaction (E-Modulus) of the soil can be predicted





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



Thank you for your attention

Presentation about CDC project
Wednesday afternoon session 10





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE



Center for Advanced Infrastructure and Transportation



OIEM DOS ENGENHEIROS



OIEM DOS ENGENHEIROS REGIÃO NORTE

Rigid Inclusions, a Ground Reinforcement Solution rather than a Ground Improvement Solution

Baldomiro Xavier

Teixeira Duarte Engenharia e Construções SA



TEIXEIRA DUARTE



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE



ORDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS



ORDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS
REGIÃO NORTE

INDEX

- **INTRODUCTION – IMPROVING THE SOIL**
- **CASES STUDIES**
 - **STRUCTURAL REHABILITATION OF AN ACCUMULATION CHAMBER – EDP MADEIRA**
JET GROUTING
 - **SEWAGE PUMPING STATION, PARQUE DAS NAÇÕES, LISBON**
JET GROUTING
 - **SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT, SANTARÉM**
RIGID INCLUSIONS
 - **ESCOM TOWERS, LUANDA**
RIGID INCLUSIONS AND SOIL GROUTING
 - **MARAVILHA BUILDING, LUANDA**
RIGID INCLUSIONS



3rd ICTG 2016



04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



THE BASICS



$$\sigma_v = \gamma h$$

$$\sigma_h = k \sigma_v$$

K

K_a

K₀

K_p

$$K_a = 1 / k_p$$

If a soil feels like water

$$K_a = K_0 = K_p = 1$$

$$\Delta\sigma_H = 0$$



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



IDEAS FROM THE KITCHEN 1





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



IDEAS FROM THE KITCHEN 2





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



STRUCTURAL REHABILITATION OF AN ACCUMULATION TANK – MADEIRA





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE



RUTGERS
Center for Advanced Infrastructure
and Transportation



STRUCTURAL REHABILITATION OF AN ACCUMULATION TANK – MADEIRA





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE




ORDEN
DOS
ENGENHEIROS



ORDEN
DOS
ENGENHEIROS
REGIÃO NORTE

STRUCTURAL REHABILITATION OF AN ACCUMULATION TANK – MADEIRA

EXISTING SITUATION





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE




ORDEN
DOS
ENGENHEIROS



ORDEN
DOS
ENGENHEIROS
REGIÃO NORTE

STRUCTURAL REHABILITATION OF AN ACCUMULATION TANK – MADEIRA


EXISTING SITUATION – SECTION





STRUCTURAL REHABILITATION OF AN ACCUMULATION TANK – MADEIRA

EXISTING SITUATION – CROSS SECTION






STRUCTURAL REHABILITATION OF AN ACCUMULATION TANK – MADEIRA

CROSS SECTION: SOLUTION WITH MICROPILES

PRIMEIRA SOLUÇÃO DE REFORÇO ESTRUTURAL MICROESTACAS INCLINADAS ENCASTRADAS NO COMPLEXO VULCÂNICO RIJO





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE




OICEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS



OICEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS
REGIÃO NORTE

STRUCTURAL REHABILITATION OF AN ACCUMULATION TANK – MADEIRA

THE EQUILIBRIUM





3rd ICTG 2016


04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



STRUCTURAL REHABILITATION OF AN ACCUMULATION TANK – MADEIRA FOUNDATION REFURBISHMENT - JET GROUTING COLUMNS





3rd ICTG 2016


04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



STRUCTURAL REHABILITATION OF AN ACCUMULATION TANK – MADEIRA FOUNDATION REFURBISHMENT - JET GROUTING COLUMNS





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



STRUCTURAL REHABILITATION OF AN ACCUMULATION TANK – MADEIRA

TESTING PHASE





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



STRUCTURAL REHABILITATION OF AN ACCUMULATION TANK – MADEIRA





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal




University of Minho
School of Engineering



STRUCTURAL REHABILITATION OF AN ACCUMULATION TANK – MADEIRA

NEARBY SLOPE AND BYPASS CANAL





3rd ICTG 2016


04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



STRUCTURAL REHABILITATION OF AN ACCUMULATION TANK – MADEIRA





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



STRUCTURAL REHABILITATION OF AN ACCUMULATION TANK – MADEIRA





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE



Center for Infrastructure and Transportation



STRUCTURAL REHABILITATION OF AN ACCUMULATION TANK – MADEIRA

ALREADY IN OPERATION





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



SIMSG ISSMGE



GEO-INSTITUTE



Center for Advanced Infrastructure and Transportation



IGs




CIDEM DOS ENGENHEIROS



CIDEM DOS ENGENHEIROS REGIÃO NORTE

SANTARÉM SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT





3rd ICTG 2016


04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



SANTARÉM SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT



ETAR DE SANTARÉM

RIO TEJO



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



SANTARÉM SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



SANTARÉM SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT



GEO-INSTITUTE



CEM
ENGENHEIROS



CEM
ENGENHEIROS
REGIÃO NORTE





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE



Center for Advanced Infrastructure and Transportation



SANTARÉM SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



SIMSG ISSMGE



GEO-INSTITUTE





OICEM DOS ENGENHEIROS



OICEM DOS ENGENHEIROS REGIÃO NORTE

SANTARÉM SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT





3rd ICTG 2016


04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



SANTARÉM SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



ESCOM TOWERS - LUANDA



GEO-INSTITUTE





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



ESCOM TOWERS - LUANDA




GEO-INSTITUTE



CEM
ENGENHEIROS



CEM
ENGENHEIROS





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



SIMSG ISSMGE



GEO-INSTITUTE



Center for Advanced Infrastructure and Transportation



IGS

IGS

IGS

IGS

IGS

IGS

IGS

IGS

IGS

ESCOM TOWERS - LUANDA





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE



RUTGERS
Center for Advanced Infrastructure
and Transportation



CIDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS



CIDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS
REGIÃO NORTE

ESCOM TOWERS - LUANDA





3rd ICTG 2016


04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



ESCOM TOWERS - LUANDA





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE



ORDEM DOS ENGENHEIROS



ORDEM DOS ENGENHEIROS REGIONAL NORTE

ESCOM TOWERS - LUANDA

Settlements prediction by
Timoshenko & Goodier (1951)

$$\Delta H = q_0 \cdot B' \cdot \frac{1-\nu^2}{E_S} \cdot m \cdot I_S \cdot I_F$$

$$I_S = \left(I_1 + \frac{1-2\mu}{1-\mu} I_2 \right)$$



$$I_1 = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[M \cdot \ln \left(\frac{(1+\sqrt{M^2+1})\sqrt{M^2+N^2}}{M(1+\sqrt{M^2+N^2+1})} \right) + \ln \left(\frac{(M+\sqrt{M^2+1})\sqrt{1+N^2}}{M+\sqrt{M^2+N^2+1}} \right) \right]$$

$$I_2 = \frac{N}{2\pi} \arctan \left(\frac{M}{N\sqrt{M^2+N^2+1}} \right) \quad (\arctan \text{ em radianos})$$

em que : $N = \frac{H}{B'}$ e $M = \frac{L'}{B'}$, com

$L' = \frac{L}{2}$ para o centro; $L' = L$ para o canto

$B' = \frac{B}{2}$ para o centro; $B' = B$ para o canto





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



SIMSG ISSMGE



GEO-INSTITUTE




OICEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS



OICEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS
REGIÃO NORTE

ESCOM TOWERS - LUANDA





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



ESCOM TOWERS - LUANDA





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



ESCOM TOWERS - LUANDA



GEO-INSTITUTE



CIDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS



CIDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS
REGIÃO NORTE



SKY RESIDENCE I



3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering




CIDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS



CIDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS
REGIÃO NORTE

ESCOM TOWERS - LUANDA





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE




ORDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS



ORDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS
REGIÃO NORTE

ESCOM TOWERS - LUANDA

SOIL IMPROVEMENT WITH RIGID INCLUSIONS





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE



Center for Advanced Infrastructure and Transportation




ORDEM DOS ENGENHEIROS



ORDEM DOS ENGENHEIROS REGIONAL NORTE

ESCOM TOWERS - LUANDA

SOIL IMPROVEMENT WITH RIGID INCLUSIONS





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



ESCOM TOWERS - LUANDA



GEO-INSTITUTE




OICEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS



OICEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS
REGIÃO NORTE

SOIL IMPROVEMENT WITH RIGID INCLUSIONS





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE



RUTGERS
Center for Advanced Infrastructure
and Transportation



CIDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS



CIDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS
REGIÃO NORTE

ESCOM TOWERS - LUANDA

SKY BUSINESS

SKY RESIDENCE II





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering






ORDEM DOS ENGENHEIROS



ORDEM DOS ENGENHEIROS REGIONAIS

ESCOM TOWERS - LUANDA





3rd ICTG 2016


04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



ESCOM TOWERS - LUANDA





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



MARAVILHA BUILDING - LUANDA



GEO-INSTITUTE



CIDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS



CIDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS
REGIÃO NORTE





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



MARAVILHA BUILDING - LUANDA





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



MARAVILHA BUILDING - LUANDA



GEO-INSTITUTE




ORDEM DOS ENGENHEIROS



ORDEM DOS ENGENHEIROS REGIONAL NORTE

DEEP EXCAVATIONS - IMPLEMENTATION OF NEW TECHNOLOGIES





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE





ORDEM DOS ENGENHEIROS



ORDEM DOS ENGENHEIROS REGIONAL NORTE

MARAVILHA BUILDING - LUANDA

SOIL IMPROVEMENT WITH RIGID INCLUSIONS





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE



RUTGERS
Center for Advanced Infrastructure
and Transportation





ORDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS



ORDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS
REGIÃO NORTE

MARAVILHA BUILDING - LUANDA

SOIL IMPROVEMENT WITH RIGID INCLUSIONS





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



SIMSG ISSMGE



GEO-INSTITUTE



Center for Advanced Infrastructure and Transportation



ORDEM DOS ENGENHEIROS



ORDEM DOS ENGENHEIROS

MARAVILHA BUILDING - LUANDA

SOIL IMPROVEMENT WITH RIGID INCLUSIONS





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE



ORDEN
DOS
ENGENHEIROS



ORDEN
DOS
ENGENHEIROS
REGIÃO NORTE

MARAVILHA BUILDING - LUANDA


SOIL IMPROVEMENT WITH RIGID INCLUSIONS





MARAVILHA BUILDING - LUANDA



SOIL IMPROVEMENT WITH RIGID INCLUSIONS





MARAVILHA BUILDING - LUANDA

SOIL IMPROVEMENT WITH RIGID INCLUSIONS





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



CIDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS



CIDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS
REGIÃO NORTE

MARAVILHA BUILDING - LUANDA



SOIL IMPROVEMENT WITH RIGID INCLUSIONS





MARAVILHA BUILDING - LUANDA

SOIL IMPROVEMENT WITH RIGID INCLUSIONS





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE



CIDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS



CIDEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS
REGIÃO NORTE

MARAVILHA BUILDING - LUANDA

SOIL IMPROVEMENT WITH RIGID INCLUSIONS





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering



GEO-INSTITUTE



CICEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS



CICEM
DOS
ENGENHEIROS
REGIÃO NORTE

MARAVILHA BUILDING - LUANDA

SOIL IMPROVEMENT WITH RIGID INCLUSIONS





3rd ICTG 2016

04-07 September 2016, Guimarães, Portugal



University of Minho
School of Engineering




GEO-INSTITUTE



MARAVILHA BUILDING - LUANDA

SOIL IMPROVEMENT WITH RIGID INCLUSIONS



3th Site Investigations Results (Level -29.00)

