

A Framework for Highly Reconfigurable P2P Trackers

Pedro Sousa

pns@di.uminho.pt

Abstract—The increasing use of Peer to Peer (P2P) applications, usually ruled by selfish behaviors, is posing new challenges to the research community. As contributions of this work we firstly devise a general framework underpinning the development of highly reconfigurable P2P trackers. Following that, a novel tracker architecture is proposed and several illustrative and enhanced tracker configurations are described. As result, the devised solution turns possible that flexible, programmable and adaptive peer selection mechanisms can be introduced at the P2P application level.

The proposed solution assumes the general framework of one of the most popular P2P solutions, in this case a BitTorrent-like approach. As illustrative examples of the proposed framework capabilities, several straightforward and easy to deploy tracker configuration examples are presented, including methods for qualitative differentiation of swarm peers and advanced P2P Traffic Engineering mechanisms fostering the collaboration efforts between ISPs and P2P applications. Both the framework and the devised tracker configurations are validated resorting to simulation experiments.

Citation

Pedro Sousa, A Framework for Highly Reconfigurable P2P Trackers, Journal of Communications Software and Systems, 9(4), 236--246, 2013.

References

- [1] Lua, K., Crowcroft, J., Pias, M., Sharma, R., Lim, S.: A survey and comparison of peer-to-peer overlay network schemes. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, vol 7, Issue 2, pp. 72-93 (2005).
- [2] Choen, B.: Incentives build robustness in BitTorrent. In Proceedings 1st Workshop on Economics of Peer-to-Peer Systems, Berkeley (Jun. 2003).
- [3] Karagiannis, T., et al.: Is p2p dying or just hiding?. In Proceedings of GLOBECOM, Dallas, USA, (Nov. 2004).
- [4] Schulze, H., Mochalski, K.: Internet Study 2007: The Impact of P2P File Sharing, Voice over IP, Skype, Joost, Instant Messaging, One- Click Hosting and Media Streaming such as YouTube on the Internet. Technical Report (2007).
- [5] Xie, H., Krishnamurthy, A., Silberschatz, A., Yang, Y. R.: P4P: explicit communications for cooperative control between P2P and network providers, [http://www.dcia.info/documents/P4P Overview.pdf](http://www.dcia.info/documents/P4P%20Overview.pdf) (2008).
- [6] Seetharaman, S., Ammar, M.: Characterizing and mitigating inter- domain policy violations in overlay routes. In Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Network Protocols (ICNP) (2006).
- [7] Guha, S., Daswani, N., Jain, R.: An experimental study of the skype peer-to-peer VoIP system. In Proceedings of IPTPS (Feb. 2006).
- [8] Xie, H., Yang, Y. R.: A measurement-based study of the skype peer-to-peer VoIP performance. In Proceedings of IPTPS, Bellevue (Feb. 2007).
- [9] Keralapura, R., Taft, N., Chuah, C., Iannaccone, G.: Can ISPs take the heat from overlay networks?. in Proceedings of HotNets-III, San Diego, CA (Nov. 2004).

- [10] Qiu, L., Yang, Y. R., Zhang, Y., Shenker, S.: Selfish routing in Internet-like environments. In Proceedings of SIGCOMM, Karlsruhe, Germany (Aug. 2003).
- [11] Shen, G., Wang, Y, Xiong, Y., Zhao, B. Y., Zhang, Z.: HPTP: Relieving the tension between ISPs and P2P. In Proceedings of IPTPS (Feb. 2007).
- [12] Wierzbicki, A., Leibowitz, N., Ripeanu, M., Wozniak, R.: Cache re- placement policies revisited: The case of p2p traffic. In Proceedings of GP2P, Chicago, IL (Apr. 2004).
- [13] Spognardi, A., Lucarelli, A., DiPietro, R.: A Methodology for P2P File-Sharing Traffic Detection. In Proceedings of the Second International Workshop on Hot Topics in Peer-to-Peer Systems 2005 (HOT-P2P 2005), pp. 52- 61 (Jul. 2005).
- [14] Sousa, P.: Flexible Peer Selection Mechanisms for Future Internet Applications. In Proceedings of BROADNETS 2009 - Sixth International ICST Conference on Broadband Communications, Networks and Systems, Madrid, Spain (2009).
- [15] Xie, H. et al: P4P: Provider Portal for Applications. In Proceedings of ACM SIGCOMM 2008, August 17-22, Seattle, Washington, USA (2008).
- [16] Choffnes, D. R., Bustamante, F. E.: Taming the Torrent: A practical approach to reducing cross-ISP traffic in P2P systems. In Proceedings of the International ACM SIGCOMM conference (Aug. 2008).
- [17] Legout, A., et al: Clustering and Sharing Incentives in BitTorrent Systems. In Proceedings of ACM SIGMETRICS'2007, June 12-16, San Diego, USA (2007).
- [18] Opsahl, T., Agneessens, F., Skvoretz, J.: Node centrality in weighted networks: Generalizing degree and shortest paths. *Social Networks*, vol. 32, No. 3, pp. 245-251 (2010).
- [19] Narayanan, S.: The betweenness centrality of biological networks. MSc Thesis, Faculty of the Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University (2005).
- [20] Rocha, M., Sousa, P., Cortez, P., Rio, M.: Quality of Service Constrained Routing Optimization using Evolutionary Computation. *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, Vol. 11, Issue 1, pp. 356-364, Elsevier (2011).
- [21] Sousa, P., Rocha, M., Rio, M., Cortez, P.: Efficient OSPF Weight Allocation for Intra-domain QoS Optimization. In: Parr, G., Malone, D., O Foghlu, M. (eds.), IPOM 2006. LNCS, Vol. 4268, pp. 37-48. Springer, Heidelberg (2006)
- [22] Sousa, P., Cortez, P., Rio, M., Rocha, M.: Traffic Engineering Approaches Using Multicriteria Optimization Techniques. In Proceedings of WWIC 2011 - 9th International Conference on Wired/Wireless Internet Communications, pp. 104-115, Springer, LNCS 6649 (2011).
- [23] Rocha, M., Sousa, P., Rio, M., Cortez, P.: QoS constrained internet routing with evolutionary algorithms. In Proceedings of IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation, pp. 2720-2727 (2006).
- [24] Cortez, P., Rio, M., Rocha, M., Sousa, P.: Multi-scale Internet traffic forecasting using neural networks and time series methods. *Expert Systems Journal*, Volume 29, Issue 2, pp. 143-155 (2012).
- [25] ns-2 (The Network Simulator). Sources and Documentation from <http://www.isi.edu/nsnam/ns/>.
- [26] Eger, K., Hofeld, T., Binzenhofer, A., Kunzmann, G.: Efficient Simula- tion of Large- Scale P2P Networks: Packet-level vs. Flow-level Simulations. In Proceedings of 2nd Workshop on the Use of P2P, GRID and Agents for the Development of Content Networks (2007).