Regulation of glycerol transport genes GUP1 and GUP2 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae

repression

duced cells on ethanol glycero acetic a

Fernanda Lages, Rui Oliveira and Cândida Lucas CCA/B Centro de Ciências do Ambiente / Dep. de Biologia Universidade do Minho Braga, Portugal

Previous results from glycerol transport physiological characterization on wild type strains enabled the elaboration of a regulation model as presented in Figure 2. Taking this model in consideration, and in spile of the screening test having been done on glucose-grown cells in the presence of NaC1 and glycerol, transport ability was tested in ethanol-grown cells in which case an interference of the glycerol kinase activity on transport measurements was detected, being the saturation kinetics present in *gup7* deleted strains artifutude to a residual uptake, not active as such, but pulled by an active catabolism of glycoriol under derepressing growth conditions (Figure 2, Table 1). Only the combination of other *GUP7* homologue (*GUP2*, YP2, *ISW*) did not change the uptake results. The phenotype in ethanol-grown cells suggested that *GUP7* is the gene responsible for the proton symport described before (Lages and Lucas, 1997).

GP

ADP 7

Glycerol

GPP

Glucos

Active

EDS

Glycerol metabolic pathway in S. cerevisiae

GUT2 GUT1

Glv Figure 1

Л

GPD2

Dihydroxiaceton

rol-3-phosphate

Two highly homologous genes related to a phenotype of salt stress tolerance were identified in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. These genes were named

I wo nignly nomologous genes related to a pnenotype or sait stress tolerance were identitied in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. These genes were named *GUP1* and *GUP2* from glycerol lugtake (Hoist et al, 2000). Upon salt stress, a strain lacking the capacity to sinthesize glycerol (*gpd1gpd2*) (figure 1) is able to increase intracellular levels of glycerol by taking it up from the medium. Accordingly, the presence of small amounts of glycerol in the medium decreased osmosensitivity in this strain. Based on these findings, yeast genomic fragments cut out from an mTn-*lacZLEU2*-mutagenized plasmid library were used to transform a *gpd1gpd2* strain with subsequent secreening for decreased osmotolerance of the transformants. This screening was performed in two independent steps:

a first screen for mutants unable to grow on glycerol as sole carbon and energy source a second screen for mutants unable to grow on glycerol as sole carbon and energy source a second screen for mutants that had lost the ability to survive under severe salt stress even when glycerol was added to the medium. A transformant mutagenized in the ORF YOL084c suggested to encode a multimembrane-spanning protein (Nelissen *et al.*, 1997) was isolated. A close homologue of YGL084c, YPL189w was identified by BLAST searches with 57% sequence identity and 77% similarity at aminoacid sequence level.

Cells under glucose GI Figure 2



Table 1

gup

Glycero kintesten

3.2 ± 1 (6)(2) 1

(592)

2611

The model presented above suggests *GUP1* to be under glucose repression. However, the mutant screening that lead to *GUP1* identification was performed in media containing glucose as carbon and energy source supplemented with NaC1 and glucost. Therefore, the glucost transport study was extended to cells grown in this medium and, as a control, in cells grown or glucost. Therefore, the glucost transport study was extended to cells grown in this medium and, as a control, in cells grown or glucost. Therefore, the glucost transport study was extended to cells grown in this medium and, as a control, in cells grown or glucost. Therefore, the glucost static discipation of the cells of symposts. Furthermore, the Kim value was very close to the one attributed to *GUP1* actively (Lages and Lucas, 1987). There of the possibilities to explain these results was to attribute to *GUP1* homologue the responsability for this active uplake. As shown in Table 2, deletion in gpd1 or gpd1 grdp2 allowed the detection of transport beyond passive diffusion substate entry level. Further grup detection in this genetic background reduced the activity to approximately 50%. On the other hand, gug2 deletion also affects glycerol transport by abolishing any measurable transport in a gpd1 gup1 genetic background. *Cutterrimore*, when gpd2 is deletion in this *GUP1*-dependent glycerol uptake in glucose-grown cells are tightly controlled and need the extreme conditions of strong osmotic stress combined with glycerol synthesis impairment to be detectable.

To elucidate the relation of glycerol transporters with osmotic adaptation, intracellular levels of several solutes were eletermined by HPLC in cells grown under salt stress with and without extracellular glycerol. Besides glyceron, tervatose and a colici acid were found and no other compound was detected (Figure 3), Trehatose and actio: acid were glycerol production is impaired but less dramatically if some external glycerol is available, suggesting that the cells use trehatose as a substitute for glycerol as a compatible solutio. On the other hand, acetic acid tervels in a grad tragot 2 train match the levels of glycerol under the same conditions. Suprisingly, the gord/pad2 strain produces residual amounts of glycerol that might be due to an alternative biosynthesis pathway via dihydroxyacetone that was suggested to be present in s. *Corevisiae* (Norbeck and Bhomber, 1997; Bhomber, 1997). Neverthiess, the intracellular levels of glycerol when some external glycerol is available, storgyl supports the increased expression of gene(s) encoding glycerol transporter(s).

Relative quantification of *GUP1* mRNAs by RT-PCR showed that *GUP1* is expressed under all conditions tested (including glucose-grown cells) (Figure 5), indicating constitutive expression in opposition to what was determined with the physiological approach. Neverthiese, a marked difference in mRNA levels was detected in wild type and *godTgod2* strains which is compabile with the higher transport activity detected in this strain under sait stress with external sylvcerol. Concerning *GUP2* mRNAs, available data suggest lower expression than for *GUP1* on ethanol grown cells and no detection on glucose-grown cells (Figure 7).

The following model is proposed based on available data that includes three distinct environmental

The following model is proposed based on available data that incruces three usated to the conditions. Cells under glucose repression with passive and mediated (through FPS1 channel) diffusions as the only measurable transport but in which GUP is expressed, indicating that might be under post-transitional control (Figure 8). Induced cells by growth on eithand or glycerid with further detection of GUP1 active transport activity as well as the activity of the enzymes of the glycerid catabilities (glycerid kinase encoded by GUT) and glycerid-Pachytrogenase encoded by GUT2 (Figure 9). Induced cells by growth on glucose with the presence of NaCI 1M and glycerid I famM in which GUP2 is also expressed in cells unable to sinthesize glycerol (Figure 10), being highly contributing for transport measurements and apparently controlled by glycerol synthesis regulation (rabe 2).

Close colaboration with B. Holst and M. Kielland-Brandt from Carlsberg Laboratory in Copenhagen, Denmark







Figure 4

W303-1A









